## **Inspection Output (IOR)**

Generated on 2022. October, 06, 13:57

### **Report Filters**

Assets All, and including items not linked to any asset. Results Unsat, Concern

### **Inspection Information**

Inspection Name 8425 CNG/MDU

Headquarters

Status PLANNED

Start Year 2022

System Type GT

Protocol Set ID WA.GT.2022.01

Operator(s) CASCADE NATURAL GAS CORP (2128)

Lead Lex Vinsel

Team Members Dennis Ritter, Anthony Dorrough,

Derek Norwood, Scott Anderson, Darren Tinnerstet

Observer(s) Deborah Becker, John Trier

Supervisor Scott Rukke

Director Sean Mayo

Plan Submitted 09/25/2022

Plan Approval 09/27/2022 by Scott

Rukke

All Activity Start 09/26/2022

All Activity End 09/29/2022

Inspection Submitted -Inspection Approval --

### **Inspection Summary**

**Inspection Scope and Summary** 

Inspection of the Public Awareness program for CNGC (MDU)

**Facilities visited and Total AFOD** 

Visited CNGC (MDU) headquarters in Kennewick WA

Total AFOD 4

**Summary of Significant Findings** 

(DO NOT Discuss Enforcement options)

No issues or violations were found.

Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Colby Lundstrom

Operator executive contact and mailing address for any official correspondence

Mr. Pat Darras

VP, Engineering & Ops Services

400 North 4th Street

Bismarck, North Dakota 58501

## Scope (Assets)

Short # Name	Long Name	Asset Type	Asset IDs	Excluded Topics	Planned Re	quired Ins	Total pected	Required % Complete
1. 88973	CNGC - Headquarters	unit	88973	Bottle/Pipe - Holders Gas Storage Field (Aboveground) Offshore GOM OCS Cast or Ductile Iron Copper Pipe Aluminum/Amphoteric AMAOP Abandoned	45	45	45	100.0%

1. Percent completion excludes unanswered questions planned as "always observe".

### **Plans**

#	Plan Assets	Focus Directives	Involved Groups/Subgroups	Qst Type(s)	Extent	Notes
1.	88973		PD	P, R, O, S	Detail	

### **Plan Implementations**

										Require d
	SMAR		Focus	Involved		Qst			Total	%
# Activity None	T Act#	Date End Date		Groups/Subgrou	Asset	Type(s			Inspecte	
# Activity Name	Act#	End Date	5	ps	5	)	d	d	a	е
1 Records Review Kennew ick		09/26/202 2 09/29/202 2		all planned questions	all assets	all types	45	45	45	100.0%

- 1. Since questions may be implemented in multiple activities, but answered only once, questions may be represented more than once in this table.
- 2. Percent completion excludes unanswered questions planned as "always observe".

#### **Forms**

No.	Entity	Form Name	Status	<b>Date Completed</b>	Activity Name	Asset
1.	. Attendance List	Records Review Kennewick	COMPLETED	10/06/2022	Records Review Kennewick	

## Results (Unsat, Concern values, 0 results)

This inspection has no matching Results.

Except as required to be disclosed by law, any inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.

# **Inspection Results (IRR)**

Generated on 2022. October. 06 13:48

### • 88973 (51)

## Inspection Results Report (ALL Results) - Scp\_PK 88973

Row	Assets	Result	(Note <sup>1</sup> )	Sub- Group		Question ID	References	Question Text
	88973		2	EP.ERG		EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3), 192.615(c)(4), 192.616(c), ADB-05- 03)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
2.	88973	Sat	2	MO.RW	1.	MO.RW.PATROL.P	192.705(a) (192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for patrolling the ROW and conditions reported?
3.	88973	Sat	2	MO.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
4.	88973	Sat	2	MO.RW	3.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
5.	88973	Sat	2	MO.RW	4.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
6.	88973	Sat	2	MO.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for placement of ROW markers?
7.	88973	Sat		PD.DP	1.	PD.DP.PDPROGRAM.P	192.614(a)	Is a damage prevention program approved and in place?
8.	88973	Sat		PD.DP	2.	PD.DP.ONECALL.P	192.614(b)	Does the process require participation in qualified one-call systems?
9.	88973	Sat		PD.DP	3.	PD.DP.EXCAVATEMARK.P	192.614(c)(5)	Does the process require marking proposed excavation sites to CGA Best Practices or use more stringent and accurate requirements?
10.	88973	Sat		PD.DP	4.	PD.DP.TPD.P	192.614(c)(1)	Does the process specify how reports of Third Party Activity and names of associated contractors or excavators are input back into the mail-outs and communications with excavators along the system?
11.	88973	Sat		PD.DP	5.	PD.DP.TPDONECALL.P	192.614(c)(3)	Does the process specify how reports of TPD are checked against One-Call tickets?

Sub- Qst

Row	Assets	Result	(Note <sup>1</sup> )	Group	#	Question ID	References	Question Text
12.	88973	NC		PD.DP	6.	PD.DP.ONECALL.O	192.614(c)(3)	Observe operator process a "One Call" ticket.
13.	88973	Sat		PD.DP	7.	PD.DP.PDPROGRAM.R	192.614(c)	Does the damage prevention program meet minimum requirements specified in 192.614(c)?
14.	88973	Sat		PD.DP	8.	PD.DP.DPINFOGATHER.P	192.917(b) (192.935(b)(1)(ii))	Does the process require critical damage prevention information be gathered and recorded during pipeline patrols, leak surveys, and integrity assessments?
15.	88973	Sat		PD.DP	9.	PD.DP.DPINFOGATHER.R	192.947(b) (192.917(b), 192.935(b)(1)(ii))	Do records demonstrate that critical damage prevention information is being gathered and recorded during pipeline patrols, leakage surveys, and integrity assessments?
16.	88973	Sat		PD.DP	10.	PD.DP.NOTICETOEXCAVATOR.P		Is there a process to provide the required information to excavators who damage pipeline facilities?
17.	88973	Sat		PD.DP	11.	PD.DP.NOTICETOEXCAVATOR.R		Do records indicate that the operator provides the required information to excavators who damage pipeline facilities?
18.	88973	Sat		PD.DP	12.	PD.DP.COMMISSIONREPORT.P		Is there a process to report to the commission when the operator or its contractor observes or becomes aware of the activities described in WAC 480-93-200(9)?
19.	88973	NA		PD.DP	13.	PD.DP.COMMISSIONREPORT.R		Do records indicate the operator reports to the commission when the operator or its contractor observes or becomes aware of the activities described in WAC 480-93-200(9)?
20.	88973	Sat		PD.PA	1.	PD.PA.ASSETS.P	192.616(b) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 Step 4)	Does the program clearly identify the specific pipeline systems and facilities to be included in the program, along with the unique attributes and characteristics of each?
21.	88973	Sat		PD.PA	2.	PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.P	192.616(d) (192.616(e), 192.616(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 Section 3)	Does the program establish methods to identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities,

Row Assets Result (Note1) Group # Question ID References **Question Text** school districts. businesses, and residents? 22. 88973 Sat PD.PA 3. PD.PA.MGMTSUPPORT.P 192.616(a) (API RP Does the operator's 1162 Section 2.5, program documentation API RP 1162 Section demonstrate management 7.1) support? 23. 88973 Sat PD.PA 192.616(a) Has the continuing public 4. PD.PA.PROGRAM.P (192.616(h)) education (awareness) program been established as required? Do records identify the 24. 88973 Sat PD.PA 5. PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.R 192.616(d) (192.616(e), individual stakeholders in 192.616(f), API RP the four affected stakeholder audience 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 Section groups: (1) affected 3) public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents to which it sends public awareness materials and messages? 25. 88973 Sat PD.PA 6. PD.PA.MESSAGES.P 192.616(c) (API RP Does the program define 1162 Section 3, API the combination of RP 1162 Section 4. messages, delivery API RP 1162 Section methods, and delivery 5) frequencies to comprehensively reach all affected stakeholder audiences in all areas where gas is transported? 26. 88973 Sat PD.PA 192.616(c) (API RP Were relevant factors 7. PD.PA.SUPPLEMENTAL.P 1162 Section 6.2) considered to determine the need for supplemental public awareness program enhancements for each stakeholder audience, as described in API RP 1162? 27. 88973 Sat PD.PA Did delivered messages 8. PD.PA.EDUCATE.R 192.616(d) (192.616(f)) specifically include provisions to educate the public, emergency officials, local public officials, and excavators on: (1) Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a gas pipeline facility; (3) Physical indications of a possible release; (4) Steps to be taken for public safety in the event of a gas pipeline release: and (5) Procedures to report such an event? 28. 88973 Sat PD.PA 9. PD.PA.LOCATIONMESSAGE.R Were messages developed 192.616(e) (192.616(f)) and delivered to advise

Row Assets Result (Note1) Group # **Ouestion ID** References **Question Text** affected municipalities, school districts. businesses, and residents of pipeline facility locations? 29 88973 Sat PD.PA 192.616(c) (API RP Did the delivery of 10. PD.PA.MESSAGEFREQUENCY.R 1162 Table 2-1, API materials and messages RP 1162 Table 2-2, meet or exceed the API RP 1162 Table baseline delivery 2-3) frequencies specified in API RP 1162, Table 2-1 through Table 2.3? Do records indicate that 30. 88973 Sat 2 PD.PA 11. EP.ERG.LIAISON.R 192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), liaison has been 192.615(c)(2), established and maintained with 192.615(c)(3), appropriate fire, police, 192.615(c)(4), 192.616(c), ADB-05 public officials, and utility 03) owners? 31. 88973 Sat PD.PA 192.616(g) (API RP 12. PD.PA.LANGUAGE.P Does the program require 1162 Section 2.3.1) that materials and messages be provided in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas? 32. 88973 Sat PD.PA 13. PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R 192.616(g) (API RP Were materials and 1162 Section 2.3.1) messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas? Does the program include 33. 88973 Sat PD.PA 14. PD.PA.EVALPLAN.P. 192.616(i) (192.616(c), API RP a process that specifies 1162 Section 8, API how program RP 1162 Appendix E) implementation and effectiveness will be periodically evaluated? 34. 88973 Sat PD.PA 15. PD.PA.EVALIMPL.R 192.616(c) Has an audit or review of (192.616(i), API RP the operator's program 1162 Section 8.3) implementation been performed annually since the program was developed? 35. 88973 Sat PD.PA 16. PD.PA.AUDITMETHODS.R 192.616(c) Was one or more of the (192.616(i), API RP three acceptable methods 1162 Section 8.3) (i.e., internal assessment, 3rd-party contractor review, or regulatory inspections) used to complete the annual audit or review of program implementation? 36. 88973 Sat PD.PA 17. PD.PA.PROGRAMIMPROVE.R 192.616(c) (API RP Were changes made to improve the program 1162 Section 8.3) and/or the implementation process

Sub- Qst

Row	Assets	Result	(Note <sup>1</sup> )	Group		Question ID	References	Question Text
								based on the results and findings of the annual audit(s)?
37.	88973	Sat		PD.PA	18.	PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4)	Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?
38.	88973	Sat		PD.PA	19.	PD.PA.MEASUREOUTREACH.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1)	In evaluating effectiveness, was actual program outreach for each stakeholder audience tracked?
39.	88973	Sat		PD.PA	20.	PD.PA.MEASUREUNDERSTANDABILITY.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2)	In evaluating program effectiveness, was the percentage of each stakeholder audience that understood and retained the key information from the messages determined?
40.	88973	Sat		PD.PA	21.	PD.PA.MEASUREBEHAVIOR.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.3)	In evaluating program effectiveness, was evaluation made of whether appropriate preventive, response, and mitigative behaviors were understood and likely to be exhibited?
41.	88973	Sat		PD.PA	22.	PD.PA.MEASUREBOTTOM.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4)	Were bottom-line results of the program measured by tracking third-party incidents and consequences including: (1) near misses, (2) excavation damages resulting in pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not result in pipeline failures?
42.	88973	Sat		PD.PA	23.	PD.PA.CHANGES.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12), API RP 1162 Section 8.5)	Were needed changes and/or modifications to the program identified and documented based on the results and findings of the program effectiveness evaluations?
43.	88973	Sat	2	PD.RW	1.	MO.RW.PATROL.P	192.705(a) (192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for patrolling the ROW and conditions reported?
44.	88973	Sat	2	PD.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
45.	88973	Sat	2	PD.RW	3.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?

Row	Assets	Result	(Note <sup>1</sup> )	Sub- Group	UST #	Question ID	References	Question Text
46.	88973	Sat	2	PD.RW	4.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
47.	88973	Sat	2	PD.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for placement of ROW markers?
48.	88973	NA		PD.SP	1.	PD.SP.REPAIR.P	190.341(d)(2)	If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit have the processes been modified to incorporate the requirements of the permit for required repairs?
49.	88973	NA		PD.SP	2.	PD.SP.BESTPRACTICE.P	190.341(d)(2)	If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit, do the processes specify implementation of applicable CGA Best Practices?
50.	88973	NA		PD.SP	3.	PD.SP.REPAIR.R	190.341(d)(2)	If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit, do records indicate that required repairs were performed?
51.	88973	NA		PD.SP	4.	PD.SP.REQUIREMENT.O	190.341(d)(2)	If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit verify that the requirements have been implemented.

1. Result is repeated (N) times in this report due to re-presentation of the question in multiple sub-groups.

Except as required to be disclosed by law, any inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.