#### Form A- Annual Review 8460

UTC Standard Annual Review Inspection Report Intrastate Operators FORM A: Annual Review

Inspector and Operator Information

Inspection ID	Inspection Link	Inspector - Lead Inspector - Assist
8460	8460	Scott Anderson Vinsel, Lex
<b>Operator</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Records Location - City &amp; State</b>
Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater-Snake River Terminal	Pasco, WA
Inspection Start Date 06-27-2022	Inspection Exit Interview Date 06-29-2022	Engineer Submit Date 07-06-2022

#### Inspection Summary -

You must include the following in your inspection summary:

- \*Inspection Scope and Summary
- \*Facilities visited and Total AFOD \* Summary of Significant Findings
- \* Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

#### Inspection Scope and Summary

This inspection was a review of City of Ellensburg's manual revisions, annual reports, incidents from 2021 and PHMSA advisory bulletins.

Facilities visited and Total AFOD

1

#### Summary of Significant Findings

No findings

#### Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Kelly Harding, Operations Manager, Tidewater

Mark Davis, General Manger, Tidewater

Chris Riggins, Maintenance Manager, Tidewater

Josh Jarman, Quality & Compliance Manager, Tidewater

## Operator executive contact and mailing address for any official correspondence

William J. (Bill) Collins 6305 Northwest Old Lower River Road Vancouver, WA 98660

## Instructions and Ratings Definitions

INSPECTION RESULTS: Annual Review			
Satisfactory Responses	Satisfactory List	Number of Unanswered Questions	Unanswered List
9	1,3,14,17,26,27,28,32,37,	0	
Unsatisfactory Responses	Unsatisfactory List		
0			
Area of Concern Responses	Area of Concern List		
0			
Not Applicable Responses	Not Applicable List		
29	4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,16,18,19	,20,21,22,23,24,25,29,30,31,35,39,40,42,43,44	
Yes Responses	Yes List	No Responses	No List
1	36,	3	34,38,41
Not Checked / Evaluated Responses	Not Checked / Evaluated List		
0			

\*\*If an item is marked Unsat, AOC, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in the "Notes" block for that question and also summarized in the "SUMMARY OF REQUIRED COMMENTS" section at the end of this inspection form.

#### **Crew Inspection History**

Click Link for Full List of Cre	ew Inspections								
Determination crew inspection Date	Inspector	Operator	Unit	Type of Work	Foreman Name	Contractor Name	Comments / Observations for Operator		
No crew inspections found									

#### Facility Inspection History ÷

Date	Inspection ID	Form A Operator Name	Other Company	Inspector	Type of Inspection	Do you have inspection pictures or file attachments?
No facilit	y inspections found					

Annual Review Inspection History

## 7/6/22, 1:37 PM

Forms -

Year of Inspection	Facility - Operator	Unit Name	Inspection ID	Inspection Type	Inspection Status	Closed Date	Next Inspection Interval	SHAREPOINT
Tidewater Ter	rminal Company (16 In	spections)						
2021	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	8304	Standard - Annual Review	Complete	06-24-2021	06-23-2022	Documents
2020	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	8077	LIMP - Liquid Transmission Integrity Management	Complete	11-24-2020	08-06-2023	Documents
2020	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	8078	DA - Drug & Alcohol	Complete	11-17-2020	11-02-2024	Documents
2020	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	8079	Standard Comprehensive - HL	Complete	10-02-2020	08-31-2023	Documents
2020	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	8080	OM PPR LIQUID - Operations & Maintenance, Plans & Procedures Review	Complete	12-15-2020	12-10-2024	Documents
2020	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	8081	Design, Testing & Construction - Liquid	Complete	12-28-2020	08-31-2021	Documents
2020	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	8082	Standard - Annual Review	Complete	03-13-2020	03-04-2021	Documents
2019	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	7710	Design, Testing & Construction - Liquid	Complete	12-28-2020	12-28-2021	Documents
2019	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	7836	OQ - Operator Qualification	Complete	04-10-2019	04-09-2023	Documents
2019	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	7837	Standard - Annual Review	Complete	04-15-2019	04-09-2020	Documents
2019	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	7974	Incident Investigation	Complete	01-02-2020	06-19-2019	Documents
2018	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	7563	Standard Comprehensive - HL	Complete	10-26-2018	08-02-2021	Documents
2018	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	7564	PA - Public Awareness	Complete	10-26-2018	08-02-2022	Documents
2017	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	7232	LIMP - Liquid Transmission Integrity Management	Complete	12-04-2017	09-14-2020	Documents
2016	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	6764	OM PPR LIQUID - Operations & Maintenance, Plans & Procedures Review	Complete	10-25-2016	10-20-2020	Documents
2015	Tidewater Terminal Company	Tidewater- Snake River Terminal	6188	Standard Comprehensive - HL	Complete	07-01-2015	05-06-2018	Documents

# GAS System Operations History

Annual Rep	ort - Miles of N	Nain					Annual Rep	ort GAS Transmission M	Niles
Year	Operator	SYSTEM TOTA Mile of Mair	s Service		OTAL O. of vices	Average Service Length	YEAR	Total Total Miles	e. Total tool mileage inspected in calendar year using in-line inspection tools
No reports	found						No reports	found	
Annual Rep	ort - Leaks								
Year	Operator	Cause of Leak Mains Tota		eak Mains dous Total	Caus	se of Leak Services Total	Cause	e of Leak Services Hazardous Total	NUMBER OF KNOWN SYSTEM LEAKS AT END OF YEAR SCHEDULED FOR REPAIR
No reports			1						
•									
Operato	r Year	Number of EFV's Installed This Calendar Year on Single Family Residential Services:	Estimated Number of EFV's in the system at the End of The Year:	wi	nber of ervices ith EFV stalled g Year:	with	Number of Services EFV In The System End of The Year:	* Total Numbe Manual Ser Line Shut-off Va Installed During Y	vice with Manual Ives Service Line Shut-off Valves

No reports found

## HL System Operations History

YEAR	Operator	Commodity Group	Part I - Total Miles of Pipe by Decade	Unknown	Pre- 20s	1920- 1929	1930- 1939	1940- 1949	1950- 1959	1960- 1969	1970- 1979	19 19
2020	Tidewater Terminal Company	Refined and/or Petroleum (non-HVL)	6.83									
2019	Tidewater Terminal Company	Refined and/or Petroleum (non-HVL)	6.83									

YEAR	Operator	Commodity Group	Crude Oil #5	Refinec (nor HVL #5
------	----------	--------------------	--------------------	------------------------------

Forms -

YEAR	Operator	Commodity Group	Total Segment Miles that Could	High Population	Other Population	Drinking Water	Ecological Resource	Comm Navigable Water	YEAR	Operator	Commodity Group	Crude Oil #5	Refinec (nor HVL #5
			Affect HCAs						Tidewa	ter Terminal C	ompany (2 Repor	ts)	
			ПСАз						2020	Tidewater	Refined and/or	0	
	Terminal	Refined and/or Petroleum (non-	6.83					6.83	2020	Terminal Company	Petroleum (non- HVL)	J. J	
	Company	HVL)							2019	Tidewater	Refined and/or	0	
2019	Tidewater Terminal Company	Refined and/or Petroleum (non- HVL)						6.83	2010	Terminal Company	Petroleum (non- HVL)		

#### ANNUAL REPORT: ACCURACY/TRENDS

## Question #1.

1. Notes

Was the Annual Report reviewed for accuracy and trends? If any trends were discovered, please describe.

1. Result Satisfactory

Annual review was accurate

#### Access to Complete Distribution Annual Report Access to Complete Transmission Annual Report Access to Complete Hazardous Liquid Annual Report SYSTEM SYSTEM YEAR YEAR Year Operator Operator Commodity Commodity Group Miles Average Total Operator TOTAL TOTAL Total of Service Group Tidewater Terminal Company Refined and/or Petroleum (non-HVL) Miles Service NO. of Length Miles 2020 of Main Services No reports found 2019 Tidewater Terminal Company Refined and/or Petroleum (non-HVL) No reports found

#### DAMAGE PREVENTION

#### Annual Report Damage Prevention data

			1	1				1			1	1	1	
Year	Operator	Number of Excavation Tickets	Total Number of Excavation Damages By Apparent Root Cause:	Locating Practices Not Sufficient:	One-Call Notification Practices Not Sufficient:	Excavation Practices Not Sufficient:	Other:	Number of Services	Total Leaks - Excavation Damage	Total Main Leaks	Miles of Service MAIN	Number of Leaks per Mile of MAIN	Total Leaks Per 1,000 Locates	N Re

No damageses found

3					
DIRT data on mismark	ks for prior year		DIRT Timeliness - Prior Year Reports must be	submitted within 45 days of 1	he damage.
Damage Cause	Number of Reports		SubmitCompanyID - UTCfinalName	Number of Reports	
No records found	·		No records found		
Question #2. Review the following	damage prevent	ion items:			
Q2. Is the damage pr http://yes	revention informa	ation in the annual report complete?	Q2.b. Is the annual report damages root ca accurate? ves	ause information complete and	<ul> <li>Q2.c. Does the operator have a process to evaluate practices not sufficient* category?</li> <li>ves</li> </ul>
Q2.d Does the opera "Locating practices n		ess to evaluate the cause of agory?	Q2.e. Is the operator or its contractor quali locating and marking facilities?	fied and following procedure:	
yes			yes		Tidewater does not use contract locators
Q2.g. What is the nu 0	imber of damage	s resulting from mismarks?	Q2.h. What is the number of damages resu time requirements?	liting from not locating within	the Q2.i. Is the operator appropriately addressing disc excavation damage?
			0		no errors
Q2.j. Are mapping c procedures?	orrections timely	and according to written	Q2.k. Does the operator follow a process t listed "Excavation Practices Not Sufficient"		s Q2.L. Is the operator appropriately focusing dama address the causes of excavation damage?

yes

2. Notes

# NPMS SUBMISSIONS/CHANGES

#### Question #3.

For transmission operators, has the operator submitted information to the NPMS database, along with changes made after the original submission? Satisfactory 3. Notes

yes

This is a liquid pipeline and they report to NPMS

## INCIDENT/SRC/AOC REPORTS REVIEW

#### Question #4

4. Notes

Were there any federally reportable incidents during the previous year? Are there any discernable trends to these incidents?

**4. Results** Not Applicable

yes

3. Result

## Q4: Federally reportable incidents

	NotificationID	Operator	Company	NRC #	Assigned Engineer	Date & Time of Incident	Street Address of event/incident	Incident Address: City	Closure Date	Reporting Level
No incident notifications found										

https://utc-9183.quickbase.com/db/bqjqv4anz?a=printr&dfid=12&rid=58

#### 7/6/22, 1:37 PM

Forms -

#### 5. Result

7. Result

8. Result

Not Applicable

13. Result

Review operator records of previous year's accidents and failures including reported third party damage and leak response. Is the operator ensuring appropriate evaluation and response as required in 192.617 (Gas) or 195.402 (HL) to determine cause of failure? Is the operator taking appropriate steps to minimize the possibility of reoccurrence? Not Applicable 5. Notes

#### Question #6.

Question #5.

Review incident reports for the previous year for accuracy and identify any trends. If any trends discovered please describe. (Please see list of incident data at end of this report)

#### Q6: Incident Reports

Q6: Incident Reports							6. Result
NotificationID	Inspector	Company	Street Address of event/incident	Date & Time of Incident	ls 30-Day Report Received?	Reporting Level	Not Applicable
No incident notification	ns found						

#### 6. Notes

#### Question #7.

Were there reportable or unreportable safety related conditions during the previous year? If yes please describe.

#### Q7: Report of SRCs

NotificationID	Company	Safety- Related Condition	SafetyRelatedConditionChoices	Reportable?	Date & Time of Incident	Company Notified Date	Report Date	Not Appl
	inal Company (1 I	ncident Notificat	-					
3523	Tidewater Terminal	~	Exceed MAOP plus build-up	Unknown-To be Determined	06-02-2019 10:18 AM	06-02-2019 12:40 AM	06-11-2019 07:40 AM	

none in 2021

#### Question #8.

For transmission systems, were there any abnormal operating conditions (as described in 192.605 (c) or 195.402(d)) since the last annual review? If yes please describe.

8. Notes

#### **O&M & EMERGENCY PROGRAMS** ÷

#### Question #9.

Question #9. Is the O&M Manual up to date and were changes made in the previous year?	Operator Manuals on Sharepoint	<b>9. Result</b> Not Applicable
9. Notes No changes		
Question #10.	10. Result	
If changes to the O&M were made, are changes acceptable?	Not Applicable	
10. Notes		
Question #11.		
Were emergency plans changed during the previous year?		
11. Result		
Not Applicable		
11. Notes		
No changes		
Question #12.		
Were any changes to emergency plans satisfactory?		
12. Result		
Not Applicable		

12. Notes

-

10/2/21 staff changes

## INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

Que	estion	#13	

Were there changes to the Integrity Management programs (TIMP, DIMP or both, as applicable)?	Not Applicable
13. Notes No changes	
No changes Question #14. Is the DIMP/TIMP up to date? What are the results of the operator's program review (effectiveness evaluation) (DIMP every 5 years)?	<b>14. Result</b> Satisfactory
14. Notes Reviewed the Tidewater Annual Review Checklist	
Question #15 Are IMP program changes acceptable?	<b>15. Result</b> Not Applicable
15. Notes No significant changes	
<b>Question #16</b> Was appropriate assessment/ repair work conducted during the past year? (monitor progress of IMP activities)	16. Results

Forms -

·,		
		Not Applicable
	16. Notes No repairs	
	Question #17 Does the operator's HCA location data correspond to the positional data located in UTC GIS?	17. Results Satisfactory
	17. Notes	
	Question #18 What assessment work is planned for the upcoming year?	<b>18. Results</b> Not Applicable
	18. Notes Next assessments are 2025 and 2026	
	Question #19 Within the operator's DIMP, are low pressure systems evaluated for overpressure threats?	<b>19. Results</b> Not Applicable
	19. Notes Liquid system	
	Question #20 Did the operator develop and follow specific procedures for low pressure system construction or maintenance projects? (Note: this question is revisited in greater detail in the ADB review section)	<b>20. Results</b> Not Applicable
	20. Notes Liquid	
	Question #21 Are plastic pipe and components that have shown a record of defects/leaks being mitigated through the DIMP plans?	<b>21. Results</b> Not Applicable
	21. Notes No PE	
	Question #22 Has appropriate DIMP remediation work occurred during the past year? (monitor progress of DIMP activities)	<b>22. Results</b> Not Applicable
	22. Notes Liquid	
	Question #23 What DIMP remediation work is anticipated for upcoming year?	<b>23. Results</b> Not Applicable
	23. Notes Liquid	

## OQ PROGRAM

Question #24	<b>24. Results</b>
Is the OQ program up to date? Were there changes to the Operator Qualification (OQ) program in the last year? If yes, please describe.	Not Applicable
24. Notes No changes	
Question #25	<b>25. Results</b>
Are the OQ plan updates satisfactory?	Not Applicable
25. Notes	
Question #26	<b>26. Results</b>
Are personnel performing covered tasks (including contractors) properly qualified and requalified at intervals determined in the operator's plan?	Satisfactory

26. Notes

## PUBLIC AWARENESS PROGRAM

Question #27 Is the PA program up to date? And were there changes to the Public Awareness (PA) program within the last year?	<b>27. Results</b> Satisfactory
27. Notes None significant	
Question #28	28. Results
Are changes to the PA program satisfactory?	Satisfactory
28. Notes	

## CONTROL ROOM PROGRAM

Question #29	<b>29. Results</b>
Is the CRM program up to date? And were there changes to the Control Room Management (CRM) program within the last year?	Not Applicable
29. Notes No CRM	
Question #30	<b>30. Results</b>
Are the CRM program changes satisfactory?	Not Applicable
30. Notes	

#### 7/6/22, 1:37 PM

#### SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM API 1173

31. Results

Forms -

#### **Ouestion #31**

Is the operator developing and implementing an API 1173 Safety Management System? Not Applicable

31. Notes

## INSPECTOR ASSESSMENT OF INSPECTION UNITS

#### 32. Results Question #32 Are inspection units broken down appropriately? Do you recommend any changes to inspection units? Satisfactory 32. Notes 1 unit Q32: List of current inspection units UTC Unit Unit Name Distribution/Transmission Intrastate or Interstate? GAS or LIQUID UTC ID Operator ID Tidewater Terminal Company (1 Unit) Tidewater- Snake River Terminal Hazardous Liquid Liquid 1019 671 Intrastate OPERATOR'S PUBLIC WEB PAGE Question #33 33. Results For informational purposes: Using the drop down selections available in the "Results" block, indicate whether the operator's web page contains the information listed by placing a check in the box adjacent to all items that are present. Q33.A Pipeline Purpose and Reliability ; Q33.B Damage Prevention ; Q33.C Pipe Location Information ; Q33.D How to get additional information ; Q33.E National Pipeline Mapping System ; Q33.F On Call Requirements ; Q33.G Potential Hazards ; Q33.I Leak/Damage Recognition 33. Notes ADVISORY BULLETIN REVIEW **Ouestion #34** Is there potential for damage to the operator's pipeline facilities caused by flooding, river scour, or channel migration? ADB 2019-01 34 Results ADB 2019-01 Flood Mitigation NO 34. Notes Question #35 If "YES" to Q34, did the operator take appropriate action in accordance with the guidance contained ADB 2019-01? Discuss ADB's guidance with operator's representative, and annotate any concerns ADB 2019-01 35. Results ADB 2019-01: Flood Mitigation Not Applicable 35. Notes Question #36 Is there potential for the operator's system to be damaged by earth movement or other seismic/geological activities? ADB 2019-02 36. Results ADB 2019-02: Earth Movement/Geological Hazards YES 36. Notes Question #37 If "YES" to Q36, did the operator take appropriate action according to ADB 2019-02? Discuss suggested actions from ADB with operator's representative and annotate any concerns.

ADB 2019-02 37. Results ADB 2019-02: Earth Movement/Geological Hazards Satisfactory 37. Notes It has been added into their integrity management program Question #38 Does the operator have any indoor meter sets or regulators in their system? ADB 2020-01 38. Results ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets NO 38. Notes

#### Question #39

If operator's system has indoor meter sets/regulators, did the operator review ADB 2020-0115? Discuss ADB guidance with operator's representative and annotate any concerns. Particular attention must be given to the operator's plan to conduct leak surveys, AC inspections, and other maintenance activities in locations that are difficult to access, as well as the inclusion of inside meters/regulators within the operator's DIMP plan, as applicable.

ADB 2020-01	39. Results
ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets	Not Applicable
39. Notes	

# Question #40

Is the operator's record of locations for all indoor meter sets/regulators within their system complete and sufficiently detailed??

ADB 2020-01

ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets

40. Results Not Applicable

#### https://utc-9183.quickbase.com/db/bqjqv4anz?a=printr&dfid=12&rid=58

Question #41 Does the operator have any low pressure systems?	
ADB 2020-02 ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems	41. Results NO
41. Notes	
Question #42 If "YES" to Q41, did the operator review ADB 2020-0025 for Overpressure Protection of La any concerns.	ow-Pressure Natural Gas Distribution Systems? Review ADB guidance with operator and an
ADB 2020-02	42. Results
ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems	Not Applicable
42. Notes	
Question #43	
For low pressure construction/maintenance projects, does the operator have a process fo departments? Do all applicable departments review project plans for accuracy, completer could result in a common failure mode? How is the operator mitigating risk in their low pre	r review of engineering plans and constructibility reviews that are carried out through all ap tess and correctness? How are control procedures developed that could identity system the soure system?
ADB 2020-02	43. Results
ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems	Not Applicable
43. Notes	
Question #44	
Does the operator include all low-pressure system risks in their DIMP program appropriate	ly?
ADB 2020-02	44. Results
ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems	Not Applicable
44. Notes	

COMMENTS: Required for any ratings other than Satisfactory. Summarize/consolidate entries from the "Notes" blocks above. Ensure you annotate the question number for each comment.

Forms -

7/6/22, 1:37 PM