Form A- Annual Review 8441

UTC Standard Annual Review Inspection Report Intrastate Operators FORM A: Annual Review

Inspector and Operator Information

Inspection ID Inspection Link Inspector - Lead Inspector - Assist

8441 Scott Anderson

 Operator
 Unit
 Records Location - City & State

 Petrogas
 Ferndale Storage Terminal
 Ferndale, WA

Inspection Start Date Inspection Exit Interview Date Engineer Submit Date
12-13-2022 12-13-2022 12-14-2022

Inspection Summary

You must include the following in your inspection summary:

- *Inspection Scope and Summary
- *Facilities visited and Total AFOD
- * Summary of Significant Findings
- * Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Inspection Scope and Summary

This inspection was a review of Petrogas' manual revisions, annual reports, incidents from 2022 and PHMSA advisory bulletins.

Facilities visited and Total AFOD

1

Summary of Significant Findings

No findings

Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Nicole Finnamore, Compliance Manager, Petrogas

Cody Treloar, Process Safety Management Coordinator, Petrogas

Operator executive contact and mailing address for any official correspondence

<w:sdt docpart="5D3FCE0D533C4F158F1EF910BC9560A9" id="-561797724" sdttag="Company Formal Contact" text="t" title="Company Formal Contact">Derek Vallee

Midstream Operations<w:sdtpr></w:sdtpr>

<w:sdt docpart="5D3FCE0D533C4F158F1EF910BC9560A9" id="-1604711168" sdttag="Comany Name" text="t" title="Company Name">Petrogas Ferndale Terminal

<w:sdt docpart="25190E36086B491B92842F1DE3F57CBA" id="434025541" sdttag="Street Address" text="t" title="Street Address">Suite 3900, Bow Valley SQ2, 205 5th Ave SW

<w:sdt docpart="5D3FCE0D533C4F158F1EF910BC9560A9" id="1258482351" sdttag="City, State Zip" text="t" title="City, State Zip">Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2P 2V</w:sdt>

Instructions and Ratings Definitions

INSPECTION RESULTS: Annual Review

Satisfactory Responses Satisfactory List Number of Unanswered Questions Unanswered

1,3,9,26,

Unsatisfactory Responses Unsatisfactory List

0

Area of Concern Responses Area of Concern List

0

Not Applicable Responses Not Applicable List

35 4,5,6,7,8,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,27,28,29,30,31,32,35,37,38,39,40,42,43,44

 Yes Responses
 Yes List
 No Responses
 No List

 1
 36.
 2
 34.41

Not Checked / Evaluated Responses Not Checked / Evaluated List

0

**If an item is marked Unsat, AOC, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in the "Notes" block for that question and also summarized in the "SUMMARY OF REQUIRED COMMENTS" section at the end of this inspection form.

Crew Inspection History

Click Link for Full List of Crew Inspections

Determination crew inspection Date	Inspector	Operator	Unit	Type of Work	Foreman Name	Contractor Name	Comments / Observations for Operator
No Crew Inspection reco	rds found						

Facility Inspection History

Link for Full List of Facility Inspections

Date	Inspection ID	Form A Operator Name	Other Company	Inspector	Type of Inspection	Do you have inspection pictures or file attachments?
------	---------------	----------------------	---------------	-----------	--------------------	--

Date	Inspection ID	Form A Operator Name	Other Company	Inspector	Type of Inspection	Do you have inspection pictures or file attachments?
No Facilit	y Inspection record	ls found				

Scheduled Inspection History

Annuai	Keview	Inspection	HISTORY

Year of	Facility -	Unit Name	Inspection	Inspection Type	Inspection	Closed	Next	SHAREPOINT
Inspection	Operator	OffictName	ID	inspection type	Status	Date	Inspection Interval	SHAREFOIN
Petrogas Wes	t LLC (10 Inspection	records)						
2023	Petrogas West LLC	Petrogas - Ferndale Storage Terminal	8615	Standard Comprehensive - HL	Pending			Documents
2023	Petrogas West LLC	Petrogas - Ferndale Storage Terminal	8616	Standard - Annual Review	Pending			Documents
2022	Petrogas West LLC	Petrogas - Ferndale Storage Terminal	8441	Standard - Annual Review	In the Workflow		12-13-2023	Documents
2022	Petrogas West LLC	Petrogas - Ferndale Storage Terminal	8442	OQ - Operator Qualification	In the Workflow		12-13-2026	Documents
2022	Petrogas West LLC	Petrogas - Ferndale Storage Terminal	8444	Section 114	In the Workflow		12-13-2023	Documents
2021	Petrogas West LLC	Petrogas - Ferndale Storage Terminal	8289	Standard - Annual Review	Complete	06-16-2021	06-10-2022	Documents
2020	Petrogas West LLC	Petrogas - Ferndale Storage Terminal	8035	Standard Comprehensive - HL	Complete	10-29-2020	08-19-2023	Documents
2020	Petrogas West LLC	Petrogas - Ferndale Storage Terminal	8036	DA - Drug & Alcohol	Complete	07-29-2020	07-07-2024	Documents
2020	Petrogas West LLC	Petrogas - Ferndale Storage Terminal	8037	PA - Public Awareness	Complete	07-28-2020	07-06-2024	Documents
2020	Petrogas West LLC	Petrogas - Ferndale Storage Terminal	8193	OM PPR LIQUID - Operations & Maintenance, Plans & Procedures Review	Complete	07-28-2020	07-06-2024	Documents

GAS System Operations History

,	Annual Report -	Miles of Main				
	Year	Operator	SYSTEM TOTAL Miles of Main	Miles of Service	SYSTEM TOTAL NO. of Services	Average Service Length
ſ	No Report reco	ords found				

Annual Report GAS Transmission Miles

YEAR Total e. Total tool mileage
Miles inspected
in calendar year
using
in-line
inspection tools

No Report records found

Annual Report - Leaks

	Year	Operator	Cause of Leak Mains Total	Cause of Leak Mains Hazardous Total	Cause of Leak Services Total	Cause of Leak Services Hazardous Total	NUMBER OF KNOWN SYSTEM LEAKS AT END OF YEAR SCHEDULED FOR REPAIR
Ī	No Report r	records found					

Annual Report - EFV

Operator	Year	Number of EFV's Installed This Calendar Year on Single Family Residential Services:	Estimated Number of EFV's in the system at the End of The Year:	Total Number of Services with EFV Installed During Year:	Estimated Number of Services with EFV In The System At The End of The Year:	* Total Number of Manual Service Line Shut-off Valves Installed During Year:	* Estimated Number of Services with Manual Service Line Shut-off Valves Installed in the System at End of Year:
No Report rec	ords found	d					

HL System Operations History

HL Annual Report - Miles of Pipe by Decade

HL Annual Report - HL Miles / HCAs								HL Annual R
No Report records found								
YEAR Operator Commodity Group	Part I - Total Miles of Pipe by Decade	Unknown	Pre-20s	1920-1929	1930-1939	1940-1949	1950-1959	1960-196

HL Annual Re	port - HL Miles / F	ICAs							HL Annual
YEAR	Operator	Commodity Group	Total Segment Miles that Could Affect HCAs	High Population	Other Population	Drinking Water	Ecological Resource	Comm Navigable Water	YEAR
No Report re	ecords found								

No Report

ANNUAL REPORT: ACCURACY/TRENDS

Question #1.

Result
 Satisfactory

Was the Annual Report reviewed for accuracy and trends? If any trends were discovered, please describe.

1. NotesSubmitted 4/27/22 for CY 2021

Access to Complete Distribution Annual Report

	I _		· I			1	T -	1 -			Report	•	•
Year	Operator	SYSTEM TOTAL Miles	Miles of Service	SYSTEM TOTAL NO. of	Average Service Length	YEAR	Operator	Group		Total Total Miles	YEAR	Operator	Commodity Group
		of Main		Services		No Repo	rt records four	d			No Repoi	t records found	
	ort records foun												
DAMA	GE PRE	VENTION											
Annual R	eport Damage F	Prevention data								1		1	
Year	Operator	Number of Excavation Tickets	Total Number of Excavation Damages By Apparent	Locating Practices Not Sufficient:	Notifica Prac	ation tices Not	Practices Not Sufficient:	Other:	Number of Services	Total Lea Excavation	- Ma	ain of Miles Service MAIN	of Leaks per Mile
No Dom			Root Cause:		Suffic	ient:							
	ages records fo							DIDT Ti	ttara Batan	VB			5 days of the dama
		Number of							tCompanyI[•		lumber of	o days of the dama
Dama	ge cause 1	Reports						Jubilii	t Companyi	Orchhair	vaine i	Reports	
No Repo	ort records foun	d						No Repo	ort records fo	ınd			
Question Review th		mage prevention i	items:										
	damage preve	ntion information	in the annual repor	t complete?					the annual rep	oort damage:	s root cause	information cor	nplete and accurate
http://Y								Υ					
O2 d Do	es the operator	follow a process t	to evaluate the caus	e of "Locating p	ractices not si	ufficient" cate	econy?	O2 e lst	he operator (or its contract	or qualified	and following r	rocedures for locat
Y	oo ano operator	ionon a process t					.90.7.	Y	o opolato.		or quaou	a	
Q2.g. W	hat is the numb	er of damages res	ulting from mismar	ks?				Q2.h. W	hat is the num	ber of damag	ges resulting	g from not locat	ing within the time
0								0					
-	mapping corre	ections timely and	according to writte	n procedures?					es the operat	or follow a p	rocess to ev	aluate causes fo	r damages listed "E
Υ								Υ					
2 Nat-													
2. Notes													
NPMS	SUBMIS	SIONS/CH	IANGES					_					
Question	#3.									3. Res	ult		
For transi	mission operato	rs, has the operate	or submitted inform	nation to the NPA	ИS database,	along with cl	hanges made a	fter the ori	ginal submiss	ion? Satisfa	ectory		
3. Notes													
	d 3/30/22												

Access to Complete Transmission Annual Report

Access to Complete Hazardous Liquid Annual

https://utc-9183.quickbase.com/db/bqjqv4anz?a=printr&dfid=12&rid=59

Were there any federally reportable incidents during the previous year? Are there any discernable trends to these incidents?

■ INCIDENT/SRC/AOC REPORTS REVIEW

4. Notes

4. ResultsNot
Applicable

Q4: Federally reportable incidents

NotificationID	Operator	Company	NRC #	Assigned Engineer	Date & Time of Incident	Street Address of event/incident	Incident Address: City	Closure Date	Reporting Level
NI - In at dame NI - Att -									

No Incident Notification records found

5. Result Question #5.

Review operator records of previous year's accidents and failures including reported third party damage and leak response. Is the operator ensuring appropriate evaluation and response as required in 192.617 (Gas) or 195.402 (HL) to determine cause of failure? Is the operator taking appropriate steps to minimize the possibility of reoccurrence?

Not Applicable

6 Result Not Applicable

5. Notes

Question #6.

Review incident reports for the previous year for accuracy and identify any trends. If any trends discovered please describe. (Please see list of incident data at end of this report)

Q6: Incident Reports

NotificationID Inspector Company Street Address of event/incident Date & Time of Incident Is 30-Day Reporting Level Report Received?

No Incident Notification records found

6. Notes

Question #7.

Were there reportable or unreportable safety related conditions during the previous year? If yes please describe.

Q7: Report of SRCs

Safety-Reportable? NotificationID SafetyRelatedConditionChoices Date & Time of Company Notified Report Date Company Related Incident Date Condition

No Incident Notification records found

7. Notes

Question #8. For transmission systems, were there any abnormal operating conditions (as described in 192.605 (c) or 195.402(d)) since the last annual review? If yes please describe.

8. Result Not Applicable

7. Result

Applicable

O&M & EMERGENCY PROGRAMS

Question #9.

Is the O&M Manual up to date and were changes made in the previous year?

9. Result Operator Manuals on Sharepoint

Satisfactory

9. Notes

No significant changes

Question #10. If changes to the O&M were made, are changes acceptable? 10. Result

Not Applicable

10. Notes

Question #11.

Were emergency plans changed during the previous year?

11. Result

Not Applicable

11. Notes

No changes

Question #12.

Were any changes to emergency plans satisfactory?

12 Result

Not Applicable

12 Notes

INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

Question #13 Were there changes to the Integrity Management programs (TIMP, DIMP or both, as applicable)? 13. Result Not Applicable

13 Notes No HCAs

Question #14

Is the DIMP/TIMP up to date? What are the results of the operator's program review (effectiveness evaluation) (DIMP every 5 years)?

14 Result

Applicable

14. Notes

No HCAs

Question #15 Are IMP program changes acceptable? 15. Result

Applicable

15. Notes

No HCAs

Question #16 16. Results Was appropriate assessment/repair work conducted during the past year? (monitor progress of IMP activities) Not Applicable

16 Notes

No HCAs

Question #17 17. Results

Does the operator's HCA location data correspond to the positional data located in UTC GIS? Not Applicable

17. Notes

No HCAs

Question #18 18. Results

What assessment work is planned for the upcoming year? Not Applicable

18. Notes

No HCAs

Question #19 19. Results

Within the operator's DIMP, are low pressure systems evaluated for overpressure threats? Not Applicable

Liauid

Question #20 20. Results

Did the operator develop and follow specific procedures for low pressure system construction or maintenance projects? (Note: this question is revisited in greater detail in the ADB review

20. Notes

Liquid

Question #21 21. Results

Are plastic pipe and components that have shown a record of defects/leaks being mitigated through the DIMP plans? Not Applicable

21. Notes

22. Results Question #22

Has appropriate DIMP remediation work occurred during the past year? (monitor progress of DIMP activities) Not Applicable

22. Notes

Liquid

Question #23 23 Results

What DIMP remediation work is anticipated for upcoming year? Not Applicable

23. Notes Liquid

OQ PROGRAM

24. Results Question #24 Not Applicable

Is the OQ program up to date? Were there changes to the Operator Qualification (OQ) program in the last year? If yes, please describe.

24. Notes

25. Results

Are the OQ plan updates satisfactory?

25. Notes

Question #25

Question #26 26. Results

Are personnel performing covered tasks (including contractors) properly qualified and requalified at intervals determined in the operator's plan?

yes see 2022 OQ inspection results

PUBLIC AWARENESS PROGRAM

Question #27 27. Results

Is the PA program up to date? And were there changes to the Public Awareness (PA) program within the last year?

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

27. Notes

No changes

Question #28 28. Results Are changes to the PA program satisfactory? Not Applicable

28. Notes

No changes

CONTROL ROOM PROGRAM

29. Results

Is the CRM program up to date? And were there changes to the Control Room Management (CRM) program within the last year? Not Applicable

29. Notes

No CRM

Question #30 30. Results Are the CRM program changes satisfactory? Not Applicable

30. Notes

Applicable

SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM API 1173

31. Results Question #31

Is the operator developing and implementing an API 1173 Safety Management System? Not Applicable

31. Notes

INSPECTOR ASSESSMENT OF INSPECTION UNITS

32. Results Are inspection units broken down appropriately? Do you recommend any changes to inspection units? Not Applicable

32. Notes

Q32: List of current inspection units

Unit Name	Distribution/Transmission	Intrastate or Interstate?	GAS or LIQUID	UTC Unit ID	UTC Operator ID	
No Unit records fo	o Unit records found					

OPERATOR'S PUBLIC WEB PAGE

33. Results

For informational purposes: Using the drop down selections available in the "Results" block, indicate whether the operator's web page contains the information listed by placing a check in the box adjacent to all items that are

33. Notes

Q33.A Pipeline Purpose and Reliability ; Q33.B Damage Prevention ; Q33.C Pipe Location Information ; Q33.D How to get additional information

ADVISORY BULLETIN REVIEW

Is there potential for damage to the operator's pipeline facilities caused by flooding, river scour, or channel migration?

ADB 2019-01 34. Results ADB 2019-01 Flood Mitigation NO

34. Notes

Question #35

If "YES" to Q34, did the operator take appropriate action in accordance with the guidance contained ADB 2019-01? Discuss ADB's guidance with operator's representative, and annotate any

ADB 2019-01 35. Results

ADB 2019-01: Flood Mitigation

Not Applicable

35. Notes

Question #36

Is there potential for the operator's system to be damaged by earth movement or other seismic/geological activities?

ADB 2019-02 36 Results

ADB 2019-02: Earth Movement/Geological Hazards

YES

36. Notes

Petrogas has an earthquake procedure checklist

Question #37

If "YES" to Q36, did the operator take appropriate action according to ADB 2019-02? Discuss suggested actions from ADB with operator's representative and annotate any concerns.

ADB 2019-02 37. Results ADB 2019-02: Earth Movement/Geological Hazards Not Applicable

37. Notes

Question #38

Does the operator have any indoor meter sets or regulators in their system?

ADB 2020-01 38. Results ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets Not Applicable

38. Notes

If operator's system has indoor meter sets/regulators, did the operator review ADB 2020-0115? Discuss ADB guidance with operator's representative and annotate any concerns. Particular attention must be given to the operator's plan to conduct leak surveys, AC inspections, and other maintenance activities in locations that are difficult to access, as well as the inclusion of inside meters/regulators within the operator's DIMP plan, as applicable.

ADB 2020-01 39. Results ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets Not Applicable

39. Notes

Question #40

Is the operator's record of locations for all indoor meter sets/regulators within their system complete and sufficently detailed??

ADB 2020-01 40. Results ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets Applicable

40. Notes

Question #41

Does the operator have any low pressure systems?

ADB 2020-02 41. Results ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems

41. Notes

Question #42

If "YES" to Q41, did the operator review ADB 2020-0025 for Overpressure Protection of Low-Pressure Natural Gas Distribution Systems? Review ADB guidance with operator and annotate any concerns.

ADB 2020-02 42. Results ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems Not Applicable

42. Notes

Question #43

For low pressure construction/maintenance projects, does the operator have a process for review of engineering plans and constructibility reviews that are carried out through all applicable departments? Do all applicable departments review project plans for accuracy, completeness and correctness? How are control procedures developed that could identity system threats that could result in a common failure mode? How is the operator mitigating risk in their low presure system?

43. Results

ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems Not Applicable

43. Notes

Question #44

Does the operator include all low-pressure system risks in their DIMP program appropriately?

ADB 2020-02 44. Results ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems Not Applicable

44. Notes

SUMMARY OF REQUIRED COMMENTS

COMMENTS: Required for any ratings other than Satisfactory. Summarize/consolidate entries from the "Notes" blocks above. Ensure you annotate the question number for each comment.