Inspection ID:	6756			
Name of Operator:	Olympic Pipeline Company			
OPID No. 30781		Unit ID No. Intrastate	e Laterals	
HQ Address:		System/Unit Name &	Address:	
M.C. 9S		600 SW 39th Street, Su	ite 275	
30 S Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606		Renton, WA 98057		
Operator Official:	Gerald Maret	Address:	600 SW 39 <sup>th</sup> St Suite 275	
Title:	President	City:	Renton	
Phone:	6307302866	State:	WA	
Emergency	8882718880 Renton Control Cente	r Zip Code:	98057	
Phone/Cell:				
Persons Inter		Title	Phone No.	
John Newh	ouse DOT (	Compliance Specialist	3317023023	
State Representative Records Location:	1 2	ction Date(s) November 14-1s	5, 2016	

#### **Unit Description:**

Intrastate laterals: these include the Seattle lateral (12" line, 12.83 miles long), SeaTac lateral (12" line, 5.54 miles long), Tacoma lateral (8" line, 3.72 miles long), Olympia lateral (6" line, 14.9 miles long), and Vancouver lateral (12" line, 4.4 miles long). The Olympia lateral has been out-of-service since early 2009. The 6" pipeline was purged and filled with nitrogen gas at 13 psig pressure. A section of the Olympia lateral was removed for construction of a new road at approximately MP 12.2 88<sup>th</sup>

### **Portion of Unit Inspected:**

Records were reviewed at Renton Station.

Portions of all the laterals were inspected as noted in the Form R Field Notes as follows:

Renton Station-signage, CP test point, atmospheric corrosion

Seattle lateral- R/W, markings, signage, casing, check valve, CP test points, bridge crossing,

Seattle DF-atmospheric corrosion, rectifier, Tank 102-coating, CP test reads, vents, nameplate, chime, site drainage

Sea Tac lateral-R/W, markings, facility signage, CP test points, atmospheric corrosion, rectifier

Tacoma lateral-R/W, markings, casing, facility signage, CP test points, bridge crossing, atmospheric corrosion, rectifier

Tacoma Junction-signage, security, CP test point, rectifier

Tacoma DF-signage, CP Test point, rectifier

Olympia lateral: R/W, markings, casings, CP test point

Vancouver lateral: R/W, markings, casings, facility signage, CP test points,

Vancouver Junction- facility signage, CP test points, rectifiers

Vancouver DF- signage, rectifier, Tank 107- coating, CP test reads, vents, nameplate, chime, site drainage, bonds

Sat – Meets requirements Con – "Concern" meets requirements, but is an						
	c ı		/ 41 43			
lead to non-compliance	area of recommend	ation and	or area that	if not addr	essed may	y
Unsat – Does not meet requirements						
N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked						
1VC - IVI CHECKEU						
			<b>D</b> : .			
ssessment and Repair - Ext	ernal Corro	sion	Direct .	Asses	smen	t
CDA)						
CDA)						
4 ECDA Indivest Everyingtion (see						
4. ECDA Indirect Examination (co			amination perf	ormed in a	ccordance	with t
erator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD)	AINDIRECT.O) (confi	m)			ı	
erator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD)			Concern	Unsat	NA	with t
erator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD)	AINDIRECT.O) (confi	m)		Unsat	ı	
erator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD) 5.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))	AINDIRECT.O) (confi	m)		Unsat	NA	
erator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD) 5.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii)) otes	AINDIRECT.O) (confi	m)		Unsat	NA	
erator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD) 5.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii)) otes	AINDIRECT.O) (confi	m)		Unsat	NA	
4. ECDA Indirect Examination (coerator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD) (5.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii)))  otes onot use ECDA	AINDIRECT.O) (confi	m)		Unsat	NA	
erator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD) 5.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))  otes  not use ECDA	AINDIRECT.O) (confi	m) Sat	Concern	Unsat	N A	N
erator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD/ 5.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii)) otes o not use ECDA  6. ECDA Direct Examination (conf	AINDIRECT.O) (confi	m) Sat	Concern	Unsat	N A	N
erator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD/ 5.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii)) otes o not use ECDA  6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)	Sat+  Firm) Was the direct	m) Sat	Concern ation performe	<b>Unsat</b>	N A X	N
6. ECDA Direct Examination (configurements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)	AINDIRECT.O) (confi	m) Sat	Concern	Unsat	N A X dance with	N
erator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD/ 5.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii)) otes o not use ECDA  6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)	Sat+  Firm) Was the direct	m) Sat	Concern ation performe	<b>Unsat</b>	N A X	N
6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)  5.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))	Sat+  Firm) Was the direct	m) Sat	Concern ation performe	<b>Unsat</b>	N A X dance with	N
erator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECD/ 5.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii)) otes o not use ECDA	Sat+  Firm) Was the direct	m) Sat	Concern ation performe	<b>Unsat</b>	N A X dance with	N
6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)  5.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))	Sat+  Firm) Was the direct	m) Sat	Concern ation performe	<b>Unsat</b>	N A X dance with	N
6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)  5.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))	Sat+  Firm) Was the direct	rm) Sat  Et examina	ation performe	Unsat  d in accord	NA X	N
6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)  5.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))  6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)  5.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))  6. Dotes  6. not use ECDA  7. Post Assessment (detail) Do received.	Sat+  Firm) Was the direct	rm) Sat  Et examina	ation performe	Unsat  d in accord	NA X	N
### Procedures and 195.588(b)(3)? (AR.EC.ECDA 5.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))  ### Ottes ### Onot use ECDA    6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)    5.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))    6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)    5.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))    6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)    5.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))    6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)    6. ECDA Direct Examination (configuirements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)    7. Post Assessment (detail) Do rectal (configuirements) (configuire	Sat+  Sat+  Sat+  Cords indicate that re	sat sat Sat quiremen	Concern  ation performe  Concern	Unsat  d in accord  Unsat	NA X dance with NA X	N
6. ECDA Direct Examination (configurements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)  5.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))  6. ECDA Direct Examination (configurements? (AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O) (confirm)  5.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))  6. Otes  1. Post Assessment (detail) Do receivers and the second configurements.	Sat+  Sat+  Sat+  Cords indicate that re	rm) Sat  Et examina	ation performe	Unsat  Unsat  Unsat	NA X	N

## Assessment and Repair - In-Line Inspection (Smart Pigs)

#### 9. IMP Baseline and/or Continual Assessments Prioritized Assessment Schedule

(detail) Does a review of records indicate that continual assessments are implemented as specified in the plan? (AR.IL.ASSESSSCHEDULE.R) (detail)

195,452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(b)(5); 195.452(c); 195.452(d); 195.452(f)(2); 195.452(f)(5))

Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	N A	N C
	X				

#### Notes

All laterals: Seattle, SeaTac, Tacoma, Olympia (idled) and Vancouver are on the same schedule as mainline assessments. They were all assessed annually from 2000 to 2003, then put on a 5 year window starting in 2005.

**13. Integration of ILI Results with Other Information (confirm)** *Did the operator integrate other data/information when evaluating tool data/results in the records reviewed?* (AR.IL.ILIINTEGRATION.R) (confirm)

195.452(I)(1)(ii) (195.452(g))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
		Χ				

#### **Notes**

OPL integrity specialist compares previous tool runs with latest (tool vendor does the same) and also uses RAID (reassessment interval determination) process to integrate data. See results from latest OPL Field IMP Inspection 11/2016.

20. Compliance with ILI Procedures (detail) Have the ILI procedures been followed?

(AR.IL.ILIIMPLEMENT.O) (detail)

195.452(b)(5)	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC	
					Χ		

#### Notes

Did not witness tool runs

## **Assessment and Repair - Integrity Assessment Via Pressure Test**

**4. Conduct of Pressure Tests (confirm)** From the review of the results of pressure tests, do the test records validate the pressure test? (AR.PTI.PRESSTESTRESULT.R) (confirm)

195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(2); 195.452(c))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
					Χ	
Notes No pressure testing						

195.452(j)(5)(ii) (195.452(c)(1)(i)(b))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
					X	
Notes No pressure testing	'					
ssessment and Repair - Oth	er Technol	ogy				
2. Other Technology Process (deta how the assessments were performed in accordance with						
.95.452(I)(1)(ii) (195.452(j)(5)(iv); 195.452(f)(5))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
					X	
Notes No other technology used						
	iiwaa 🕽 🕡	ess for th	e use of "Othe	r Technolo	gy" been f	ollowed
3. Other Technology Process (conf AR.OT.OTPLAN.O) (confirm)	IFM) Has the proc					
AR.OT.OTPLAN.O) (confirm)	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
		Sat	Concern		N A	N C
AR.OT.OTPLAN.O) (confirm) 195.452(j)(5)(iv)  Notes		Sat	Concern			NC
AR.OT.OTPLAN.O) (confirm) 195.452(j)(5)(iv)  Notes		Sat	Concern			N C
AR.OT.OTPLAN.O) (confirm) .95.452(j)(5)(iv)  Notes No other technology used  4. Categorization of Defects (deta	Sat+	v of the re	esults of select	ed integrity	X v assessme	
AR.OT.OTPLAN.O) (confirm) 195.452(j)(5)(iv)  Notes No other technology used	Sat+	v of the re	esults of select	ed integrity	X v assessme	

## **Assessment and Repair - Repair Criteria**

**3. Remedial Actions (IM) (detail)** Are anomaly remediation and documentation of remediation adequate? (AR.RC.REMEDIATION.O) (detail)

195.452(h) (195.402(a); 195.402(c)(14); 195.422(a); 195.569; Sat+ Sat

Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
	V				

#### Notes

Renton to Seattle lateral Site 001-003. All on Spokane River Bridge span. Repairs were a clockspring, Type B sleeve, and a recoat. All acceptable and adequate documentation of repairs.

## **Assessment and Repair - Repair Criteria (HCA)**

**2. Timely Discovery (detail)** From the review of the results of selected ILI and remediation projects, did discovery of all anomalies occur promptly, but no later than 180 days of completion of the assessment? (AR.RCHCA.DISCOVERY.R) (detail)

195.452(h)(2)

	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
Γ		Χ				

#### Notes

Baker Hughes tool recovered for Renton to Seattle on 12 Feb 15 Report from Baker Hughes dated April 2, 2015 within 180 days OK Remediation occurred on Oct 10, Oct 14, Oct 14, 2015.

**7. Pressure Reduction (confirm)** From the review of the results of ILI and remediation projects, was an acceptable pressure reduction promptly taken for each Immediate Repair condition or when a repair schedule could not be met? (AR.RCHCA.PRESSREDUCE.R) (confirm)

195.452(l)(1)(ii) (95.404(a); 195.404 (b); 195.452(h)(1)(ii); 195.452(h)(4)(i); 195.55(a))

Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
	Χ				

#### Notes

Shut down line and reduced pressure from 600 psi (operating pressure) to 100 psi. See AR.RCHCA.DEFECTCAT.R below

# PHMSA Form 19 Question Set (IA Equivalent) HAZARDOUS LIQUID INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTATION INSPECTION

**8. Categorization of Defects (confirm)** From the review of the results of integrity assessments and remediation projects, were there any defects that were not properly categorized? (AR.RCHCA.DEFECTCAT.R) (confirm)

195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(h)(4))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
				Χ		

#### Notes

Renton to Seattle lateral Site 001. Tool called out 48% metal loss and vendor did not determine this was a required dig due to remaining wall thickness. OPL integrity specialist decided to dig anyway due to the change in metal loss from previous tool run in 2010. Actual metal loss was 90%. OPL immediately shut down pipeline as did not meet wall thickness to maintain MOP. Repair was a Type B sleeve. Tool miss called the defect due to corrosion byproducts (magnetite) being trapped against the pipeline by the failed tape wrap, masking the actual depth of corrosion. This particular anomaly was discussed with PHMSA personnel for causative corroboration and to see if any enforcement action was necessary. This was thought to be a rational explanation as to how the tool miscalled the anomaly. This particular segment of the line is over salt water and hanging on the underside of a bridge. The tape wrapped joints were improperly installed in 1994 when this line was rerouted. All the joints have been rewrapped.

NOTE: this is checked "unsat" as the records show the tool did not adequately pick up the percentage of wall loss. However, this issue was resolved in 2015, so there will not be a finding from this inspection.

**10. IM Schedule (detail)** Do records demonstrate that the operator has met the schedule for remediating a condition in accordance with 195.452(h)(3)? (AR.RCHCA.IMSCHEDULE.R) (detail)

195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452.(h)(3))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
		Χ				

#### **Notes**

Baker Hughes tool recovered for Renton to Seattle on 12 Feb 15 Report from Baker Hughes dated April 2, 2015 within 180 days OK

Remediation occurred on Oct 10, Oct 14, Oct 14, 2015. Note this assumes the tool correctly called out the defect and the vendor/operator assigned the appropriate immediate, 60-d or 180-d designation. See 8. AR.RCHCA.DEFECTCAT.R Above.

**11. Timely Remediation (detail)** From the review of the results of selected ILI and remediation projects, were defects in segments that could affect an HCA remediated or dispositioned within the applicable mandatory time limits of 195.452(h)(4)? (AR.RCHCA.SCHEDULEIMPL.R) (detail)

195.452(I)(1)(ii) (195.452(h)(4))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
		Χ				

#### Notes

Baker Hughes tool recovered for Renton to Seattle on 12 Feb 15

Report from Baker Hughes dated April 2, 2015 within 180 days OK

Remediation occurred on Oct 10, Oct 14, Oct 14, 2015.

Note this assumes the tool correctly called out the defect and the vendor/operator assigned the appropriate immediate, 60-d or 180-d designation. See 8. AR.RCHCA.DEFECTCAT.R Above.

Page 6 of 12 IA v3.1.5 May 2015

## Assessment and Repair - Repair Criteria (O and M)

**3. Remedial Actions (OM) (detail)** Do the performance and documentation of remediation meet procedural requirements for non-IM repairs? (AR.RCOM.REMEDIATIONOM.O) (detail)

195.422(a) (195.422(b); 195.401(b)(1)195.402(a); 195.402(c)(14); 195.569; 195.579(c))

Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
				Y	

#### **Notes**

All repairs were in HCAs and therefore IM repairs. OPL's documentation of remediation was per their procedure.

## **Assessment and Repair - Repair Methods and Practices**

**2. Safety While Making Repair (detail)** Are repairs made in a safe manner and to prevent injury to persons and/or property damage? (AR.RMP.SAFETY.O) (detail)

195.422(a) (195.402(c)(14))

Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
	Χ				

#### Notes

Was on site for Renton to Seattle site 001-003. No issues.

**4. Permissible Repair Methods (confirm)** From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects, were all repairs performed in accordance with procedures and applicable sections of 49 CFR Part 195? (AR.RMP.METHOD.R) (confirm)

195.404(c)(1) (195.422(a); 195.422(b); 195.452(h)(1))

Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
	Χ				

#### Notes

Exhibit RR1 of P195.422

**5. Qualification of Personnel Performing Pipeline Repair (confirm)** From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects, were personnel performing repairs, other than welding, qualified for the task they performed? (AR.RMP.REPAIRQUAL.R) (confirm)

195.505(b) (195.507(a); 195.505(c); 195.452(h)(1))

Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
	X				

#### Notes

Reviewed personnel OQ on site for Renton to Seattle site 001-003. Snelson:, Justin Johnson, Joseph Sanford-Coating removal, prep, repair Snelson: Curtis Ary, Mike Whaley--welding

Applus/RTD: Ken Gula MT/UT NDE TIR-Mark Skodje-xray technician

Page 7 of 12 IA v3.1.5 May 2015

# PHMSA Form 19 Question Set (IA Equivalent) HAZARDOUS LIQUID INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTATION INSPECTION

	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
195.404(c)(1) (195.404(c)(2))	Satt	X	Concern	Olisac	NA.	14.0
Notes		Λ				
Reviewed USPL DRW 010-005 Repair and Inspection Reports	and Final Repa	air reports	for Renton to	Seattle Si	tes 001-00	)3
7. Replacement Components (confirm remediation projects and/or field observation, were component table the original component? (AR.RMP.REPLACESTD.R) (confirm	its that were r					
195.422(b)	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
					Χ	
9. Welder Qualification (confirm) From					t and reme	ediation
projects, were repairs requiring welding performed by qualified (AR.RMP.WELDERQUAL.R) (confirm) 195.214(a) (195.214(b); 195.222(a); 195.222(b);				redures?	t and reme	
9. Welder Qualification (confirm) From projects, were repairs requiring welding performed by qualified (AR.RMP.WELDERQUAL.R) (confirm) 195.214(a) (195.214(b); 195.222(a); 195.222(b); 195.452(h)(1))	d welders usin	g qualifie	d welding proc	redures?		ediation N C
projects, were repairs requiring welding performed by qualified (AR.RMP.WELDERQUAL.R) (confirm) 195.214(a) (195.214(b); 195.222(a); 195.222(b);	d welders usin	g qualifie	d welding proc	redures?		
projects, were repairs requiring welding performed by qualified (AR.RMP.WELDERQUAL.R) (confirm)  195.214(a) (195.214(b); 195.222(a); 195.222(b); 195.452(h)(1))  Notes  Snelson: Curtis Ary, Mike Whaley—welders. No issues  10. Repair of Weld Defects (confirm) is remediation projects, were weld defects repaired in accordance.	Sat+ From the reviee with §195.	Sat X	Concern  Concern	Unsat	N A	NC
projects, were repairs requiring welding performed by qualified (AR.RMP.WELDERQUAL.R) (confirm)  195.214(a) (195.214(b); 195.222(a); 195.222(b); 195.452(h)(1))  Notes  Snelson: Curtis Ary, Mike Whaley—welders. No issues  10. Repair of Weld Defects (confirm)	Sat+ From the reviee with §195.	Sat X	Concern  Concern	Unsat	N A	N C

	11. Inspection of Welds (	(confirm)	From the review	of the results	of integrity	assessment	and remediation
projects,	were welds inspected and examined	d in accordai	nce with 195.228 (	or 195.234? ( <i>I</i>	AR.RMP.WE	LDINSPECT.R	(confirm)

195.228(a) (195.228(b);195.234(a); 195.234(b); 195.234(c); 195.234(d); 195.234(e; 195.452(h)(1))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC

#### Notes

TIR-Mark Skodje-xray technician performed NDE testing of sleeve welds

13. Crack Repair Criteria (detail) If the IM risk assessment and integrity assessments found cracks, SCC, or crack like features cracking to be a threat on pipeline segments, have remedial actions been taken to address integrity issues when assessment criteria have been exceeded? (AR.RMP.CRACKREMEDIATION.R) (detail)

195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(3))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
					X	
Notes						
No cracks found						

d

## **Integrity Management - High Consequence Areas**

**3. IMP High Consequence Areas HCA Identification (confirm)** Do records show that locations and boundaries of HCA-affecting segments are correctly identified and maintained up-to-date? (IM.HC.HCALOCATION.R) (confirm)

195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1); 195.452(a); 195.452(b)(2); 195.452(d)(3))

Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
	Y				

#### **Notes**

The entirety of all four (five if include Olympia) lines are in HCAs. Confirmed this with maps and local knowledge.

**4. IMP High Consequence Areas HCA Identification (detail)** Are locations and boundaries of segments that can affect HCAs correctly identified and maintained up-to-date? (IM.HC.HCALOCATION.O) (detail)

195.452(b)(5) (195.452(a); 195.452(b)(2); 195.453(f)(1))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC

#### Notes

Confirmed HCA with maps and driving the pipeline routes

## **Integrity Management - Preventive and Mitigative Measures**

**2. P&M Measures Actions Considered (confirm)** *Is there documentation of preventive and mitigative actions that have been considered and implemented?* (IM.PM.PMMGENERAL.R) (confirm)

195.452(I)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6); 195.452(i)(1); 195.452(i)(2))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
		X				
Notes						
Reviewed pipeline P&M measures for all 4 lines.						
Include the following						
EFRDs						
Line repairs/rehab						
Coating repairs						
CIS						
Upgrade/enhance CP						
Additional patrolling						
Enhanced PA						
Soil Stabilization (>1" in 24 hrs additional patrolling)						
Leak detection						
Drill with emergency responders						
Drill with emergency responders						

**3. P&M Measures Actions Implemented (detail)** Have preventive and mitigative actions been implemented as described in the records? (IM.PM.PMMIMPLEMENT.O) (detail)

195.452(f)(6) (195.452(i)(1); 195.452(i)(2); 195.452(i)(3); 195.452(i)(4))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
		V				

#### Notes

Reviewed pipeline P&M measures for all 4 lines.

Include the following

EFRDs

Line repairs/rehab

Coating repairs

CIS

Upgrade/enhance CP

Additional patrolling

Enhanced PA

Soil Stabilization (>1" in 24 hrs additional patrolling)

Leak detection

Drill with emergency responders

10. P&M Measures Leak Detection Capability Evaluation (detail) Do records indicate that all required and other relevant leak detection evaluation factors have been adequately evaluated? (IM.PM.IMLEAKDETEVAL.R) (detail)

195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6); 195.452(i)(3))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
		Χ				
Notes						
Laterals are part of CPM leak detection system						

# PHMSA Form 19 Question Set (IA Equivalent) HAZARDOUS LIQUID INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTATION INSPECTION

**15. P&M Measures EFRD Need Evaluation (detail)** Have identified EFRD projects been implemented as planned? (IM.PM.PMMEFRD.O) (detail)

195.452(i)(4)	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	N C
		Χ				

#### Notes

OPL PM Valve list: installed or converted since 1999. Renton to Seattle installed 1 check valve MP 2.2 and converted 1 to MOV at MP 10.

## **Integrity Management - Quality Assurance**

**4. Performance Metrics (confirm)** Do records indicate that performance metrics are providing meaningful insight into integrity management program performance? (IM.QA.IMPERFMETRIC.R) (confirm)

195.452(I)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(7); 195.452(k))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
		Χ				

#### Notes

P195.452

IV.Performance Program Measures: includes Leaks number and volume, reducing leaks in facilities (most leaks are in facilities), spills, Activity measures-include (not all are listed here), ILI tool runs, digs, anomalies found/repaired, immediates/60d/180d, mileage assessed etc. All this information is compiled into IMP Annual Summary. Reviewed 2014 and 2015. Note-Spill volume reported in 2015 is lowest on record for BP--5.74 barrels. Metrics appear to be good indicators of IMP program and give a management the necessary information to assess performance and make necessary corrections. No issues noted.

## **Integrity Management - Risk Analysis**

**7. Risk Analysis Input Information (confirm)** Are conditions on the pipeline segments accurately reflected in the appropriate risk assessment data and information? (IM.RA.RADATA.O) (confirm)

195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Sat+	Sat	Concern	Unsat	NA	NC
		Χ				

#### Notes

For B31 8 and API 1160 threats reviewed listing of P&M measures considered (eg increased wall thickness for corrosion control our outside force). Then field inspected all laterals. Confirmed P&Ms are consistent with identified threats and appropriate.

## **Maintenance and Operations - Low-Stress Rural Pipelines**

**3. Categorizing Rural Low Stress Pipelines (confirm)** Are locations and boundaries of segments that can affect a USA correctly identified? (MO.LS.CATEGORIZATION.O) (confirm)

195.12(b) (195.12(b)(1); 195.12(b)(2); 195.12(b)(3); 195.452(a))

Sat+ Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

#### **Notes**

No low stress lines

## **Reporting - Notices and Reporting**

**5. Notifications (detail)** Has notification been made if Other Technology is used, technology is unavailable, the 5 year reassessment interval cannot be met, remediation schedule cannot be met and pressure cannot be reduced, or a pressure reduction exceeds 365 days? (RPT.NR.NOTIFICATIONS.R) (detail)

195.452(I)(1)(ii) (195.452(m))

Sat+ Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

#### Notes

None used so no notifications.

**Acceptable Use:** Inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.

Page 12 of 12 IA v3.1.5 May 2015