# Inspection Output (IOR)

Generated on 2022. September. 20 13:56

## **Report Filters**

Assets All, and including items not linked to any asset. Results All

## **Inspection Information**

Inspection Name 8413 - Airgas PA

Start Year 2022 System Type GT

Protocol Set ID GT.2022.01

Operator(s) AIRGAS MERCHANT GAS KALAMA (39624)

Lead Scott Anderson

Team Members David Cullom, Dennis Ritter, Lex Vinsel, Anthony Dorrough, Derek Norwood,

Darren Tinnerstet
Observer(s) Deborah Becker, Rell Koizumi

Supervisor Scott Rukke

Director Sean Mayo

Plan Submitted 02/15/2022

Plan Approval 02/16/2022 by Sean

Mayo

Doguinod

All Activity Start 09/19/2022

All Activity End 09/19/2022

Inspection Submitted --

Inspection Approval --

#### **Inspection Summary**

**Inspection Scope and Summary** 

This inspection consisted of a procedure and records review of the Airgas Kalama Public Awareness (PA) plan.

**Facilities visited and Total AFOD** 

1 AFOD

**Summary of Significant Findings** 

No findings

Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Scott Moon, Plant Manager, Airgas Kalama

Operator executive contact and mailing address for any official correspondence

Bill Madsen

12800 West Little York Road

Houston, Texas 77041

## Scope (Assets)

# Short Name Lon	ng Name	Asset Type	Asset IDs	Excluded Topics	Planned R	equired Ins	Total spected	Required % Complete
1. 88917 (1,850) AIR Gas	gGAS Merchant s Kalama	unit	88917	Compressor Stations Bottle/Pipe - Holders Vault Gas Storage Field (Aboveground) Offshore GOM	41	41	41	100.0%
				8413 - Airgas PA				Page 1 of 8

Report Filters: Results: all

Required Asset Total Asset IDs **Excluded Topics** Planned Required Inspected # Short Name Long Name Type Complete **OCS** Cast or Ductile Iron Copper Pipe Aluminum/Amphoteric Plastic Pipe **AMAOP** CDA

1. Percent completion excludes unanswered questions planned as "always observe".

#### **Plans**

#	Plan Assets	Focus Directives	Groups/Subgroups	Qst Type(s)	Extent	Notes
1.	88917 (1,850)		PD	P, R, O	Detail	

### **Plan Implementations**

										Required
	Activity	SMART	Start Date	Focus	Involved		Qst		Tota	l %
#	Name	Act#	End Date	Directives	Groups/Subgroups	Assets	Type(s)	Planned Req	uired Inspected	l Complete
1.	PA		09/19/2022		PD		all types	41	41 41	100.0%
			09/19/2022			assets				

- 1. Since questions may be implemented in multiple activities, but answered only once, questions may be represented more than once in this table.
- 2. Percent completion excludes unanswered questions planned as "always observe".

#### **Forms**

No.	Entity	Form Name	Status	Date Completed	<b>Activity Name</b>	Asset
1	Attendance List	PA	COMPLETED	09/20/2022	PA	88917 (1.850)

## Results (all values, 41 results)

47 (instead of 41) results are listed due to re-presentation of questions in more than one sub-group.

#### **EP.ERG: Emergency Response**

1. Question Result, ID, Sat, EP.ERG.LIAISON.R, 192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3), 192.615(c)(4), References 192.616(c), ADB-05-03) (also presented in: PD.PA)

Question Text Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Reviewed public liaison list with the appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners in the ERP.

Phone numbers and names were included.

#### MO.RW: ROW Markers, Patrols, Leakage Survey and Monitoring

2. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.PATROL.P, 192.705(a) (192.705(b), 192.705(c)) (also presented in: PD.RW) References

Question Text Does the process adequately cover the requirements for patrolling the ROW and conditions reported? Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas O&M P-192.705(a-c)

```
3. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.PATROL.R, 192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c)) (also presented in: PD.RW)
              References
            Question Text Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
          Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)
             Result Notes Reviewed Airgas pipeline patrol reports for the last 3 years. No issues
   4. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O, 192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d)) (also presented in:
              References PD.RW)
            Question Text Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
          Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)
   5. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O, 192.705(a) (192.705(c)) (also presented in: PD.RW)
            Question Text Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
          Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)
   6. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P, 192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d)) (also presented in:
              References PD.RW)
            Question Text Does the process adequately cover the requirements for placement of ROW markers?
          Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)
             Result Notes Airgas O&M P-192.707
PD.DP: Damage Prevention
   7. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.PDPROGRAM.P, 192.614(a)
            Question Text Is a damage prevention program approved and in place?
          Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)
             Result Notes Airgas O&M P-192.614
   8. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.ONECALL.P, 192.614(b)
            Question Text Does the process require participation in qualified one-call systems?
          Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)
             Result Notes Airgas O&M P-192.614
   9. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.EXCAVATEMARK.P, 192.614(c)(5)
              References
            Question Text Does the process require marking proposed excavation sites to CGA Best Practices or use more stringent
                         and accurate requirements?
          Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)
             Result Notes Airgas O&M P-192.614
  10. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.TPD.P, 192.614(c)(1)
              References
            Question Text Does the process specify how reports of Third Party Activity and names of associated contractors or
                          excavators are input back into the mail-outs and communications with excavators along the system?
          Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)
             Result Notes Airgas O&M P-192.614
  11. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.TPDONECALL.P, 192.614(c)(3)
            Question Text Does the process specify how reports of TPD are checked against One-Call tickets?
          Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)
             Result Notes Airgas O&M P-192.615
  12. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.DP.ONECALL.O, 192.614(c)(3)
              References
            Question Text Observe operator process a "One Call" ticket.
          Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)
```

Result Notes No such activity/condition was observed during the inspection.

13. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.PDPROGRAM.R, 192.614(c)

Question Text Does the damage prevention program meet minimum requirements specified in 192.614(c)?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes The damage prevention program meets minimum requirements specified in 192.614(c). Reviewed stakeholder audience names and addresses in mailing list.

14. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.DPINFOGATHER.P, 192.917(b) (192.935(b)(1)(ii)) References

Question Text Does the process require critical damage prevention information be gathered and recorded during pipeline patrols, leak surveys, and integrity assessments?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas O&M section P-192.614

15. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.DPINFOGATHER.R, 192.947(b) (192.917(b), 192.935(b)(1)(ii))

Question Text Do records demonstrate that critical damage prevention information is being gathered and recorded during pipeline patrols, leakage surveys, and integrity assessments?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Reviewed patrol records from the last 3 years. No issues

#### PD.PA: Public Awareness

16. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.ASSETS.P, 192.616(b) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 Step 4)
References

Question Text Does the program clearly identify the specific pipeline systems and facilities to be included in the program, along with the unique attributes and characteristics of each?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas PA plan section section 4, also PA map provided by Paradigm

17. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.P, 192.616(d) (192.616(e), 192.616(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 References Section 3)

Question Text Does the program establish methods to identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas PA plan section section 5

18. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MGMTSUPPORT.P, 192.616(a) (API RP 1162 Section 2.5, API RP 1162 Section 7.1)
References

Question Text Does the operator's program documentation demonstrate management support?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas PA plan section section 2

19. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.PROGRAM.P, 192.616(a) (192.616(h))

Question Text Has the continuing public education (awareness) program been established as required?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes The continuing public education program has been established as required

20. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.R, 192.616(d) (192.616(e), 192.616(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 References Section 3)

Question Text Do records identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents to which it sends public awareness materials and messages?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Reviewed Airgas mailer to stakeholder audiences.

21. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MESSAGES.P, 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 3, API RP 1162 Section 4, API RP 1162 References Section 5)

Question Text Does the program define the combination of messages, delivery methods, and delivery frequencies to comprehensively reach all affected stakeholder audiences in all areas where gas is transported?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas PA plan section 8

22. Question Result, ID, References Sat, PD.PA.SUPPLEMENTAL.P, 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 6.2)

Question Text Were relevant factors considered to determine the need for supplemental public awareness program enhancements for each stakeholder audience, as described in API RP 1162?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas PA plan section 6 table 7, section 7

23. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.EDUCATE.R, 192.616(d) (192.616(f))

Question Text Did delivered messages specifically include provisions to educate the public, emergency officials, local public officials, and excavators on: (1) Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a gas pipeline facility; (3) Physical indications of a possible release; (4) Steps to be taken for public safety in the event of a gas pipeline release; and (5) Procedures to report such an event?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas mailer includes the 5 items listed in this question.

24. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.LOCATIONMESSAGE.R, 192.616(e) (192.616(f))

Question Text Were messages developed and delivered to advise affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents of pipeline facility locations?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Messages were developed and delivered to advise affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents of the pipeline facility location.

25. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MESSAGEFREQUENCY.R, 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Table 2-1, API RP 1162 Table 2-2, API RP References 1162 Table 2-3)

Question Text Did the delivery of materials and messages meet or exceed the baseline delivery frequencies specified in API RP 1162, Table 2-1 through Table 2.3?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas meets the baseline delivery frequency, Airgas mailers are distributed annually to all stakeholder groups

26. Question Result, ID, Sat, EP.ERG.LIAISON.R, 192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3), 192.615(c)(4), References 192.616(c), ADB-05-03) (also presented in: EP.ERG)

Question Text Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Reviewed public liaison list with the appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners in the ERP.

Phone numbers and names were included.

27. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.LANGUAGE.P, 192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1) References

Question Text Does the program require that materials and messages be provided in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas PA plan section 6

28. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R, 192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)

Question Text Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas mailer is in English and Spanish

29. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.EVALPLAN.P, 192.616(i) (192.616(c), API RP 1162 Section 8, API RP 1162 Appendix E) References

Question Text Does the program include a process that specifies how program implementation and effectiveness will be periodically evaluated?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas PA plan section 11, section 12 table 14

30. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.EVALIMPL.R, 192.616(c) (192.616(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)

Question Text Has an audit or review of the operator's program implementation been performed annually since the program was developed?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes An audit or review of the Airgas PA plan has been implemented annually since the program was developed. Reviewed revisions log also.

31. Question Result, ID, References Sat, PD.PA.AUDITMETHODS.R, 192.616(c) (192.616(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)

Question Text Was one or more of the three acceptable methods (i.e., internal assessment, 3rd-party contractor review, or regulatory inspections) used to complete the annual audit or review of program implementation?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas uses 3rd party contractor Paradigm to complete the annual audit or review of the program implementation.

32. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.PA.PROGRAMIMPROVE.R, 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.3)

Question Text Were changes made to improve the program and/or the implementation process based on the results and findings of the annual audit(s)?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. No significant changes.

33. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R, 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4)

Question Text Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Reviewed effectiveness reviews provided by Paradigm. Reviews were performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along the pipeline.

34. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MEASUREOUTREACH.R, 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1)

Question Text In evaluating effectiveness, was actual program outreach for each stakeholder audience tracked? Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Reviewed Airgas PA outreach measurement document. Outreach was tracked.

35. Question Result, ID, References Sat, PD.PA.MEASUREUNDERSTANDABILITY.R, 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2)

Question Text In evaluating program effectiveness, was the percentage of each stakeholder audience that understood and retained the key information from the messages determined?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Reviewed the knowledge section of the last 3 (2019-2021) effectiveness reviews. Audiences understood and retained the key information from the messages.

36. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MEASUREBEHAVIOR.R, 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.3)

Question Text In evaluating program effectiveness, was evaluation made of whether appropriate preventive, response, and mitigative behaviors were understood and likely to be exhibited?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Reviewed the knowledge section of the last 3 (2019-2021) effectiveness reviews.

37. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.PA.MEASUREBOTTOM.R, 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4)

Question Text Were bottom-line results of the program measured by tracking third-party incidents and consequences including: (1) near misses, (2) excavation damages resulting in pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not result in pipeline failures?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

38. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.CHANGES.R, 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12), API RP 1162 Section 8.5) References

Question Text Were needed changes and/or modifications to the program identified and documented based on the results and findings of the program effectiveness evaluations?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

#### PD.RW: ROW Markers, Patrols, Monitoring

39. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.PATROL.P, 192.705(a) (192.705(b), 192.705(c)) (also presented in: MO.RW)
References

Question Text Does the process adequately cover the requirements for patrolling the ROW and conditions reported?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas O&M P-192.705(a-c)

40. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.PATROL.R, 192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c)) (also presented in: MO.RW)

Question Text Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Reviewed Airgas pipeline patrol reports for the last 3 years. No issues

41. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O, 192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d)) (also presented in: References MO.RW)

Question Text Are line markers placed and maintained as required?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

42. Question Result, ID, References Sat, MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O, 192.705(a) (192.705(c)) (also presented in: MO.RW)

Question Text Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

43. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P, 192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d)) (also presented in: References MO.RW)

Question Text Does the process adequately cover the requirements for placement of ROW markers?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes Airgas O&M P-192.707

#### **PD.SP: Special Permits**

44. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.SP.REPAIR.P, 190.341(d)(2)

Question Text If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit have the processes been modified to incorporate the requirements of the permit for required repairs?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. No special permits

45. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.SP.BESTPRACTICE.P, 190.341(d)(2)
References

Question Text If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit, do the processes specify implementation of applicable CGA Best Practices?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. No special permits

46. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.SP.REPAIR.R, 190.341(d)(2)

Question Text If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit, do records indicate that required repairs were performed?

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. No special permits

47. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.SP.REQUIREMENT.O, 190.341(d)(2) References

Question Text If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit verify that the requirements have been implemented.

Assets Covered 88917 (1,850)

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review. No special permits

Except as required to be disclosed by law, any inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.

8413 - Airgas PA Page 8 of 8

# **Inspection Results (IRR)**

Generated on 2022. September. 20 13:55

## • 88917 (1,850) (47)

Row	Assets	Pocult	(Note <sup>1</sup> )	Sub-		Question ID	References	Question Text
	1		,					
1.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	EP.ERG	24.	EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3), 192.615(c)(4), 192.616(c), ADB- 05-03)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
2.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	MO.RW	1.	MO.RW.PATROL.P	192.705(a) (192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for patrolling the ROW and conditions reported?
3.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	MO.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
4.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	MO.RW	3.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
5.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	MO.RW	4.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
6.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	MO.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for placement of ROW markers?
7.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.DP	1.	PD.DP.PDPROGRAM.P	192.614(a)	Is a damage prevention program approved and in place?
8.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.DP	2.	PD.DP.ONECALL.P	192.614(b)	Does the process require participation in qualified one-call systems?
9.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.DP	3.	PD.DP.EXCAVATEMARK.P	192.614(c)(5)	Does the process require marking proposed excavation sites to CGA Best Practices or use more stringent and accurate requirements?
10.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.DP	4.	PD.DP.TPD.P	192.614(c)(1)	Does the process specify how reports of Third Party Activity and names of associated

Row	Assets	Result	(Note <sup>1</sup> )	Sub- Group		Question ID	References	Question Text
				-				contractors or excavators are input back into the mail-outs and communications with excavators along the system?
11.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.DP	5.	PD.DP.TPDONECALL.P	192.614(c)(3)	Does the process specify how reports of TPD are checked against One-Call tickets?
12.	88917 (1,850)	NA		PD.DP	6.	PD.DP.ONECALL.O	192.614(c)(3)	Observe operator process a "One Call" ticket.
13.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.DP	7.	PD.DP.PDPROGRAM.R	192.614(c)	Does the damage prevention program meet minimum requirements specified in 192.614(c)?
14.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.DP	8.	PD.DP.DPINFOGATHER.P	192.917(b) (192.935(b)(1)(ii))	Does the process require critical damage prevention information be gathered and recorded during pipeline patrols, leak surveys, and integrity assessments?
15.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.DP	9.	PD.DP.DPINFOGATHER.R	192.947(b) (192.917(b), 192.935(b)(1)(ii))	Do records demonstrate that critical damage prevention information is being gathered and recorded during pipeline patrols, leakage surveys, and integrity assessments?
16.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	1.	PD.PA.ASSETS.P	192.616(b) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 Step 4)	Does the program clearly identify the specific pipeline systems and facilities to be included in the program, along with the unique attributes and characteristics of each?
17.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	2.	PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.P	192.616(d) (192.616(e), 192.616(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 Section 3)	Does the program establish methods to identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and

Sub- Qst

Row	Assets	Result	(Note <sup>1</sup> )	Group		Question ID	References	<b>Question Text</b>
								(4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents?
18.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	3.	PD.PA.MGMTSUPPORT.P	192.616(a) (API RP 1162 Section 2.5, API RP 1162 Section 7.1)	Does the operator's program documentation demonstrate management support?
19.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	4.	PD.PA.PROGRAM.P	192.616(a) (192.616(h))	Has the continuing public education (awareness) program been established as required?
20.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	5.	PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.R	192.616(d) (192.616(e), 192.616(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 Section 3)	Do records identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents to which it sends public awareness materials and messages?
21.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	6.	PD.PA.MESSAGES.P	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 3, API RP 1162 Section 4, API RP 1162 Section 5)	Does the program define the combination of messages, delivery methods, and delivery frequencies to comprehensively reach all affected stakeholder audiences in all areas where gas is transported?
22.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	7.	PD.PA.SUPPLEMENTAL.P	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 6.2)	Were relevant factors considered to determine the need for supplemental public awareness program enhancements for each stakeholder audience, as described in API RP 1162?
23.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	8.	PD.PA.EDUCATE.R	192.616(d) (192.616(f))	Did delivered messages specifically include provisions to educate the public,

Row	Assets	Result	(Note <sup>1</sup> )	Sub- Group		Question ID	References	Question Text
								emergency officials, local public officials, and excavators on: (1) Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a gas pipeline facility; (3) Physical indications of a possible release; (4) Steps to be taken for public safety in the event of a gas pipeline release; and (5) Procedures to report such an event?
24.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	9.	1	192.616(e) (192.616(f))	Were messages developed and delivered to advise affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents of pipeline facility locations?
25.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	10.		1162 Table 2-1,	Did the delivery of materials and messages meet or exceed the baseline delivery frequencies specified in API RP 1162, Table 2-1 through Table 2.3?
26.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	PD.PA	11.		192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3), 192.615(c)(4), 192.616(c), ADB- 05-03)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
	88917 (1,850)			PD.PA			192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	require that materials and messages be provided in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
28.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	13.			Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages

_			<i>(</i> 1) 1 1	Sub-			5.6	
Row	Assets	Result	(Note <sup>1</sup> )	Group	#	Question ID	References	Question Text commonly
								understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
29.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	14.	PD.PA.EVALPLAN.P	192.616(i) (192.616(c), API RP 1162 Section 8, API RP 1162 Appendix E)	Does the program include a process that specifies how program implementation and effectiveness will be periodically evaluated?
30.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	15.	PD.PA.EVALIMPL.R	192.616(c) (192.616(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Has an audit or review of the operator's program implementation been performed annually since the program was developed?
31.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	16.	PD.PA.AUDITMETHODS.R	192.616(c) (192.616(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Was one or more of the three acceptable methods (i.e., internal assessment, 3rd-party contractor review, or regulatory inspections) used to complete the annual audit or review of program implementation?
32.	88917 (1,850)	NA		PD.PA	17.	PD.PA.PROGRAMIMPROVE.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Were changes made to improve the program and/or the implementation process based on the results and findings of the annual audit(s)?
33.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	18.	PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4)	Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?
34.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	19.	PD.PA.MEASUREOUTREACH.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1)	
35.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	20.	PD.PA.MEASUREUNDERSTANDABILITY.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2)	In evaluating program effectiveness, was the percentage of each stakeholder audience that

Row	Assets	Result	(Note <sup>1</sup> )	Sub- Group		Question ID	References	Question Text
								understood and retained the key information from the messages determined?
36.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	21.	PD.PA.MEASUREBEHAVIOR.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.3)	
37.	88917 (1,850)	NA		PD.PA	22.	PD.PA.MEASUREBOTTOM.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4)	Were bottom-line results of the program measured by tracking third-party incidents and consequences including: (1) near misses, (2) excavation damages resulting in pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not result in pipeline failures?
38.	88917 (1,850)	Sat		PD.PA	23.	PD.PA.CHANGES.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12), API RP 1162 Section 8.5)	Were needed changes and/or modifications to the program identified and documented based on the results and findings of the program effectiveness evaluations?
39.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	PD.RW	1.	MO.RW.PATROL.P	192.705(a) (192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for patrolling the ROW and conditions reported?
40.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	PD.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
41.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	PD.RW	3.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
42.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	PD.RW	4.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
43.	88917 (1,850)	Sat	2	PD.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for

Row	Assets	Result	(Note <sup>1</sup> )	Sub- Group		Question ID	References	Question Text
								placement of ROW markers?
44.	88917 (1,850)	NA		PD.SP	1.	PD.SP.REPAIR.P	190.341(d)(2)	If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit have the processes been modified to incorporate the requirements of the permit for required repairs?
45.	88917 (1,850)	NA		PD.SP	2.	PD.SP.BESTPRACTICE.P	190.341(d)(2)	If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit, do the processes specify implementation of applicable CGA Best Practices?
46.	88917 (1,850)	NA		PD.SP	3.	PD.SP.REPAIR.R	190.341(d)(2)	If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit, do records indicate that required repairs were performed?
47.	88917 (1,850)	NA		PD.SP	4.	PD.SP.REQUIREMENT.O	190.341(d)(2)	If the operator operates a pipeline under a special permit verify that the requirements have been implemented.

1. Result is repeated (N) times in this report due to re-presentation of the question in multiple sub-groups.

Report Parameters: All non-empty Results

Except as required to be disclosed by law, any inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.