Inspection Output (IOR)

Generated on 2022.October.25 14:52

Report Filters

Assets Seaport Sound PA / Seaport PA Results All

Inspection Information

Inspection Name 8457 Seaport
Sound PA
Status PLANNED
Start Year 2022
System Type HL
Protocol Set ID WA.HL.2022.01

Operator(s) SEAPORT SOUND TERMINAL, LLC (39906)
Lead Anthony Dorrough
Team Members Lex Vinsel, Derek Norwood
Supervisor Scott Rukke
Director Sean Mayo

Plan Submitted 07/07/2022
Plan Approval 07/08/2022
by Scott
Rukke
All Activity Start 10/05/2022
All Activity End 10/06/2022
Inspection Submitted -Inspection Approval --

Inspection Summary

Inspection Scope and Summary

This Public Awareness inspection reviewed plan procedures, program records and conducted a field review of all signage and pipeline markers.

Facilities visited and Total AFOD

4130 East 11th Street

Tacoma, WA 98421

Summary of Significant Findings

There were no significant findings or areas of concern as a result of the inspection.

Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Ted Lilyeblade Jr. / Compliance Manager/FSO / Seaport Sound Terminal (206) 473-0678

Robert Cohee / Supervisor, Pipeline / Seaport Sound Terminal (253) 331-7278

Anthony Dorrough / Energy/Utilities Engineer / WUTC (360) 481-4035

Derek Norwood / Energy/Utilities Engineer / WUTC (360) 259-2525

Operator executive contact and mailing address for any official correspondence

Edward Luebke / Vice President Operations / Seaport Sound Terminal (678) 772-1285

Scope (Assets)

#	Short Name	Long Name	Asset Type	Asset IDs	Excluded Topics	Planned	Required	Total Inspected	Required % Complete
1.	Seaport PA	Seaport Sound PA	unit		Offshore GOM Crosses Nav. Reg Rural Gather Rural Low Stress Abandoned	55	55	55	100.0%

1. Percent completion excludes unanswered questions planned as "always observe".

Plans

#	Plan Assets	Focus Directives	Involved Groups/Subgroups	Qst Type(s)	Extent	Notes
1.	Seaport PA		PD	P, R, O, S	Detail	

Plan Implementations

Activity # Name	Start Date End Date	Involved Groups/Subgroups A	Qst ssets Type(s)	Planned R	equired Insp	Total	Required % Complete
1. Seaport PA	 10/05/2022 10/06/2022	all planned questions al	l all types	55	55	55	100.0%

- 1. Since questions may be implemented in multiple activities, but answered only once, questions may be represented more than once in this table.
- 2. Percent completion excludes unanswered questions planned as "always observe".
- 3. Question counts and completion percents are filtered to include only questions planned for and results applied to the filtered Assets.

Forms

No. Entity	Form Name	Status	Date Completed	Activity Name	Asset
1. Attendance List	Seaport PA	COMPLETED	10/25/2022	Seaport PA	Seaport PA

Results (all values, 55 results)

68 (instead of 55) results are listed due to re-presentation of questions in more than one sub-group.

EP.ERL: Emergency Response Liquids

1. Question Result, ID, Sat, EP.ERL.LIAISON.R, 195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12), 195.440(c), API RP 1162 Section 4.4) (also References presented in: PD.PA)

Question Text Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes Staff viewed pipeline easement report sent to Port of Tacoma and subsequent letters.

FS.FG: Facilities General

2. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.FACPROTECT.O, 195.436 (also presented in: PD.SN) References

Question Text Are facilities adequately protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

```
3. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.IGNITION.O, 195.438 (also presented in: PD.SN)
              References
           Question Text Is there signage that prohibits smoking and open flames around pump stations, launchers and receivers,
                         breakout tank areas, or other applicable facilities?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
   4. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.IGNITION.R, 195.404(c) (195.438) (also presented in: PD.SN)
           Question Text Do records show precautions taken to prevent ignition sources in areas with a potential for accumulating
                         flammable vapors or leaking hazardous liquids?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
             Result Notes See "Site Safety Orientation" PowerPoint presentation for visitors and contractors. Staff viewed Safe Work
                         Permits as well.
   5. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.SIGNAGE.O, 195.434 (also presented in: PD.SN)
           Question Text Are there operator signs around each pumping station, breakout tank area, and other applicable facilities?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
   6. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.SIGNAGE.P, 195.402(c)(3) (195.434) (also presented in: PD.SN)
              References
           Question Text Does the process require operator signs to be posted around each pump station and breakout tank area?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
             Result Notes See O&M Part 1 - Section 18 - Signs Appendix 1.2
   7. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.IGNITION.P, 195.402(c)(3) (195.438) (also presented in: PD.SN)
              References
           Question Text Does the process prohibit smoking and open flames in each pump station and breakout tank area, or
                          where there is the possibility of the leakage of a flammable hazardous liquid or the presence of
                         flammable vapors?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
             Result Notes See O&M Part 1 - Section 20 - Smoking and open flames
   8. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.PROTECTION.P, 195.402(c)(3) (195.436) (also presented in: PD.SN)
           Question Text Does the process require facilities to be protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
             Result Notes See O&M Part 1 - Section 19 - Securities and facilities - Appendix 1.3
MO.RW: ROW Markers, Patrols, Monitoring and Analysis
   9. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.PATROL.R, 195.412(a) (195.412(b)) (also presented in: PD.RW)
              References
           Question Text Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected,
                         and reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
             Result Notes Staff viewed Depth of Cover Survey 11/22/2017, Crossing Navigable Waterways Survey 11/21/2017,
                         Annual Review of Right-Of-Way 2/10/2021
```

```
10. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O, 195.412(a) (also presented in: PD.RW)
            References
         Question Text Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
        Assets Covered Seaport PA
11. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O, 195.410(a) (195.410(b), 195.410(c)) (also presented in: PD.RW)
         Question Text Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
        Assets Covered Seaport PA
12. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.PATROL.P, 195.402(a) (195.412(a), 195.412(b)) (also presented in: PD.RW)
            References
```

```
Question Text Does the process require inspection of ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways,
                         as well as reporting and mitigation of findings from said inspections?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
             Result Notes See Plan Section 1 (pg. 48) & Section 9 (pg. 50) - ROW Requirements, viewed all ROW Summary Reports
                         2017-2021
  13. Ouestion Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P, 195.402(a) (195.410(a), 195.410(c), API RP 1162, Section 2.7, API RP
              References 1162, Section 8) (also presented in: PD.RW)
           Question Text Does the process address how line markers are to be placed and maintained?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
             Result Notes See Plan Part 1 - Section 8 (pg. 46) - Appendix 1.4
PD.DP: Damage Prevention
 14. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.ONECALL.P, 195.442(a) (195.442(b))
           Question Text Does the process require participation in qualified one-call systems?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
             Result Notes See Trans Montaigne Damage Prevention Program - O&M Part 1 Section 22 (pg. 70)
 15. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.PROGRAM.P, 195.442(a)
              References
           Question Text Does the operator have a damage prevention program approved and in place?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
             Result Notes Staff viewed introductory page that states corporate approval.
 16. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.PUBLICNOTIFY.P, 195.442(a) (195.442(c)(2))
           Question Text Does the process include public notification requirements?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
             Result Notes See DP Plan Section 2.2
 17. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.EXCAVATEMARK.P, 195.442(a) (195.442(b), 195.442(c)(4), 195.442(c)(5))
              References
           Question Text Does the process require marking proposed excavation sites?
          Assets Covered Seaport PA
             Result Notes See DP Plan Section 2.5
```

18. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.EXCAVATE.P, 195.442(a) (195.442(c)(6))

Question Text Does the process include inspection of pipelines that could be damaged by excavation activities?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See DP Plan Section 2.5

19. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.TPD.P, 195.442(a) (195.442(b), 195.442(c)(1)) References

> Question Text Does the process specify how reports of Third Party Activity and names of associated contractors or excavators are input back into the mail-outs and communications with excavators along the system?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See O&M - Section 22

20. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.TPDONECALL.P, 195.442(a) (195.442(b), 195.442(c)(3))

Question Text Does the process specify how reports of TPD are checked against One-Call tickets?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See O&M Part 1 - Section 22 (pg.73)

21. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.ONECALL.O, 195.442(c)(3) References

Question Text Observe operator's process for a "One Call" Ticket.

Assets Covered Seaport PA

22. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.PROGRAM.R, 195.442(a) References

Question Text Do records indicate the damage prevention program is being carried out as written?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

23. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.NOTICETOEXCAVATOR.P, References

Question Text Is there a process to provide the required information to excavators who damage pipeline facilities?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See O&M - Section 22

24. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.DP.NOTICETOEXCAVATOR.R, References

> Question Text Do records indicate that the operator provides the required information to excavators who damage pipeline facilities?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

25. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.COMMISSIONREPORT.P,

References

Ouestion Text Is there a process to report to the commission when the operator or its contractor observes or becomes aware of the activities described in WAC 480-75-630(6)?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See Reporting Manual - Sub-Part B (pg.17)

26. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.DP.COMMISSIONREPORT.R, References

> Question Text Do records indicate the operator reports to the commission when the operator or its contractor observes or becomes aware of the activities described in WAC 480-75-630(6)?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

27. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.LOCATES.P, References

Question Text Is there a process to locate hazardous liquid pipelines within the timeframe outlined in RCW 19.122?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See O&M Section 22

28. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.LOCATES.R, References

> Question Text Do records indicate hazardous liquid pipeline locations have been marked in the timeframe outlined in RCW 19.122?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

PD.PA: Public Awareness

29. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.ASSETS.P, 195.440(b) (API RP 1162, Section 2.7 Step 4) References

> Question Text Does the program clearly identify the specific pipeline systems and facilities to be included in the program, along with the unique attributes and characteristics of each?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See Plan - Pipeline System Description Section 1.1

30. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.P, 195.440(d) (195.440(e), 195.440(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP1162 References Section 3)

Question Text Does the program establish methods to identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See Plan - Section 3.1 - Stakeholder Audiences

31. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MGMTSUPPORT.P, 195.440(a) (API RP 1162 Section 2.5, API RP 1162 Section 7.1)

Question Text Does the operator's program documentation demonstrate management support?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See Plan - Introduction Page

32. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.PROGRAM.P, 195.440(a) (195.440(h))

Question Text Has the continuing public education (awareness) program been established as required?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See O&M - Part 3

33. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.R, 195.440(d) (195.440(e), 195.440(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 References Section 3)

Question Text Do records identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents to which it sends public awareness materials and messages?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes Staff reviewed receipts from 2019 thru 2021

34. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MESSAGES.P, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 3, API RP 1162 Section 4, API RP 1162 References Section 5)

Question Text Does the program define the combination of messages, delivery methods, and delivery frequencies to comprehensively reach all affected stakeholder audiences in all areas where hazardous liquid or carbon dioxide is transported?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See Plan Section 3.3.2.1

35. Question Result, ID, Paferences Sat, PD.PA.SUPPLEMENTAL.P, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 6.2)

Question Text Were relevant factors considered to determine the need for supplemental public awareness program enhancements for each stakeholder audience along all pipeline systems, as described in API RP 1162?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See Plan Section 3.41

36. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.EDUCATE.R, 195.440(d) (195.440(f)) References

Question Text Did delivered messages specifically include provisions to educate the public, emergency officials, local public officials, and excavators on: (1) Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a hazardous liquid or carbon dioxide pipeline facility; (3) Physical indications of a possible release; (4) Steps to be taken for public safety in the event of a hazardous liquid or carbon dioxide pipeline release; and (5) Procedures to report such an event?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

37. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.LOCATIONMESSAGE.R, 195.440(e) (195.440(f))

Question Text Were messages developed and delivered to advise affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents of pipeline facility location?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

38. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MESSAGEFREQUENCY.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Table 2-1)

Question Text Did the delivery of materials and messages meet or exceed the baseline delivery frequencies specified in API RP 1162, Table 2-1?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

39. Question Result, ID, Sat, EP.ERL.LIAISON.R, 195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12), 195.440(c), API RP 1162 Section 4.4) (also References presented in: EP.ERL)

Question Text Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes Staff viewed pipeline easement report sent to Port of Tacoma and subsequent letters.

40. Question Result, ID, References Sat, PD.PA.LANGUAGE.P, 195.440(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)

Question Text Does the program require that materials and messages be provided in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See Plan Section 3.3.1.1

41. Question Result, ID, References Sat, PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R, 195.440(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)

Question Text Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

42. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.EVALPLAN.P, 195.440(i) (195.440(c), API RP 1162 Section 8, API RP 1162 Appendix E)

Question Text Does the program include a process that specifies how program implementation and effectiveness will be periodically evaluated?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See Plan - Program Evaluation - Section 3.62

43. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.EVALIMPL.R, 195.440(c) (195.440(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)
References

Question Text Has an audit or review of the public awareness program implementation been performed annually since the program was developed?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

44. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.AUDITMETHODS.R, 195.440(c) (195.440(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)

Question Text Was one or more of the three acceptable methods (i.e., internal assessment, 3rd-party contractor review, or regulatory inspections) used to complete the annual audit or review of the public awareness program implementation?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

45. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.PA.PROGRAMIMPROVE.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.3)

Question Text Were changes made to improve the program and/or the implementation process based on the results and findings of the annual audit(s)?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes No such activity/condition was observed during the inspection.

46. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Sections 8.4)

Question Text Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

47. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MEASUREOUTREACH.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1)

Question Text In evaluating effectiveness, was actual program outreach for each stakeholder audience tracked? Assets Covered Seaport PA

48. Question Result, ID, References Sat, PD.PA.MEASUREUNDERSTANDABILITY.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2)

Question Text In evaluating program effectiveness, was the percentage of each stakeholder audience that understood and retained the key information from the messages determined?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

49. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MEASUREBEHAVIOR.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.3)

Question Text In evaluating program effectiveness, was evaluation made of whether appropriate preventive, response, and mitigative behaviors were understood and likely to be exhibited?

8457 Seaport Sound PA

50. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.PA.MEASUREBOTTOM.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4)

Question Text Did the operator attempt to measure bottom-line results of the program by tracking third-party incidents and consequences including: (1) near misses, (2) excavation damages resulting in pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not result in pipeline failures?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

51. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.PA.CHANGES.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12), API RP 1162 Section 8.5)
References

Question Text Were needed changes and/or modifications to the program identified and documented based on the results and findings of the program effectiveness evaluations?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

PD.RW: ROW Markers, Patrols, Monitoring

52. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.PATROL.P, 195.402(a) (195.412(a), 195.412(b)) (also presented in: MO.RW) References

Question Text Does the process require inspection of ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways, as well as reporting and mitigation of findings from said inspections?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See Plan Section 1 (pg. 48) & Section 9 (pg. 50) - ROW Requirements, viewed all ROW Summary Reports 2017-2021

53. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.PATROL.R, 195.412(a) (195.412(b)) (also presented in: MO.RW) References

Question Text Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected, and reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes Staff viewed Depth of Cover Survey 11/22/2017, Crossing Navigable Waterways Survey 11/21/2017, Annual Review of Right-Of-Way 2/10/2021

54. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O, 195.412(a) (also presented in: MO.RW)

Question Text Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

55. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O, 195.410(a) (195.410(b), 195.410(c)) (also presented in: MO.RW) References

Question Text Are line markers placed and maintained as required?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

56. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P, 195.402(a) (195.410(a), 195.410(c), API RP 1162, Section 2.7, API RP References 1162, Section 8) (also presented in: MO.RW)

Question Text Does the process address how line markers are to be placed and maintained?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See Plan Part 1 - Section 8 (pg. 46) - Appendix 1.4

57. Question Result, ID, References Sat, PD.RW.INFORMATION.P, 195.402(c)(3) (195.452(f)(3), 195.452(g))

Question Text Does the process require damage prevention information to be gathered and recorded during pipeline patrols and surveillance and then analyzed?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See O&M - Part 1 - Section 9 (pg.48-49) - Line Patrol Surveys

58. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.RW.INFORMATION.R, 195.404(c) (195.402(c)(3), 195.452(f)(3), 195.452(g)) References

Question Text Do records show damage prevention information being gathered and recorded during pipeline patrols and surveillance and then analyzed is available for review?

PD.SN: Facilities Signage and Security

59. Question Result, ID, References Sat, FS.FG.FACPROTECT.O, 195.436 (also presented in: FS.FG)

Question Text Are facilities adequately protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

60. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.IGNITION.O, 195.438 (also presented in: FS.FG)

Question Text Is there signage that prohibits smoking and open flames around pump stations, launchers and receivers, breakout tank areas, or other applicable facilities?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

61. Question Result, ID, References Sat, FS.FG.IGNITION.R, 195.404(c) (195.438) (also presented in: FS.FG)

Question Text Do records show precautions taken to prevent ignition sources in areas with a potential for accumulating flammable vapors or leaking hazardous liquids?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See "Site Safety Orientation" PowerPoint presentation for visitors and contractors. Staff viewed Safe Work Permits as well.

62. Question Result, ID, References Sat, FS.FG.SIGNAGE.O, 195.434 (also presented in: FS.FG)

Question Text Are there operator signs around each pumping station, breakout tank area, and other applicable facilities? Assets Covered Seaport PA

63. Question Result, ID, References Sat, FS.FG.IGNITION.P, 195.402(c)(3) (195.438) (also presented in: FS.FG)

Question Text Does the process prohibit smoking and open flames in each pump station and breakout tank area, or where there is the possibility of the leakage of a flammable hazardous liquid or the presence of flammable vapors?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See O&M Part 1 - Section 20 - Smoking and open flames

64. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.PROTECTION.P, 195.402(c)(3) (195.436) (also presented in: FS.FG) References

Question Text Does the process require facilities to be protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See O&M Part 1 - Section 19 - Securities and facilities - Appendix 1.3

65. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.SIGNAGE.P, 195.402(c)(3) (195.434) (also presented in: FS.FG)

Question Text Does the process require operator signs to be posted around each pump station and breakout tank area?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes See O&M Part 1 - Section 18 - Signs Appendix 1.2

PD.SP: Special Permits

66. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.SP.REPAIR.P, 190.341(d)(2)

Question Text If a pipeline is operated under a special permit have processes been modified to incorporate the requirements of the permit for required repairs?

Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes No such relevant facilities/equipment existed in the scope of inspection review.

67. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.SP.REPAIR.R, 190.341(d)(2)

Question Text If a pipeline is operated under a special permit, do records indicate that required repairs were performed? Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes No such relevant facilities/equipment existed in the scope of inspection review.

68. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.SP.REQUIREMENT.O, 190.341(d)(2)

Question Text If a pipeline is operated under a special permit, verify that the requirements have been implemented. Assets Covered Seaport PA

Result Notes No such activity/condition was observed during the inspection.

Except as required to be disclosed by law, any inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.