# Inspection Output (IOR)

Generated on 2021. November. 04 16:37

# **Inspection Information**

Inspection Name 8288 Nouryon Standard Comprehensive

Status PLANNED
Start Year 2021
System Type GT
Protocol Set ID WA.GT.2021.01

Operator(s) NOURYON PULP AND PERFORMANCE CHEMICALS LLC (32358)

Lead Dennis Ritter

Team Members Darren Tinnerstet, Rell Koizumi

Observer(s) Scott Rukke, David Cullom, Lex Vinsel, Anthony Dorrough, Deborah Becker, Derek Norwood, Scott Anderson

Director Sean Mayo

Plan Submitted 08/16/2021
Plan Approval 08/17/2021
by Sean
Mayo
All Activity Start 10/11/2021
All Activity End 10/13/2021

Inspection Submitted -Inspection Approval --

# **Inspection Summary**

## **Inspection Scope and Summary**

This is a standard inspection of Nouryon (formerly Akzo Nobel) Pulp and Performance Chemicals LLC's 8-inch polyethylene transmission pipeline located in Grant County WA. Nouryon is located at 2701 Road "N" N.E. Moses Lake Washington. The Company operates 0.45 miles of unodorized, hydrogen transmission line beginning at the Nouryon facility and ending at the JR Simplot potato processing facility located at 14124 Wheeler Road N.E. Moses Lake WA. The line is mostly on private property except where it crosses the public right of way at Wheeler Rd.

The records portion of this inspection was conducted virtually using MS Teams due to Covid-19 protocols currently in place in WA. The inspection was formatted in IA for the WA.GT.2101.01 protocol question set and augmented using WUTC Form D for WA intrastate GT lines. One field day for site inspection was conducted on November 2, 2021 in keeping with Covid-19 requirements. The inspection included a review of onsite documents, OQs, and field inspection of pipeline.

## **Facilities visited and Total AFOD**

10/12/21-Begin records inspection via TEAMS. Records review using IA WA.GT.2101.01 protocol question set and Records review using WUTC Form D for WA intrastate GT 1 AFOD

10/13/21-Complete records review and follow up questions 0.1 AFOD

11/1/21-Nouryon field--travel to Moses Lake WA 0.4 AFOD

11/2/21-Nouryon field-- Moses Lake WA: inspect pipeline valves, right of way conditions, confirm class location, markers, casing vents and OQ. **Exit interview** at Nouryon 14:00 with Jacob St. Mary and Stephen Hernandez 1 AFOD

#### **Summary of Significant Findings**

(DO NOT Discuss Enforcement options)

The records review revealed the following issues: 1) Nouyron did not have records for conducting emergency response training in 2018 and 2020; 2) Nouryon did not have records showing an annual review of the written procedures or processes in the O&M manual was conducted in 2019. 3) Nouryon does not conduct atmospheric corrosion inspections with qualified individuals on the two isolation valves on each end of the pipeline-V5601 and V5602.

#### Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Stephen Hernandez, Director of Operations

FR Compliance Group, Denver CO

## Operator executive contact and mailing address for any official correspondence

Jacob St. Mary, HSES Manager

1775 W Oak Commons Ct

Marietta, Georgia 30062

# Scope (Assets)

# S	hort Label	Long Label	Asset Type	Asset IDs	Excluded Topics	Planned F	Required Ins	Total pected	Required % Complete
1. 8	6235 (1852)	NOURYON PULP & PERFORMANCE CHEMICALS LLC	unit	86235	Compressor Stations Storage Fields Bottle/Pipe - Holders Vault Service Line Offshore GOM OCS Cast or Ductile Iron Copper Pipe AMAOP CDA Aluminum/Amphoteric Abandoned	79	79	79	100.0%

<sup>1.</sup> Percent completion excludes unanswered questions planned as "always observe".

# **Plans**

# Plan Assets Focus Directives	Involved Groups/Subgroups	Qst Type(s)	Extent Notes
1. 86235 (1852) Baseline Records (Form 1), Baseline Pipeline Field Inspection (Form 1)	AR, CR, DC, EP, FS, IM, MO, PD, RPT, SRN, TD, TQ, UNGS, GENERIC	P, R, O, S	Detail
2. <mark>86235 (1852) n/a</mark>	TQ.PROT9	P, R, O, S	Detail

# Plan Implementations

									Required
		Focus	Involved		Qst			Total	%
SMAR S	Start Date	Directive	Groups/Subgroup	Asset	Type(s	Planne	Require	Inspecte	Complet
			s	s	)	d	d	d	e
	10/11/202 1 10/13/202	n/a	all planned questions	all assets	all types	79	79	79	100.0%
Γ	Act#	- 10/11/202 1	SMAR Start Date Directive Act# End Date s - 10/11/202 n/a 1	MAR Start Date Directive Groups/Subgroup s  - 10/11/202 n/a all planned questions	MAR Start Date Directive Groups/Subgroup Asset s s s 10/11/202 n/a all planned questions assets	MAR Start Date Directive Groups/Subgroup Asset Start Date S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	MAR Start Date Directive Groups/Subgroup Asset Type(s y ) d  - 10/11/202 n/a all planned questions assets 79  - 10/11/202 n/a all planned all assets 79	MAR Start Date Directive Groups/Subgroup Asset Type(s Planne d d d d d d l l l l l l l l l l l l l	Focus Involved Groups/Subgroup Asset Type(s Planne Require Inspecte s ) d d d d  - 10/11/202 n/a all planned questions assets

- 1. Since questions may be implemented in multiple activities, but answered only once, questions may be represented more than once in this table.
- 2. Percent completion excludes unanswered questions planned as "always observe".

## **Forms**

No.	Entity	Form Name	Status	Date Completed	Activity Name	Asset
1	. Attendance List	Records and Field	COMPLETED	11/04/2021	Records and Field	86235 (1852)

# Results (Unsat, Concern values, 3 results)

## MO.GO: Gas Pipeline Operations

1. Question Result, ID, Unsat, MO.GO.OMANNUALREVIEW.R, 192.605(a) References

Question Text Has the operator conducted annual reviews of the written procedures or processes in the manual as required?

Assets Covered 86235 (1852)

Result Issue Summary The 2019 review could not be produced during the inspection. It appears this annual review may not have been done as it was during the ownership transition from Akzo Nobel to Nouryon. However, the 2020 review was completed by the Compliance Group (consultant for Nouryon). The remedy for not completing the required review was to actually get the review completed. This was accomplished in 2020.

Standard Issues C (Documentation/administrative - no significant impact) : 192.605(a) : Records indicate requirement not completed at required intervals.

Result Notes Form 2 of the O&M is annual review/revision sheet. Has revision starting in 2008. Latest revision is May 14, 2021. New manual from Compliance Group created in May of 2020.

Revision from 2013 to 2018 completed by Consentino Consulting. Compliance Group took over in 2020.

In October of 2018 Akzo Nobel sold to Nouryon. It appears a 2019 review was not completed or a record does not exist.

## TQ.PROT9: OQ Protocol 9

2. Question Result, ID, Unsat, TQ.PROT9.QUALIFICATIONSTATUS.O, 192.801(a) (192.809(a))

Question Text Verify the individuals performing the observed covered tasks are currently qualified to perform the covered tasks.

Assets Covered 86235 (1852)

Result Issue Summary The two isolation valves on each end of the PE transmission line are made of steel. They provide the ability to isolate the system during an emergency and should be treated as regulated assets. Prior inspections did not include these valves as part of the regulated system and the operator therefore did not perform atmospheric corrosion inspection with qualified personnel (even though Nouryon does inspect them for corrosion product annually). After inspecting the valves, there is no evidence of corrosion and we believe Nouryon should include these valves in a 3-year atmospheric corrosion inspection cycle to meet code requirements.

Standard Issues C (Documentation/administrative - no significant impact): 192.801(a): Issue not covered in standard items. See Issue Summary text for details.

Result Notes Current employees are not OQ'd to inspect atmospheric corrosion on pipelines.

# TQ.TR: Training of Personnel

3. Question Result, ID, Unsat, TQ.TR.TRAINING.R, 192.615(b)(2) (192.807(a), 192.807(b))

Question Text Is training for emergency response personnel documented?

Assets Covered 86235 (1852)

Result Issue Summary Records indicating training has occurred for emergency response for years 2019 and 2020 were not found during the inspection. Section 19 of the O&M manual is Nouryon's Emergency Response Plan. Section 19.1 notes training will occur "periodically" to ensure employees are knowledgeable of the procedures and training is effective. Periodically is not defined. Nouryon should define the timeframe for when employees are trained to ensure they remain knowledgeable and can effectively carry out the plan.

Standard Issues C (Documentation/administrative - no significant impact) : 192.615(b)(2) : Records indicate requirement not completed at required intervals.

Result Notes No records for 2018 or 2020 for emergency response training. Reviewed Moses Lake LEPC Winter HAZMAT Table Top exercise from Dec 11, 2019. Oct 2021 HAZMAT exercise.

Report Parameters: Results: Unsat, Concern

Except as required to be disclosed by law, any inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.

# Inspection Results (IRR)

Generated on 2021. November. 04 16:37

# 86235 (1852) (87)

Ro w	Asset s	Resul t	(Note 1	Sub-Group	Qs t#		References	Question Text
1.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.PTI	4.	AR.PTI.PRESSTESTRESULT.R	192.517(a) (192.505(b), 192.505(b), 192.505(c), 192.505(d), 192.507(a), 192.507(b), 192.513(a), 192.513(b), 192.513(d), 192.513(d), 192.517(b), 192.617, 192.619(a), 192.919(e), 192.921(a)(2)	Do the test records validate the pressure test?
2.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	5.	AR.RMP.IGNITION.O	192.751(a) (192.751(b), 192.751(c))	Perform observations of selected locations to verify that adequate steps have been taken by the operator to minimize the potential for accidental ignition.
3.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.RMP	25.	AR.RMP.WELDTEST.O	192.719(a) (192.719(b))	Does the operator properly test replacement pipe and repairs made by welding on transmission lines?
4.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		DC.CO	51.	DC.CO.CLEAR.R	192.325(a) (192.325(b), 192.325(c), 192.325(d))	Do records indicate that transmission lines or mains are installed with clearances specified in 192.325, and (if plastic) installed as to prevent heat damage to the pipe?
5.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		DC.CO	54.	DC.CO.COVERONSHORE.R	192.327(a) (192.327(b), 192.327(c), 192.327(d), 192.327(e))	Is onshore piping minimum cover provided as specified in 192.327?
6.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		DC.WELDINSP	2.	DC.WELDINSP.WELDVISUALQUAL.R	192.241 (192.225, 192.227, 192.229, 192.231, 192.233, 192.243, 192.245)	Does the operator have records showing that the welding was visually and/or non-destructively tested according to the requirements of 192.241 and the operator'S specifications or procedures?
7.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		DC.WELDINSP	5.	DC.WELDINSP.WELDNDT.R	192.243	Do records indicate that NDT and interpretation are in accordance with 192.243?

Ro w		-	(Note 1	Sub-Group	Qs t#	Question ID	References	Question Text
8.	(and 1 other asset)		,	DC.WELDPROCEDU RE	_	DC.WELDPROCEDURE.WELD.R	192.225	Does the operator have detailed records showing proper qualification of the welding procedures in accordance with 192.225?
9.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		DC.DPC	27.	DC.DPC.VALVESPACE.O	192.141 (192.179(a), 192.179(b), 192.179(c), 192.179(d))	Are transmission line valves being installed as required by 192.179?
10.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		DC.DPC	49.	DC.DPC.INTCORRODE.R	192.476(d) (192.476(b), 192.476(c), 192.476(a))	Do records demonstrate the transmission line project has features incorporated into its design and construction to reduce the risk of internal corrosion, as required of 192.476?
11.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		DC. DPC	50.	DC.DPC.INTCORRODE.O	192.476(a) (192.476(b), 192.476(c))	Does the transmission project's design and construction comply with 192.476?
12.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		DC.MO	6.	DC.MO.MAOPLIMIT.O	192.605(b)(5)	During startup or shut-in, is it assured that the pressure limitations on the pipeline were not exceeded?
13.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		EP.ERG	20.	EP.ERG.POSTEVNTREVIEW.R	),	Do records indicate review of employee activities to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency?
14.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	EP.ERG	22.	EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3), 192.615(c)(4), 192.616(c), ADB-05-03)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
15.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		MO.GC	2.	MO.GC.CONVERSION.R	192.14(a) (192.14(b))	Do records indicate the process was followed for converting any pipelines into Part 192 service?
16.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		MO.GOABNORMAL	2.	MO.GOABNORMAL.ABNORMAL.R	192.605(a) (192.605(c)(1 ))	Did personnel respond to indications of abnormal operations as required by the process?
	(and 1 other asset)			MO.GOABNORMAL		MO.GOABNORMAL.ABNORMALREVIE W.R	192.605(a) (192.605(c)(4))	Do records indicate periodic review of work done by operator personnel to determine the effectiveness of the abnormal operation processes and corrective action taken where deficiencies are found?
18.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		MO.GOCLASS	6.	MO.GOCLASS.CLASSLOCATESTUDY.	192.605(b)(1) (192.609(a), 192.609(b), 192.609(c),	Do records indicate performance of the required study whenever the population along a

Ro	Asset	Resul	(Note 1	·	Qs	Custin IR	•	, ,
W	S	t	)	Sub-Group	t #	Question ID	References 192.609(d), 192.609(e), 192.609(f))	pipeline increased or there was an indication that the pipe hoop stress was not commensurate with the present class location?
19.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.GOCLASS	8.	MO.GO.CONTSURVEILLANCE.O	192.613(a) (192.613(b), 192.703(a), 192.703(b), 192.703(c))	Are unsatisfactory conditions being captured and addressed by continuing surveillance of facilities and the pipeline as required by 192.613?
20.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.GOMAOP	3.	MO.GOMAOP.MAOPDETERMINE.R	192.709(c) (192.619(a), 192.619(b))	Do records indicate determination of the MAOP of pipeline segments in accordance with 192.619 and limiting of the operating pressure as required?
21.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.GM	4.	MO.GM.RECORDS.R	192.605(b)(1) (192.243(f), 192.709(a), 192.709(b), 192.709(c))	Do records indicate that records are maintained of each pipe/"other than pipe" repair, NDT required record, and (as required by subparts L or M) patrol, survey, inspection or test?
22.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.GM	6.	MO.GM.IGNITION.R	192.709 (192.751(a), 192.751(b), 192.751(c))	Do records indicate personnel followed processes for minimizing the danger of accidental ignition where the presence of gas constituted a hazard of fire or explosion?
23.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.GM	10.	MO.GM.VALVEINSPECT.R	192.709(c) (192.745(a), 192.745(b))	Do records indicate proper inspection and partial operation of transmission line valves that may be required during an emergency as required and prompt remedial actions taken if necessary?
24.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		MO.GM	11.	MO.GM.VALVEINSPECT.O	192.745(a) (192.745(b))	Are field inspection and partial operation of transmission line valves adequate?
25.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		MO.GM	18.	MO.GM.EQUIPPLASTICJOINT.R	192.603(b) (192.756)	Do records indicate equipment used in joining plastic pipe was maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended practices or with written procedures that have been proven by test and experience to produce acceptable joints?
26.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		MO.GM	19.	MO.GM.EQUIPPLASTICJOINT.O	192.756	Is proper maintenance being performed on equipment used in joining plastic pipe in accordance with the manufacturer's

Ro w	Asset s	Resul t	(Note 1	Sub-Group	Qs t#	Question ID	References	Question Text
								recommended practices or with written procedures that have been proven by test and experience to produce acceptable joints?
27.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		MO.GOODOR	2.	MO.GOODOR.ODORIZE.R	192.709(c) (192.625(a), 192.625(b), 192.625(c), 192.625(d), 192.625(e), 192.625(f))	Do records indicate appropriate odorization of its combustible gases in accordance with its processes and conduct of the required testing to verify odorant levels met requirements?
28.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.GO	3.	MO.GO.CONTSURVEILLANCE.O	192.613(a) (192.613(b), 192.703(a), 192.703(b), 192.703(c))	Are unsatisfactory conditions being captured and addressed by continuing surveillance of facilities and the pipeline as required by 192.613?
29.	(and 1 other asset)	Unsat		MO.GO	6.	MO.GO.OMANNUALREVIEW.R	192.605(a)	Has the operator conducted annual reviews of the written procedures or processes in the manual as required?
30.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.GO	8.	MO.GO.OMEFFECTREVIEW.R	192.605(a) (192.605(b)(8 ))	Do records indicate periodic review of the work done by operator personnel to determine the effectiveness, and adequacy of the processes used in normal operations and maintenance and modifying the processes when deficiencies are found?
31.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.GO	10.	MO.GO.OMHISTORY.R	192.605(a) (192.605(b)(3 ))	Are construction records, maps, and operating history available to appropriate operating personnel?
32.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.GO	11.	MO.GO.OMHISTORY.O	192.605(b)(3)	Are construction records, maps and operating history available to appropriate operating personnel?
33.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		MO.GO	18.	MO.GO.UPRATE.R	192.553(b) (192.553(a), 192.553(c), 192.553(d))	Do records indicate the pressure uprating process was implemented per the requirements of 192.553?
34.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		MO.GMOPP	2.	MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGCAP.R	192.709(c) (192.743(a), 192.743(b), 192.743(c))	Do records indicate testing or review of the capacity of each pressure relief device at each pressure limiting station and pressure regulating station as required?
35.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.GMOPP	3.	MO.GM.RECORDS.R	192.605(b)(1) (192.243(f), 192.709(a), 192.709(b), 192.709(c))	Do records indicate that records are maintained of each pipe/"other than pipe" repair, NDT required record, and (as required by subparts L or M)

Ro w	Asset s	Resul t	(Note 1	Sub-Group	Qs t #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								patrol, survey, inspection or test?
36.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		MO.GMOPP	5.	MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.R	192.709(c) (192.739(a), 192.739(b))	Do records indicate inspection and testing of pressure limiting, relief devices, and pressure regulating stations?
37.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		MO.GMOPP	6.	MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.O	192.739(a) (192.739(b), 192.743)	Are field or bench tests or inspections of regulating stations, pressure limiting stations or relief devices adequate?
38.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
39.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	3.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
40.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	4.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
41.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.RW	9.	MO.RW.LEAKAGE.R	192.709(c) (192.706, 192.706(a), 192.706(b), 192.935(d))	Do records indicate leakage surveys conducted as required?
42.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.DP	7.	PD.DP.PDPROGRAM.R	192.614(c)	Does the damage prevention program meet minimum requirements specified in 192.614(c)?
43.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.PA	11.	EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), 192.615(c)(2) , 192.615(c)(3) , 192.615(c)(4) , 192.616(c), ADB-05-03)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
44.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	13.	PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R	192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
45.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
46.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	3.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
47.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	4.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?

Ro w		-	(Note 1	Sub-Group	Qs t#	Question ID	References	Question Text
48.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		RPT.RR	1.	RPT.RR.ANNUALREPORT.R	191.17(a)	Have complete and accurate Annual Reports utilizing the most recent form F 7 100.2-1 been submitted?
49.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		RPT.RR	5.	RPT.RR.IMMEDREPORT.R	191.5(a) (191.7(a))	Do records indicate immediate notifications of incidents were made in accordance with 191.5?
50.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		RPT.RR	10.	RPT.RR.INCIDENTREPORT.R	),	identified and reports
51.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		RPT.RR	11.	RPT.RR.INCIDENTREPORTSUPP.R	191.15(d)	Do records indicate accurate supplemental incident reports were filed and within the required timeframe using the most recent Form?
52.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		RPT.RR	15.	RPT.RR.SRCR.R	191.23(a) (191.23(b), 191.25(a), 191.25(b), 191.25(c))	Do records indicate safety-related condition reports were filed as required?
53.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		RPT.RR	20.	RPT.RR.NPMSANNUAL.R	191.29(a) (191.29(b))	Do records indicate NPMS submissions were completed each year, on or before March 15, representing all in service, idle and retired assets as of December 31 of the previous year (excludes distribution lines and gathering lines) occurred, and that if no modifications occurred, an email was submitted stating that fact?
54.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		RPT.RR	23.	RPT.RR.OPID.R	191.22(a) (191.22(c), 191.22(d))	Do records indicate appropriate obtaining, and control of, Operator Identification Numbers (OPIDs), including changes in entity, acquisition/divestiture, and construction/update/uprat e?
55.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		TD.ATM	4.	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.R	192.491(c) (192.481(a), 192.481(b), 192.481(c))	Do records document inspection of aboveground pipe for atmospheric corrosion?
56.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.ATM	5.	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.O	192.481(b) (192.481(c), 192.479(a), 192.479(b), 192.479(c))	Is pipe that is exposed to atmospheric corrosion protected?
57.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CPMONITOR	2.	TD.CPMONITOR.CURRENTTEST.R	192.491(c) (192.465(b))	Do records document details of electrical checks of sources of rectifiers or

Ro w		•	(Note 1	Sub-Group	Qs t#	Question ID	References	Question Text
								other impressed current sources?
58.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CPMONITOR	3.	TD.CPMONITOR.MONITORCRITERIA. O	192.465(a) (192.463(a))	Are methods used for taking CP monitoring readings that allow for the application of appropriate CP monitoring criteria?
59.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CPMONITOR	6.	TD.CPMONITOR.TEST.R	192.491(c) (192.465(a))	Do records adequately document cathodic protection monitoring tests have occurred as required?
60.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CPMONITOR	8.	TD.CPMONITOR.CURRENTTEST.O	192.465(b)	Are impressed current sources properly maintained and are they functioning properly?
61.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CPMONITOR	10.	TD.CPMONITOR.REVCURRENTTEST. R	192.491(c) (192.465(c))	Do records document details of electrical checks interference bonds, diodes, and reverse current switches?
62.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CPMONITOR	13.	TD.CPMONITOR.DEFICIENCY.R	192.491(c) (192.465(d))	Do records adequately document actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?
63.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CPMONITOR	18.	TD.CPMONITOR.TESTLEAD.R	192.491(c) (192.471(a), 192.471(b), 192.471(c))	Do records document that pipelines with cathodic protection have electrical test leads installed in accordance with requirements of Subpart I?
64.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CPMONITOR	21.	TD.CPMONITOR.INTFRCURRENT.R	192.491(c) (192.473(a))	Do records document an effective program is in place to minimize detrimental effects of interference currents and that detrimental effects of interference currents from CP systems on other underground metallic structures are minimized?
65.	(and 1 other asset)	NA	(3)	TD.CPMONITOR	24.	TD.CP.RECORDS.R	192.491(a)	Do records indicate the location of all items listed in 192.491(a)?
66.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CP	2.	TD.CP.POST1971.R	192.491(c) (192.455(a), 192.457(a), 192.452(a), 192.452(b))	Do records document that each buried or submerged pipeline installed after July 31, 1971, has been protected against external corrosion with a cathodic protection system within 1 year after completion of construction, conversion to service, or becoming jurisdictional onshore gathering?
67.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CP	5.	TD.CP.PRE1971.O	192.457(b)	Are bare or coated pipes in compressor, regulator or meter stations installed before August 1, 1971 (except for cast and ductile iron lines)

# Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp\_PK 86235 (1852) Ro Asset Resul (Note 1 Qs

Ro w	Asset s	Resul t	(Note 1	Sub-Group	Qs t#	Question ID	References	Question Text
								cathodically protected in areas where active corrosion was found in accordance with Subpart I or Part 192?
68.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CP	11.	TD.CP.UNPROTECT.R	192.491(c) (192.465(e))	Do records adequately document the re- evaluation of non- cathodically protected buried pipelines for areas of active corrosion?
69.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CP	13.	TD.CP.ELECISOLATE.R	192.491(c) (192.467(a), 192.467(b), 192.467(c), 192.467(d), 192.467(e))	Do records adequately document electrical isolation of each buried or submerged pipeline from other metallic structures unless they electrically interconnect and cathodically protect the pipeline and the other structures as a single unit?
70.	(and 1 other asset)	NA	(3)	TD.CP	24.	TD.CP.RECORDS.R	192.491(a)	Do records indicate the location of all items listed in 192.491(a)?
71.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.COAT	2.	TD.COAT.NEWPIPE.R	192.491(c) (192.455(a)(1), 192.461(a), 192.461(b), 192.483(a))	Do records document that each buried or submerged pipeline installed after July 31, 1971 has been externally coated with a suitable coating material?
72.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.CPEXPOSED	2.	TD.CPEXPOSED.EXPOSEINSPECT.R	192.491(c) (192.459)	Do records adequately document that exposed buried piping was examined for corrosion and deteriorated coating?
73.	(and 1 other asset)	NA	(3)	TD.CPEXPOSED	9.	TD.CP.RECORDS.R	192.491(a)	Do records indicate the location of all items listed in 192.491(a)?
74.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.ICP	2.	TD.ICP.EXAMINE.R	192.491(c) (192.475(a), 192.475(b))	Do records document examination of removed pipe for evidence of internal corrosion?
75.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.ICP	5.	TD.ICP.EVALUATE.R	192.491(c) (192.485(c))	Do records document adequate evaluation of internally corroded pipe?
76.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.ICP	7.	TD.ICP.REPAIR.R	192.485(a) (192.485(b))	Do records document the repair or replacement of pipe that has been internally corroded to an extent that there is not sufficient remaining strength in the pipe wall?
77.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TQ.PROT9	1.	TQ.PROT9.CORRECTION.O	192.801(a) (192.809(a))	Have potential issues identified by the OQ plan inspection process been corrected at the operational level?
78.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		TQ.PROT9	2.	TQ.PROT9.TASKPERFORMANCE.O	192.801(a) (192.809(a))	Verify the qualified individuals performed the observed covered tasks in accordance with the operator's processes or

Ro w	Asset s	Resul t	(Note 1	Sub-Group	Qs t #	Question ID	References	Question Text	
								operator approved contractor processes.	
79.	(and 1 other asset)	Unsat		TQ.PROT9	3.	TQ.PROT9.QUALIFICATIONSTATUS. O	192.801(a) (192.809(a))	Verify the individuals performing the observed covered tasks are currently qualified to perform the covered tasks.	
80.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		TQ.PROT9	4.	TQ.PROT9.AOCRECOG.O	192.801(a) (192.809(a))	Verify the individuals performing covered tasks are cognizant of the AOCs that are applicable to the tasks observed.	
	(and 1 other asset)			TQ.PROT9	5.	TQ.PROT9.VERIFYQUAL.O	192.801(a) (192.809(a))	Observe in the field (job site, local office, etc.) that the foreman/supervisor/mana ger has verified the qualification of the individual performing the task, that the qualification records are current, and ensure the personal identification of all individuals performing covered tasks are checked, prior to task performance.	
82.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		TQ.OQ	5.	TQ.OQ.OQCONTRACTOR.R	192.807(a) (192.807(b))	Are adequate records containing the required elements maintained for contractor personnel?	
83.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		ΤΩ.ΟΩ	6.	TQ.OQ.RECORDS.R	192.807	Do records document the evaluation and qualifications of individuals performing covered tasks, and can the qualification of individuals performing covered tasks be verified?	
84.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TQ.QU	2.	TQ.QU.CORROSION.R	192.453 (192.807(a), 192.807(b))	Do records indicate qualification of personnel implementing pipeline corrosion control methods?	
85.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TQ.QUOMCONST	4.	TQ.QUOMCONST.NDT.R	192.243(b)(2) (192.807(a), 192.807(b), 192.328(a), 192.328(b))	Do records indicate the qualification of nondestructive testing personnel?	
86.	(and 1 other asset)	NA		TQ.QUOMCONST	5.	TQ.QUOMCONST.WELDER.R	192.227(a) (192.227(b), 192.229(a), 192.229(b), 192.229(c), 192.229(d), 192.328(a), 192.328(b), 192.807(a), 192.807(b))	Do records indicate that welders are adequately qualified?	
87.	(and 1 other asset)	Unsat		TQ.TR	2.	TQ.TR.TRAINING.R	192.615(b)(2) (192.807(a), 192.807(b))	Is training for emergency response personnel documented?	

1. Result is repeated (N) times in this report due to re-presentation of the question in multiple sub-groups.

Report Parameters: All non-empty Results

Except as required to be disclosed by law, any inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.

## FORM D: Intrastate GT Standard Records & Field 8288

UTC Standard Comprehensive Inspection Report Intrastate GAS Transmission FORM D: State-Specific Requirements

\*\* THIS FORM IS REQUIRED FOR USE FOR ALL INTRASTATE GAS TRANSMISSION OPERATORS. USE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE "BASELINE RECORDS" and "BASELINE FIELD OBSERVATIONS" MODULES IN THE MOST CURRENT WA-SPECIFIC GT QUESTION SET

# Inspector and Operator Information

Ritter, Dennis  Operator Unit Records Location - City & State Nouryon Pulp and Performance Chemicals Nouryon Moses Lake
·
Nouryon Pulp and Performance Chemicals Nouryon Moses Lake
Inspection Start Date Inspection Exit Interview Date Engineer Submit Date
10-12-2021 11-04-2021 11-04-2021

You must include the following in your inspection summary:

- \*Inspection Scope
- \*Facilities visited and Total AFOD
- \* Summary of Significant Findings

Inspection Scope and Summary

This is a standard inspection of Nouryon (formerly Akzo Nobel) Pulp and Performance Chemicals LLC's 8-inch polyethylene transmission pipeline located in Grant County WA. Nouryon is located at 2701 Road "N" N.E. Moses Lake Washington. The Company operates 0.45 miles of unodorized, hydrogen transmission line beginning at the Nouryon facility and ending at the JR Simplot potato processing facility located at 14124 Wheeler Road N.E. Moses Lake WA. The line is mostly on private property except where it crosses the public right of way at Wheeler Rd.

The records portion of this inspection was conducted virtually using MS Teams due to Covid-19 protocols currently in place in WA. The inspection was formatted in IA for the WA.GT.2101.01 protocol question set and augmented using WUTC Form D for WA intrastate GT lines. One field day for site inspection was conducted on November 2, 2021 in keeping with Covid-19 requirements. The inspection included a review of onsite documents, OQs, and field inspection of pipeline.

Facilities visited and Total AFOD

10/12/21-Begin records inspection via TEAMS. Records review using IA WA.GT.2101.01 protocol question set and Records review using WUTC Form D for WA intrastate GT 1 AFOD

10/13/21-Complete records review and follow up questions 0.1 AFOD

11/1/21-Nouryon field--travel to Moses Lake WA 0.4 AFOD

11/2/21-Nouryon field-- Moses Lake WA: inspect pipeline valves, right of way conditions, confirm class location, markers, casing vents and OQ. **Exit interview** at Nouryon 14:00 with Jacob St. Mary and Stephen Hernandez 1 AFOD

Summary of Significant Findings (DO NOT Discuss Enforcement options)

The records review revealed the following issues: 1) Nouyron did not have records for conducting emergency response training in 2018 and 2020; 2) Nouryon did not have records showing an annual review of the written procedures or processes in the O&M manual was conducted in 2019. 3) Nouryon does not conduct atmospheric corrosion inspections with qualified individuals on the two isolation valves on each end of the pipeline-V5601 and V5602.

Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Stephen Hernandez, Director of Operations

FR Compliance Group, Denver CO

(720) 647-3147

Operator executive contact and mailing address for any official correspondence

Jacob St. Mary, HSES Manager

1775 W Oak Commons Ct

Marietta, Georgia 30062

# Instructions and Ratings Definitions

INSTRUCTIONS	INSPECTION RESULTS					
S - Satisfactory	Satisfactory Responses 13	Satisfactory List 2,3,5,7,8,9,13,21,27,31,32,33,37,38	Unanswered Questions	Unanswered Questions List		
U - Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory Responses	Unsatisfactory List				
Area Of Concern	Area of Concern Responses	Area of Concern List				
N/A- Not Applicable (does not apply to this operator or system)	Not Applicable Responses 24	Not Applicable List 1,4,6,10,11,12,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,22,23,24,25,26,28,29,30,34,35,36,				
N/C - Not Checked/Evaluated (was not inspected during this inspection)	Not Checked / Evaluated Responses	Not Checked / Evaluated List				
	0					

<sup>\*\*</sup>If an item is marked Unsat, AOC, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in the "Notes" block for that question, and summarized in the appropriate "RECORDS/FIELD OBSERVATION: SUMMARY OF REQUIRED COMMENTS" section at the end of this form.

## INTRASTATE GT RECORDS REVIEW

# MAPPING RECORDS

#### Question 1

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS RPT.RR.PIPELINEMAPPING.R Do records indicate that accurate maps (or updates) are provided for pipelines operating over 250PSIG to specifications developed by the commission and sufficient to meet the needs of first responders?

Q1 Reference Q1 Result Q1 Notes

RCW 81.88.080 Not Applicable Nouryon's line operates below 30 psi with MAOP of 30.

#### Question 2

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS RPT.RR.NPMSANNUAL.R Do records indicate that NPMS submissions are updated every 12 months if system modifications occurred, and if no modifications occurred an email to that effect was submitted to NPMS?

 Q2 Reference
 Q2 Result
 Q2 Notes

 PHMSA ADB 08-07
 Satisfactory
 Reviewed NMPS submission email from NPMS showing submission date:

 2/12/18 for 2017
 3/11/2019 for 2018

 3/12/2020 for 2019
 2/02/2021 for 2020

#### Question 3

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS DC.MO.RECORDUPDATE.R Do records indicate that records, maps, and drawings of gas faciltiites are updated not later than six months from completion of construction activity and made available to appropriate personnel?

Q3 Reference Q3 Result Q3 Notes

WAC 480-93-018(5) Satisfactory Replaced a valve but in kind so no changes to maps

# REPORTING RECORDS

#### Question 4

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS RPT.RR.CONSTRUCTIONDEFECTS.R Do records indicate that the operator has submitted timely and complete (in accordance with WAC 480-93-200(10)) reports of construction defects and material failures that resulted in leaks? (Reports due annually on March 15 for the preceding calendar year).

Q4 Reference Q4 Result Q4 Notes

WAC 480-93- Not No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. Applicable

#### Question 5

200(11)

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS RPT.NR.CONTACTUPDATE.R Do records indicate that the operator submits updated name, address, and phone numbers of emergency contacts/responsible officials to the commission and appropriate officials of ALL municipalities in which the company has pipeline facilities? Do the procedures require immediate notification to the commission and municipal authorities if an emergency point of contact changes?

Q5 Reference C WAC 480-93- S

Q5 Result
Satisfactory

Q5 Notes

Reviewed 2018, 2019 and 2020

Due to covid no in person meetings. Nouryon meets with liaison contacts and fills out Emergency Official Response Surveys. Reviewed survey sent to Moses Lake FD 11/20/20; Grant county FD No. 5 11/25/20; Moses Lake Police 11/30/20.

#### Question 6

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS RPT.RR.FAILUREANALYSIS.R) Do records indicate that the operator submits timely and complete written failure analysis reports within 5 days of completion of the failure analysis of any incident or hazardous condition due to construction defects or material failures?

Q6 Reference Q6 Result Q6 Notes
WAC 480-93- Not No such event or activity occurred since the last inspection

200(6) Applicable

#### Question 7

THIS QUESTION IS COVERED IN IA BY PD.DP.COMMISSIONREPORT.R. AND PD.DP.NOTICETOEXCAVATOR.R Do records indicate that the operator meets damage reporting requirements outlined in RCW 19.122.053(3) and WAC 480-93-200 (7), (8) and (9)?

Q7 Reference Q7 Reference 2

RCW 19.122.053 WAC 480-93-200(7) (8) and (9)

Q7 Result Q7 Notes

Satisfactory
Part of one call system WA 811 to notify contractors and others engaged in excavation

Send out annual brochures to the following 2021: 282 excavators, 42 government officials, 7 emergency officials, 13 Ag and animal specialty;

67 schools, 111 farmers, 124 residents for a total of 522 mailings.

Receive notifications 24-hr phone number

Reviewed dig ticket for 8/19/2021 Grant County PUD emergency locate.

#### Question 8

Do records indicate that the operator filed with the commission, not later than March 15 of each year, applicable to the preceding calendar year: a copy of every Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) F-7100.2-1 annual report?

Q8 Reference Q8 Result Q8 Notes

WAC 480-93-200(10) Satisfactory Reviewed 2018, 2019, 2020 annual reports. All were submitted prior to March 15--2/26/2021, 3/12/2020, 2/25/2019

# DAMAGE PREVENTION RECORDS

#### Question 9

Do records indicate that the operator's locating and excavation practices are in compliance with all RCW 19.122 requirements for facility operators? Does the records sample indicate that locates are made within two business days?

Q9 Reference Q9 Result Q9 Notes

RCW 19.122 Satisfactory

Part of one call system WA 811 to notify contractors and others engaged in excavation

Send out annual brochures to the following 2021: 282 excavators, 42 government officials, 7 emergency officials, 13 Ag and animal specialty; 67 schools, 111 farmers, 124 residents for a total of 522 mailings.

Receive notifications 24-hr phone number

Reviewed dig ticket for 8/19/2021 Grant County PUD emergency locate.

#### Question 10

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS PD.DP.NOTICETOEXCAVATOR.R Do records indicate that the operator provides the following information to excavators who damage pipeline facilities? Notification requirements for excavators under RCW 19.122.050(1) A description of the excavator's responsibilities for reporting damages under RCW 19.122.053; and Information concerning the safety committee referenced under RCW 19.122.130, including committee contact information, and the process for filing a complaint with the safety committee.

**Q10 Reference 2**RCW 19.122 WAC 480-93-200(8)

Q10 Result Q10 Notes

Not Applicable

No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

#### Question 11

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS (PD.DP.COMMISSIONREPORT.R Do records indicate the operator reports to the commission when the operator or its contractor observes or becomes aware of any of the following activities? An excavator digs within thirty-five feet of a transmission pipeline, as defined by RCW 19.122.020(26) without first obtaining a facilities locate; A person intentionally damages or removes marks indicating the location or presence of pipeline facilities.

Q11 Reference Q11 Result Q11 Notes

RCW 19.122 Not ..... No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

**Applicable** 

#### Question 12

Do records indicate that the operator uses a quality assurance program for monitoring the locating and marking of facilities? Does the operator conduct regular field audits of the performance of locators/contractors and implement appropriate corrective action when necessary?

Q12 Reference Q12 Result Q12 Notes

PHMSA State Program Not No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. Nouryon does not have a QA/QC

Applicable program as they only have one road crossing in the public ROW. This crossing, Wheeler Rd. is kept continually marked. Question

Question 13

Do records indicate that the operator's (organic or contracted) locator and excavator personel are properly qualified in accordance with the operator's OQ plan and with state OQ requirements?

Q13 Result Q13 Notes Q13 Reference

WAC 480-93-013 Satisfactory

Jacob St. Mary 7/19/19 for locating

No excavation done by Nouryon

Question 14

Do records indicate that the operator is meeting requirements in RCW 19.122.035 with respect to their duties after notice of excavation? Are examinations of uncovered pipe conducted prior to reburial? Does the operator immediately notify local first respondeers and the commission of any blowing gas leak that has ignited or represents a probable hazard to persons or property?

Q14 Result Q14 Notes Q14 Reference

RCW 19.122.035 No excavations since the last inspection within 30' of the pipeline crossing on Wheeler Rd. No incidents since last Not

Applicable inspection.

Question 15

Do records indicate that the operator reviews records of accidents and failures caused by excavation damage to ensure the causes of those failures are addressed to minimize the possibility of reoccurrance?

Q15 Reference Q15 Result Q15 Notes

Not PHMSA State Programs No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

Emphasis - no link Applicable

# DESIGN/CONSTRUCTION RECORDS

#### Question 16

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS RPT.NR.CONSTRUCTIONREPORT.R Do records indicate that the operator is providing notice of proposed new construction or replacement of existing gas transmission lines greater than 100 feet in length is provided in a complete and timely manner in accordance with WAC 480-93-

Q16 Reference Q16 Result Q16 Notes

**Applicable** 

Applicable

WAC 480-93-160 Not No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

Question 17

Do records indicate that the operator ensures each new transmission line and each replacement of line pipe, valve, fitting, or other line component of a transmission line is designed and constructed to accommodate passage of an internal inspection device?

Q17 Reference Q17 Result Q17 Notes

WAC 480-93-180 Not No such relevant facilities/equipment existed in the scope of inspection review.

Question 18

Do records indicate that plastic pipe joiners are re-qualified within 1 year/NTE 15 months? Do records indicate the operator tracks production joints or re-

qualifies joiners within the annual requirement if no joints made within any 12-month period in accordance with WAC 480-94-080(2)?

Q18 Reference Q18 Result Q18 Notes

WAC 480-93-160 Not No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. Nouryon does not employ plastic joiners

Applicable contract if necessary

Question 19

Are the operator's welding qualification and identification records compliant with WAC 480-93-080(1)? Do records indicate that when testing welders or qualifying procedures, the operator is using the necessary testing equipment and recording/documenting all essential variables?

Q19 Reference Q19 Result Q19 Notes

WAC 480-93-Not No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. **Applicable** 080(1)

Question 20

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS DC.DPCOPP.MULTISTAGE.R Do records indicate that the operator is installing multistage regulator equipment consistent with the requirements in WAC 480-93-130? Does the operator ensure, when practical, that there is a minimum of fifty feet of separation between regulator stages?

Q20 Reference Q20 Result Q20 Notes WAC 480-93-130 No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. Not

**Applicable** 

Do construction/installation records indicate that the operator is complying with WAC 480-93-115 requirements to seal the casing ends of any transmission line

installed in a casing or conduit? If casings are installed, is the operator installing only bare steel casings? Q21 Reference Q21 Result Q21 Notes

WAC 480-93-115 Satisfactory No new casings have been installed since original construction in 1990. Original construction dwgs show casings seals on the drawings.

Question 22

Question 21

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS DC.PT.PRESSTEST.R Do records indicate that the operator performs pressure tests on all new or replacement gas pipeline installations?

Q22 Reference Q22 Result Q22 Notes

WAC 480-93-Not

No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. Applicable 170(2)

Question 23

Do records indicate that the operator locates compressor stations consistent with the requirements in WAC 480-93-040?

Q23 Reference Q23 Result Q23 Notes

WAC 480-93-Not No such relevant facilities/equipment existed in the scope of inspection review. Nouryon's compressor operates at under 30

Applicable 040 psi.

Question 24

Do records indicate that the operator is reporting, conducting, and documenting pressure testing in accordance with the list of requirements in WAC 480-93-

170?

Q24 Reference Q24 Result Q24 Notes

WAC 480-93-170 No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. Not

**Applicable** 

## CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS

#### Question 25

Do the operator's cathodic protection records demonstrate that all CP-related surveys, reads, and tests are conducted in accordance with the requirements in WAC 480-93-110? Do records indicate that the operator documents/records the condition of all underground metallic facilities each time the facility is exposed? Does the operator conduct CP test reads on all exposed facilities where the coating has been removed?

Q25 Reference Q25 Result Q25 Notes

WAC 480-93-110 Not No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

Applicable

#### Question 26

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS MO.RW.CASINGLEAKSURVEY.R Do corrosion control records demonstrate that the operator is complying with testing intervals for casings (NTE 15 months), confirmatory follow-up on shorted casings wthin ninety (90) days of discovery, leak surveys of shorted casings (NTE 7.5 months) and test equipment accuracy checks in accordance with WAC 480-93-110(3) and (5)?

Q26 Reference Q26 Result Q26 Notes

WAC 480-93-No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

110(3) and (5) **Applicable** 

#### **Question 27**

Do corrosion control records demonstrate that the operator is adhering to its programs for monitoring atmospheric corrosion and taking action within required timeframes for completing remedial action? Do the records demonstrate appropriate monitoring for indications of internal corrosion? Do records demonstrate that the operator has taken appropriate remedial action for areas where internal corrosion is detected?

Q27 Reference Q27 Result Q27 Notes

WAC 480-93-110 Satisfactory The majority of the line is PE and underground. There are two above ground valves at each end of the line--V5601 at Nouryon

and V5602 at Simplot. Both valves are class 150 ductile iron body valves and not subject to corrosion like carbon steel valves. Therefore, atmospheric corrosion is not a formal requirement however, Nouryon does check for atmospheric corrosion when

they do the annual valve inspection.

#### Question 28

For casings without test leads installed prior to September 5, 1992, is the operator able to demonstrate that other test/inspection methods are acceptable, and that test leads are not necessary to monitor for electrical isolation and adequate cathodic protection levels?

Q28 Reference Q28 Result Q28 Notes

WAC 480-93-No such relevant facilities/equipment existed in the scope of inspection review.

110(5)(a) **Applicable** 

# **OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE RECORDS**

#### Question 29

Do leak records demonstrate the operator performs detailed gas leak investigation, evaluation, classification, and remedial action/repair prioritization steps in compliance with WACs 480-93-185, -186, and -18601?

Q29 **C29** Q29 Reference 3 Reference Reference WAC 480-93-18601

WAC 480-

93-185

2

WAC 480-93-186

Q29 Result Q29 Notes

No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review Not

**Applicable** 

#### Question 30

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS MO.RW.LEAKRECORDS.R Do leak records meet all content requirements consistent with the criteria in WAC 480-93-187(1-13)?

Q30 Q30 Result Q30 Notes

Reference No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review Not

**Applicable** 

WAC 480-93-187

#### Question 31

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS MO.RW.SPECIALLEAKSURVEY.R Do leak survey records demonstrate compliance with the instrumentation, accuracy, survey interval, records retention, and self-audit of the leak survey program requirements as specified in WAC 480-93-188?

Q31 Q31 Result Q31 Notes

Reference Satisfactory Leak detection equipment gas vapor detector QRAE III MO2A016662 self-calibrates every time it is turned on.

WAC 480-93-188

#### Question 32

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS MO.RW.SPECIALLEAKSURVEY.R Do leak survey records indicate that the operator is performing special leak surveys under all conditions specified in WAC 480-93-188(4)?

Q32 Q32 Result Q32 Notes

Reference Satisfactory Because the gas is unodorized they perform a monthly leak survey. Reviewed all months years 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021 to

date. No special leak surveys. WAC 480-

93-188(4)

#### Question 33

Do maintenance records indicate that valve maintenance is performed consistent with the requirements in WAC 480-93-100?

Q33 Q33 Result Q33 Notes

Reference Satisfactory Reviewed valve inspection records 2018 to 2020--V5601 is inside Nouryon, V5602 is at Simplot. WAC 480-

93-100 OQ Lind Bingham 3/19/2018 re qualified. Retired end of 2019.

Jacob St. Mary 10/23/19

#### Question 34

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS RPT.NR.PROXIMITYREQUEST.R Do records demonstrate that the operator is in compliance with the proximity consideration requirements in WAC 480-93-020?

Q34 Q34 Result Q34 Notes

Reference Not No such relevant facilities/equipment existed in the scope of inspection review. No proximity considerations affecting Nouryon are

WAC 480-

Applicable 93-020

#### Question 35

Do odorization records demonstrate appropriate odorization levels, testing, instrumentation, calibration, and adherence to applicable intervals in accordance with WAC 480-93-015? Does the operator retain records of tests performed and equipment calibration for 5 years? Does the operator take prompt action to investigate and remediate odorant concentrations not meeting the minimum requirements (as applicable)?

Q35 Q35 Result Q35 Notes

Reference Not Nouryon does not odorize its hydrogen as the odorant interferes with the boiler system at Simplot and its nearly impossible to

**Applicable** odorize hydrogen as molecularly it's too small and the odorant separates. WAC 480-

93-015

# RECORDS: SUMMARY OF REQUIRED COMMENTS

RECORDS REVIEW SUMMARY: Comments are required for any rating other than "Satisfactory". Summarize the "Notes" blocks above, and ensure you annotate the question number for each comment

No issues noted from records review. Not applicable questions are as noted above primarily due to the line being PE.

# INTRASTATE GT: FIELD OBSERVATIONS

#### Question 36

Is gas odorized at a concentration in air of at least one-fifth of the lower explosive limit (LEL) so that gas is readily detectable by a person with a normal sense of smell?

Q36 Result Q36 Reference Q36 Notes

WAC 480-93-Nouryon transports hydrogen gas which cannot be odorized molecularly and interferes with the gases intended purpose at the Not 015 Applicable

Simplot boiler.

#### Question 37

Are pipeline markers in place and consistent with all requirements in WAC 480-93-124?

Q37 Reference Q37 Result Q37 Notes

WAC 480-75-Satisfactory Markers are in place and maintained.

330

#### **Question 38**

Are installed casings consistent with the requirements in WAC 480-93-115? Are all casings bare steel? Are casings sealed? Do unvented casings have separate test leads for casing and pipe to ensure shorted conditions do not exist? Are adequate levels of CP being applied to the operator's pipe?

Q38 Reference Q38 Result Q38 Notes

WAC 480-93-Satisfactory 115

The transmission line is PE so corrosion is not an issue inside the casings. Casings are sealed according to original construction records.



FIELD OBSERVATIONS SUMMARY: Comments are required for any rating other than "Satisfactory". Summarize the "Notes" blocks above and ensure you annotate the question number for each comment.

Question 36-Nouryon transports hydrogen gas which cannot be odorized molecularly and interferes with the gases intended purpose at the Simplot boiler.