### Form A- Annual Review 8443

UTC Standard Annual Review Inspection Report Intrastate Operators FORM A: Annual Review

# Inspector and Operator Information

Inspection ID	Inspection Link	Inspector - Lead Inspector - Assist
8443	8443	Darren Tinnerstet
<b>Operator</b>	<b>Unit</b>	Records Location - City & State
Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale, WA
Inspection Start Date	Inspection Exit Interview Date	Engineer Submit Date
11-02-2022	11-02-2022	11-02-2022

# Inspection Summary

You must include the following in your inspection summary:

\*Inspection Scope and Summary

- \*Facilities visited and Total AFOD \* Summary of Significant Findings
- \* Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

#### Inspection Scope and Summary

The Annual Review inspection was conducted in person at the Renton headquarters. There were no findings from the review.

#### Facilities visited and Total AFOD

11/2/2022 records review at Renton headquarters = 1 AFOD

#### Summary of Significant Findings (DO NOT Discuss Enforcement options)

There were no findings from the inspection

#### Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Jim Bruen DOT Senior Compliance Advisor

Jim Fraley DOT Compliance Advisor

#### Operator executive contact and mailing address for any official correspondence

John D'Andrea, Head of North American Operations and HSSE

BP Pipelines of North America

M.C. 9S 30S Wacker Drive,

Chicago, Illinois 60606

# Instructions and Ratings Definitions

INSPECTION RESULTS: Annual Review			
Satisfactory Responses	Satisfactory List	Number of Unanswered Questions	Unanswered List
21	1,3,8,9,10,12,13,14,15,17,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,37,	0	
Unsatisfactory Responses <mark>O</mark>	Unsatisfactory List		
Area of Concern Responses <mark>0</mark>	Area of Concern List		
Not Applicable Responses	Not Applicable List		
17	4,5,6,7,16,18,19,20,21,22,23,35,39,40,42,43,44		
Yes Responses	Yes List	No Responses	No List
1	36,	3	34,38,41
Not Checked / Evaluated Responses <mark>0</mark>	Not Checked / Evaluated List		

\*\*If an item is marked Unsat, AOC, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in the "Notes" block for that question and also summarized in the "SUMMARY OF REQUIRED COMMENTS" section at the end of this inspection form.

# Crew Inspection History

Click Link for Full List of Cre	ew Inspections						
Determination crew inspection Date	Inspector	Operator	Unit	Type of Work	Foreman Name	Contractor Name	Comments / Observations for Operator
No Crew Inspection recor	rds found						

# Facility Inspection History

Link for Full List of Facility Inspections										
Date	Inspection ID	Form A Operator Name	Other Company	Inspector	Type of Inspection	Do you have inspection pictures or file attachments?				
No Facilit	y Inspection record	s found								

# Scheduled Inspection History

Annual Review Inspection History

Year of Inspection	Facility - Operator	Unit Name	Inspection ID	Inspection Type	Inspection Status	Closed Date	Next Inspection Interval	SHAREPOINT
Ferndale Pipe	eline System (12 Insp	ection records)						
2021	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	8076	Standard Comprehensive - GT	Complete	06-28-2021	06-16-2024	Documents
2021	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	8088	TIMP - Gas Transmission Integrity Management	Complete	03-08-2021	03-03-2024	Documents
2021	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	8153	CRM - GAS	Pending			Documents
2021	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	8360	Standard - Annual Review	Complete	06-23-2021	06-17-2022	Documents
2020	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	8089	OM PPR GAS - Operations & Maintenance, Plans & Procedures Review	Complete	04-19-2021	01-29-2025	Documents
2019	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	7844	OQ - Operator Qualification	Complete	03-06-2019	01-24-2023	Documents
2018	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	7573	Standard Comprehensive - GT	Complete	08-31-2018	08-16-2021	Documents
2017	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	7235	TIMP - Gas Transmission Integrity Management	Complete	11-08-2017	08-23-2020	Documents
2016	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	6767	CRM - GAS	Complete	11-09-2016	10-13-2020	Documents
2015	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	6204	PA - Public Awareness	Complete	11-09-2015	10-22-2019	Documents
2015	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	6220	Standard Comprehensive - GT	Complete	08-27-2015	07-23-2018	Documents
2015	Ferndale Pipeline System	Ferndale Pipeline System	6221	OM PPR GAS - Operations & Maintenance, Plans & Procedures Review	Complete	11-09-2015	10-22-2019	Documents

# GAS System Operations History

	rt GAS Transmission Miles	Annual Repo					ort - Miles of Main	Annual Rep
e. Total tool mileage inspected in calendar year using in-line inspection tools	Total Total Miles	YEAR	Average Service Length	SYSTEM TOTAL NO. of Services	Miles of Service	SYSTEM TOTAL Miles of Main	Operator	Year
9	36.2	2020					records found	No Report
63.4	36.2	2019						
(	36.2	2018						
(	36.2	2015						
(	36.2	2016						
(	36.2	2017						
72.4	217.2	тот						

# Annual Report - Leaks

Year	Operator	Cause of Leak Mains Total	Cause of Leak Mains Hazardous Total	Cause of Leak Services Total	Cause of Leak Services Hazardous Total	NUMBER OF KNOWN SYSTEM LEAKS AT END OF YEAR SCHEDULED FOR REPAIR
No Report	records found					

# Annual Report - EFV

Operator	Year	Number of EFV's Installed This Calendar Year on Single Family Residential Services:	Estimated Number of EFV's in the system at the End of The Year:	Total Number of Services with EFV Installed During Year:	Estimated Number of Services with EFV In The System At The End of The Year:	* Total Number of Manual Service Line Shut-off Valves Installed During Year:	* Estimated Number of Services with Manua Service Line Shut-off Valves Installec in the System at End of Year
----------	------	---	---	--	--	---	---

No Report records found

# HL System Operations History

YEAR	Operator	Commodity Group	Part I - Total Miles of Pipe by Decade	Unknown	Pre- 20s	1920- 1929	1930- 1939	1940- 1949	1950- 1959	1960- 1969	1970- 1979	1980- 1989
------	----------	--------------------	---	---------	-------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

No Report records found

YEAR Operator Commodity Total High Other Drinking Ecolog	
Group Segment Population Population Water Resou Miles that Could Affect HCAs	

#### Question #1.

#### 1. Result

Was the Annual Report reviewed for accuracy and trends? If any trends were discovered, Satisfactory please describe.

#### 1. Notes

Reviewed 2021 annual report (submitted on 3/10/2022. No trends or accurarcy issues noted.

Access to	Complete Distrib	oution Annual Re	port			Access to	Complete Transmissio	on Annual Report			Complete Haza	rdous Liquid
Year	Operator	SYSTEM TOTAL Miles of Main	Miles of Service	SYSTEM TOTAL NO. of Services	Average Service Length	YEAR	Operator	Commodity Group	Total Total Miles	Annual Re	<b>port</b> Operator	Commodity Group
No Repo	ort records found					Fernda	ale Pipeline System(	6 Report records)		No Repor	rt records found	1
						2020	Ferndale Pipeline System	Natural Gas	36.2			
						2019	Ferndale Pipeline System	Natural Gas	36.2			
						2018	Ferndale Pipeline System	Natural Gas	36.2			
						2015	Ferndale Pipeline System	Natural Gas	36.2			
						2016	Ferndale Pipeline System	Natural Gas	36.2			
						2017	Ferndale Pipeline System	Natural Gas	36.2			
DAMA	GE PREV	ENTION										
Annual Re	eport Damage Pre	evention data										

### DA

Year	Operator	Number of Excavation	Total Number of	Locating Practices	One-Call Notification	Excavation Practices	Other:	Number	Total Leaks	Total Main	Miles	Number of Leaks	Total Leaks	
		Tickets	Excavation	Not	Practices	Not		ot Services	Excavation	Leaks	Service	per Mile	Per	
		TICKEtS	Damages	Sufficient:	Not	Sufficient:		Services	Damage	LCGK5	MAIN	of MAIN	1,000	
			By	ounicional	Sufficient:	ounciona			Damage			01110 111	Locates	
			Apparent											R
			Root											
			Cause:											

### DIRT data on mismarks for prior year

Damage Cause	Number of Reports			
No Report records found				

DIRT Timeliness - Prior Year Reports must be submitted within 45 days of the damage.

SubmitCompanyID - UTCfinalName	Number of Reports
--------------------------------	----------------------

No Report records found

### Question #2.

Review the following damage prevention items:

Q2. Is the damage prevention information in the annual report complete? http://Yes	Q2.b. Is the annual report damages root cause information complete and accurate? NA	Q2.c. Does the operator have a process to evaluate practices not sufficient" category? Yes. OMER Manual Damage Prevention Program - S
Q2.d Does the operator follow a process to evaluate the cause of "Locating practices not sufficient" category?	Q2.e. Is the operator or its contractor qualified and following procedures for locating and marking facilities?	Q2.f. Is the operator appropriately requalifying loc deficiencies?
Yes. Re-evaluation through OQ process . OQ ROW 03	Yes. Three in house locators. Reviewed OQ's for Charles Groves, Kyle Meeker, Kevin Washington	Yes. Locators are re-qualified every 3 years. No insta
Q2.g. What is the number of damages resulting from mismarks? 0	Q2.h. What is the number of damages resulting from not locating within the time requirements?	Q2.i. Is the operator appropriately addressing disc excavation damage? NA
Q2.j. Are mapping corrections timely and according to written procedures?	Q2.k. Does the operator follow a process to evaluate causes for damages listed "Excavation Practices Not Sufficient"?	Q2.L. Is the operator appropriately focusing damaged address the causes of excavation damage?
NA. No mapping corrections have been needed or discovered.	Yes. OMER Manual Damage Prevention Program - Section 11	Yes. BP Public Awareness Program - Table 7 (Releva
2. Notes No damage prevention related issues. No damages or dig ins were reporte	d for the year 2021.	

## NPMS SUBMISSIONS/CHANGES

### Question #3.

For transmission operators, has the operator submitted information to the NPMS database, along with changes made after the original submission? Satisfactory 3. Notes

# Reviewed NPMS submitted 3/3/2022.

# INCIDENT/SRC/AOC REPORTS REVIEW

### Question #4 4. Results Were there any federally reportable incidents during the previous year? Are there any discernable trends to these incidents? Not Applicable 4. Notes No federal reportable incidents during 2021.

# Q4: Federally reportable incidents

NotificationID	Operator	Company	NRC #	Assigned Engineer	Date & Time of Incident	Street Address of event/incident	Incident Address: City	Closure Date	Reporting Level
No Incident Notification records found									

3. Result

# Access to Complete Hazardous Liquid

YEAR	Operator	Commodity Group						
No Report records found								

Review operator records of previous year's accidents and failures including reported third party damage and leak response. Is the operator ensuring appropriate evaluation and response as required in 192.617 (Gas) or 195.402 (HL) to determine cause of failure? Is the operator taking appropriate steps to minimize the possibility of reoccurrence? Not Applicable

### 5. Notes

No accidents or failures for 2021.

#### Question #6.

Review incident reports for the previous year for accuracy and identify any trends. If any trends discovered please describe. (Please see list of incident data at end of this report)

### Q6: Incident Reports

Q6: Incident Reports								6. Result
	NotificationID	Inspector	Company	Street Address of event/incident	Date & Time of Incident	ls 30-Day Report Received?	Reporting Level	Not Applicable
No Incident Notification records found								

#### 6. Notes

No incident reports for 2021.

#### Question #7.

Were there reportable or unreportable safety related conditions during the previous year? If yes please describe.

#### Q7: Report of SRCs

	NotificationID	Company	Safety- Related Condition	SafetyRelatedConditionChoices	Reportable?	Date & Time of Incident	Company Notified Date	Report Date	Not Applicable
No Incident Notification records found									

#### 7. Notes

No reportable or unreportable SRC during 2021.

#### Question #8.

For transmission systems, were there any abnormal operating conditions (as described in 192.605 (c) or 195.402(d)) since the last annual review? If yes please describe.

10 Result Satisfactory

#### 8. Notes

Two total AOC's for 2021:

1) Drop in Pressure - determined to be due to increase in customer demand on Enbridge side.

2) Volume miscalculation - due to meter issue at Sumas Station.

# **O&M & EMERGENCY PROGRAMS**

Question #9.	Operator Manuals on Sharepoint	9. Result
Is the O&M Manual up to date and were changes made in the previous year?		Satisfactory

# 9. Notes

Last OM inspection was in 2021. Next review is scheduled for 2025.

Reviewed change log for documented changes. Main changes were updates for Section 114 emission requirements.

#### Question #10.

If changes to the O&M were made, are changes acceptable?

#### 10. Notes

No issues found.

# Question #11.

Were emergency plans changed during the previous year?

# 11. Result

Satisfactory

## 11. Notes

Reviewed Facility Response Plan for changes. Main changes were for personnel updates.

### Ouestion #12.

Were any changes to emergency plans satisfactory?

#### 12. Result

Satisfactory

12. Notes No issues.

# INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

#### **Question #13**

Were there changes to the Integrity Management programs (TIMP, DIMP or both, as applicable)?

### 13. Notes

Reviewed TIMP plan.

One change to Section 1(c)(1) - changed HCA computer application to PipelineOffice Gas HAC (Geonamics).

#### Question #14.

Is the DIMP/TIMP up to date? What are the results of the operator's program review (effectiveness evaluation) (DIMP every 5 years)?

### 14. Notes

Reviewed 2021 IMP Annual Summary

No assessment work was scheduled for completion in 2021. Baseline assessments were completed in 2005/2006. Both pipeline segments continue to have 7 year re-assessment intervals.

### Question #15

Are IMP program changes acceptable?

13. Result

Satisfactory

7. Result

8. Result

Satisfactory

14. Result Satisfactory



15. Notes No issues with IMP changes.	
Question #16	16. Results
Was appropriate assessment/ repair work conducted during the past year? (monitor progress of IMP activities)	Not Applicable
16. Notes No assessment / repiar work was conducted or required during 2021.	
Question #17 Does the operator's HCA location data correspond to the positional data located in UTC GIS?	17. Results Satisfactory
17. Notes	
Per last standard inspection in 2021, there are 5 HCA areas on system (4 on 16 inch main line and 1 on 8 inch Intalco line).	
UTC conducted TIMP inspection during 2021 . There were no findings during this inspection.	
Reviewed HCA maps. HCA changed from 1.97 to 2.11 miles with switch to new HCA software. Additional HCA's were small incremental changes, nothing of significance.	
Question #18 What assessment work is planned for the upcoming year?	<b>18. Results</b> Not Applicable
18. Notes	
No assessment for upcoming year. Next ILI run is in 2026-2027.	
Question #19 Within the operator's DIMP, are low pressure systems evaluated for overpressure threats?	<b>19. Results</b> Not Applicable
19. Notes	
Transmission opertator.	
Question #20 Did the operator develop and follow specific procedures for low pressure system construction or maintenance projects? (Note: this question is revisited in greater detail in the ADB review section)	<b>20. Results</b> Not Applicable
20. Notes	
Transmission opertator.	
Question #21 Are plastic pipe and components that have shown a record of defects/leaks being mitigated through the DIMP plans?	<b>21. Results</b> Not Applicable
21. Notes Transmission opertator.	
Question #22 Has appropriate DIMP remediation work occurred during the past year? (monitor progress of DIMP activities)	<b>22. Results</b> Not Applicable
22. Notes Transmission opertator.	••
Question #23 What DIMP remediation work is anticipated for upcoming year?	<b>23. Results</b> Not
23. Notes	Applicable

# Transmission opertator.

		•
-	OQ	PROGRAM

Question #24 is the OQ program up to date? Were there changes to the Operator Qualification (OQ) program in the last year? If yes, please describe.	<b>24. Results</b> Satisfactory
24. Notes	
Reviewed OQ program.	
12/14/2021 - 3 year effectiveness review - No findings or plan changes.	
12/14/2021- Annual procedure effectivenss review. No findings or plan changes.	
Question #25	25. Results
Are the OQ plan updates satisfactory?	Satisfactory
25. Notes No updates were completed or required for 2021.	
Question #26 Are personnel performing covered tasks (including contractors) properly qualified and requalified at intervals determined in the operator's plan?	<b>26. Results</b> Satisfactory
Se personnel periornning covered tasks (including contractors) propeny qualined and requalined at intervals determined in the operator's plan? 26. Notes	Satisfactory
Reviewed OQ records for operator maintenance personnel.	
Kevin Washington	

Charles Groves

# PUBLIC AWARENESS PROGRAM

### Question #27

Is the PA program up to date? And were there changes to the Public Awareness (PA) program within the last year? 27. Notes

Reviewed PA program. Revised 8/4/2021. No significant changes were made.

#### Question #28

Are changes to the PA program satisfactory?

Satisfactory

27. Results

28. Notes

Records show last PA audit was done in 2015. Operator stated that Lex did PA in 2020 (8091). Checked database and 8091 was a PA for BP North America. Need to make sure this cross references with Ferndale Pipeline System.

# -

•	CONTROL ROO	M PROGRAM						
	Question #29 Is the CRM program up to c 29. Notes	late? And were there changes to t	he Control Room Manageme	nt (CRM) prog	gram wit	hin the last year?	29. Results Satisfactory	
		cted jointly with PHMSA in 2021.	Results are still pending and h	peina worked	l on with	PHMSA		
		manual updates. No significant cl		ing nomea				
	Question #30 Are the CRM program chan	iges satisfactory?		<b>30. Results</b> Satisfactory				
	<b>30. Notes</b> No issues.							
-	SAFETY MANAG	GEMENT SYSTEM A	PI 1173					
	Question #31 Is the operator developing	and implementing an API 1173 Sa	fety Management System?		<b>31. Res</b> Satisfa			
	31. Notes							
	Operating Managment Sys	tem (OMS) is a BP specific safety	management system.					
	Reviewed USPL LOMS - Sec	tion 2.2 - USPL leadership comm	tment to API RP 1173 Pipeline	Safety Manag	gment S	/stem.		
-	INSPECTOR AS	SESSMENT OF INS	PECTION UNITS					
	Question #32	n down appropriately? Do you rec		ection units?			<b>32. Results</b> Satisfactory	
	<b>32. Notes</b> Only one unit.							
	Q32: List of current inspect	tion units		1				
	Unit Name	Distribution/Transmission	Intrastate or Interstate?	GAS or LIC	QUID	UTC Unit ID	UTC Operator ID	
	Ferndale Pipeline Syste	em (1 Unit)						
	Ferndale Pipeline System	Transmission	Intrastate	Gas		91	116	
•	OPERATOR'S P	UBLIC WEB PAGE						
	Question #33				33. Res	ults		
	For informational purposes	:: Using the drop down selections b page contains the information li e present.	available in the "Results" bloc sted by placing a check in the	box	Q33.A informa	Pipeline Purpose tion ; Q33.F On Leak/Damage R	Call Requirem	y ; Q33.B Damage Prevention ; Q33.D How to get additional ents ; Q33.G Potential Hazards ; Q33.H Prevention Measures 33.J ROW Encroachment ; Q33.L Integrity Management
	33. Notes				0			
	Reviewed BP public webpa	age www.bppipelines.com.						
	No maps of Ferndale Pipeli public knowledge.	ne System are available on the pu	blic website. Discussed with o	operator that i	is would	be very benefici	ial to add link t	o NPMS submission information at the least for
•	ADVISORY BUL	LETIN REVIEW						
	Question #34 Is there potential for damag	ge to the operator's pipeline facili	ties caused by flooding, river	scour, or char	nnel mig	ration?		
	ADB 2019-01 ADB 2019-01 Flood Mitigat	tion						<b>34. Results</b> NO
	<b>34. Notes</b> Operator does not cross wa	aterways with scour potential. Op	erator is aware of ADB.					
	Question #35 If "YES" to Q34, did the op concerns.	erator take appropriate action in a	accordance with the guidance	contained Al	DB 2019	-01? Discuss AD	B's guidance v	vith operator's representative, and annotate any
	ADB 2019-01 ADB 2019-01: Flood Mitiga	ition						<b>35. Results</b> Not Applicable
	<b>35. Notes</b> Operator does not cross wa	aterways with scout potential. Op	erator is aware of ADB.					
	Question #36							

36. Results

YES

Is there potential for the operator's system to be damaged by earth movement or other seismic/geological activities?

# ADB 2019-02

ADB 2019-02: Earth Movement/Geological Hazards 36. Notes

Gas OMER Book 2 - Section 5.69.01

Section L - Earthquakes.

Provides magnitude table. Any confirmed magnitudes above 5.0 within 30 miles of pipeline ROW will require immediate normal shutdown.

If "YES" to Q36, did the operator take appropriate action according to ADB 2019-02? Discuss suggested actions from ADB with operator's representative and annotate any concerns.	
ADB 2019-02	37. Results
ADB 2019-02: Earth Movement/Geological Hazards	Satisfactory
37. Notes No issues or concerns.	
Question #38	
Does the operator have any indoor meter sets or regulators in their system?	
ADB 2020-01	38. Results
ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets	NO
38. Notes	
Transmission operator. Question #39	
If operator's system has indoor meter sets/regulators, did the operator review ADB 2020-0115? Discuss ADB guidance with operator's represe attention must be given to the operator's plan to conduct leak surveys, AC inspections, and other maintenance activities in locations that are dif inside meters/regulators within the operator's DIMP plan, as applicable.	
ADB 2020-01	39. Results
ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets	Not Applicable
<b>39. Notes</b> Transmission operator.	
Question #40	
Is the operator's record of locations for all indoor meter sets/regulators within their system complete and sufficently detailed??	
ADB 2020-01	40. Results
ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets	Not Applicable
40. Notes Transmission operator.	
Question #41	
Does the operator have any low pressure systems?	
ADB 2020-02	41. Results
ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems	NO
41. Notes	
Transmission operator.	
Question #42 If "YES" to Q41, did the operator review ADB 2020-0025 for Overpressure Protection of Low-Pressure Natural Gas Distribution Systems? Review ADB guidance with operator and annotate any concerns.	
ADB 2020-02	42. Results
ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems	Not Applicable
42. Notes	
Transmission operator. Question #43	
For low pressure construction/maintenance projects, does the operator have a process for review of engineering plans and constructibility reviews that are carried out through all applicable departments? Do all applicable departments review project plans for accuracy, completeness and correctness? How are control procedures developed that could identity system threats that could result in a common failure mode? How is the operator mitigating risk in their low presure system?	
ADB 2020-02	43. Results
ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems 43. Notes	Not Applicable
Transmission operator.	
Question #44 Does the operator include all low-pressure system risks in their DIMP program appropriately?	
ADB 2020-02	44. Results
ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems	Not Applicable
44. Notes Transmission operator.	

# SUMMARY OF REQUIRED COMMENTS

COMMENTS: Required for any ratings other than Satisfactory. Summarize/consolidate entries from the "Notes" blocks above. Ensure you annotate the question number for each comment.