S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/ \bar{C} – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

A completed **Standard Inspection Checklist**, **Cover Letter and Field Report** is to be submitted to the Chief Engineer within 30 days from completion of the inspection.

		Inspection Report			
Inspection ID/Docket number	t	6220			
Inspector Name & Submit Date		Anthony Dorrough 08/11/2015			
Chief Eng Name & Review Date		Joe Subsits 8/11/2015			
		Operator Information			
Name of Operator:	Fer	ndale Pipeline System		OP ID #:	570
Name of Unit(s):	Fer	ndale Pipeline System			
Records Location:	147	89 Ovenell Road, Mount Vernon, WA 98273			
Date(s) of I act (unit)		y 26-29, 2012	Inspection Date(s):	Jul 20-23, 2	2015

Inspection Summary:

The 2015 Standard Inspection for the Ferndale Pipeline System (FPS) was conducted in Bellingham at the Hampton Inn Bellingham Airport Hotel (records) and Whatcom county (field). An exit interview was held at the same location to recap any record or field issues. Any issues found are summarized below.

Supply:

FPS continues to receive 100% of its supply from Spectra Energy at the Sumas Station.

Unit Description:

The pipeline starts at Sumas Station on the US/Canadian Border. Sumas station is West of the intersection of State Route 9 (in Sumas) and the Canadian Border. This station is located between gate stations for Cascade Natural Gas and Puget Sound Energy and is within 500-ft. of Williams's gas compressor station. From Sumas Station the pipeline is laid out in a SW direction until just South of the Border. From that point the pipeline proceeds West paralleling the Cascade Pipeline. The line then turns SW to the meter station on the East side of the BP Cherry Point Refinery. After the meter station at the Refinery the pipeline continues South Southwest to Alcoa's Intalco aluminum smelter.

The inspection started at the Sumas gate station (MP 0) and terminated at the Alcoa Intalco aluminum smelter in Ferndale (MP 36.2, the end of the pipeline). All block valve locations were inspected and CP readings were taken. Rectifier #200 was inspected and CP readings taken. All terminus points were inspected (Cherry Point and Intalco). There are three HCA areas along the 36.2 mile length of the pipeline. One is the contractor parking and trailer area at the BP refinery; the second is strip commercial area in Ferndale near the crossing with I-5; and the third is a large greenhouse complex within 100 yards of the pipeline near Lynden. Two of the HCAs identified by the operator were visited during this inspection. All are properly classified as HCAs.

All block valves except Block Valve 2 were operated manually to the closed position by imparting a pressure differential across the valve (it auto closes when it senses a 50 psi difference). All valves shut properly. The Tulsa control center remotely shut all of the valves and again they worked properly. All CP reads were OK per the criteria--greater (negative) than -850mV and all reads at rectifiers were acceptable. Records also indicated no issues.

THE FOLLOWING ISSUE WAS NOTED DURING THE INSPECTION AND DISCUSSED AT THE EXIT INTERVIEW:

Staff observed, at Sumas when gas is flowing, intermittently at certain pressure conditions, the odorizer did not inject into the system. This lead staff to ask the question "How does FPS know that the required 1/5th Mercaptan level in the pipeline is being met?" During the exit interview FPS addressed the question, stating the fact that they take readings at the Intalco Meter Station at the end of the pipeline system and adjust the levels accordingly as needed. Staff acknowledged that staff could detect the smell of Mercaptan at each block valve when it was operated, so it was assumed that FPS's method of monitoring these levels is working adequately.

HQ Address:		System/Unit Name & Address:			
BP Pipelines (North America) Inc.					
150 W. Warrenville Road					
Naperville, IL 60563					
Co. Official: John Newho	use	Phone No.:			
Phone No.: 630-536-2549	9	Fax No.:			
Fax No.:		Emergency Phone No.:			
Emergency Phone No.:					
Persons Interviewed	Title		Phone No.		
John Newhouse	DOT Compliance Advisor		630-536-2549		
Jim Bruen	DOT Team Leader - Programs		630-536-2535		
Joe Fraley	North Area Core Team Lead		360-428-4214		
Larry Stansifer	Damage Prevention S	Standard Coordinator	918-660-4360		
Terry Berry	Aviation Docum	nent Coordinator	918-660-4265		
Charlene Henning	Olympic North O	&M Administrator	360-428-4214 ext. 6005		
Ross Degerstedt	Corrosi	ion Lead	425-981-2532		
Troy Dellinger	Corrosion	Specialist	425-981-2573		
Brian Stone	Corrosion Team Lead		563-556-1538		
		<u> </u>			

UTC staff conducted abbreviated procedures inspection on 192 O&M and WAC items that changed since the last inspection. This checklist focuses on Records and Field items per a routine standard inspection.				
(check one below and enter appropriate date)				
Team inspection was performed (Within the past five years.) or,	Date:			
Other UTC Inspector reviewed the O & M Manual (Since the last yearly review of the manual by the operator.)	Date:			

GAS SYSTEM OPERATIONS								
Gas Supp	lier	Spectra Energy						
Number of reportable safety related conditions last year 0				Number of deferred leaks in system 0				
Number o	f <u>non-re</u>	eportable safety related conditions la	ast year 0	Number of third party hits last year 0				
Miles of tr		sion pipeline within unit (total miles	s and miles in					
		Operating Pressure(s):		MAOP (Within last year)	Actual Operating Pressure (At time of Inspection)			
Feeder:	650			812 (class 4 location)	530			
Town:								
Other:								
Does the o	perator	have any transmission pipelines?	Yes [36 miles]		-			
Compressor stations? Use Attachment 4. 0			0					

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

Pipe Specifications:			
Year Installed (Range)	1990	Pipe Diameters (Range)	16-inch & 8-inch
Material Type	Steel	Line Pipe Specification	16-inch API 5L X65
		Used	8-inch X42
Mileage	16-inch [31.7 miles] 8-inch	SMYS %	32
	[4.5 miles]		
Supply Company	United States Steel Corporation	Class Locations	1, 2, 3

Integrity Management Field Validation

Important: Per PHMSA, IMP Field Verification Form 16 (Rev 6/18/2012) shall be used by the inspector as part of this standard inspection. When completed, the inspector will upload this information into the PHMSA IM Database (IMDB) located at http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/gasimp/home.gim **Date Uploaded:** 08/03/2015

PART 199 DRUG at	nd ALCOHOL TESTING REGULATIONS and PROCEDURES	S	U	NA	NC
Subparts A - C	Drug & Alcohol Testing & Misuse Prevention Program – Use PHMSA Form #13, Rev 3/19/2010. Do not ask the company to have a drug and alcohol expert available for this portion of your inspection.	X			

PART 192 Imp	olement Applicable Control Room Management Procedures	S	U	NA	NC
.605(b)(12)	Implementing the applicable control room management procedures required by 192.631. (Amdt. 192- 112, 74 FR 63310, December 3, 2009, eff. 2/1/2010).	X			

		REPORTING RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
1.	49 U.S.C. 60132, Subsection (b) ADB-08-07	Submission of Data to the National Pipeline Mapping System Under the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 Updates to NMPS: Operators are required to make update submissions every 12 months if any system modifications have occurred. Go to http://www.npms.phmsa.dot.gov/submission/ to review existing data on record. Also report no modifications if none have occurred since the last complete submission. Include operator contact information with all updates.	X			
2.	RCW 81.88.080	Pipeline Mapping System: Has the operator provided accurate maps (or updates) of pipelines, operating over two hundred fifty pounds per square inch gauge, to specifications developed by the commission sufficient to meet the needs of first responders?	X			
3.	191.5	Immediate Notice of certain incidents to NRC (800) 424-8802, or electronically at http://www.nrc.uscg.mil/nrchp.html , and additional report if significant new information becomes availableNo Incidents			X	
4.	191.7	Reports (except SRCR and offshore pipeline condition reports) submitted electronically to PHMSA at http://portal.phmsa.dot.gov/pipeline unless an alternative reporting method is authorized IAW with paragraph (d) of this sectionNo Incidents			X	
5.	191.15(a)	Do records indicate reportable <u>incidents</u> were identified and reports were submitted to DOT on Form 7100.2 (01-2002) within the required timeframe?No Incidents			X	
6.	191.15(c)	Do records indicate accurate supplemental incident reports were filed and within the required timeframe?No Incidents			X	
7.	191.17	Complete and submit DOT Form PHMSA F 7100-2.1 by March 15 of each calendar year for the preceding year. (<i>NOTE: June 15, 2013 for the year 2012</i>).	X			
8.	191.22	Each operator must obtain an OPID, validate its OPIDs, and notify PHMSA of certain events at http://portal.phmsa.dot.gov/pipeline	X			
9.	191.23	Have complete and accurate Annual Reports been submitted?	X			

		If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report. REPORTING RECORDS		T T	BILL	NIG
10			S	U	N/A	N/C
10.		Filing the SRCR within 5 days of determination, but not later than 10 days after discovery. Note: Operators of gas transmission pipelines that if the pipeline pressure exceeds maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) plus the build-up, owner/operator must report the exceedance to PHMSA on or before the fifth day following the date on which the exceedance occurs.				
	191.25 49 U.S.C. 60139, Subsection (b)(2)	 The report should be titled "Gas Transmission MAOP Exceedance" and provide the following information: The name and principal address of the operator, date of the report, name, job title, and business telephone number of the person submitting the report. The name, job title, and business telephone number of the person who determined the condition exists. The date the condition was discovered and the date the condition was first determined to exist. The location of the condition, with reference to the town/city/county and state or offshore site, and as appropriate, nearest street address, offshore platform, survey station number, milepost, landmark, and the name of the commodity transported or stored. The corrective action taken before the report was submitted and the planned follow-up or future corrective action, including the anticipated schedule for starting and concluding such actionNo Incidents 			X	
11.	191.27(a), (b)	Do records indicate reports were submitted within 60 days of completing inspections of underwater pipelines?No Incidents			X	
12.	192.727(g)	Do records indicate reports were filed for abandoned offshore pipeline facilities or abandoned onshore pipeline facilities that crosses over, under or through a commercially navigable waterway?No Incidents			X	
13.	480-93-200(1)	Telephonic Reports to UTC Pipeline Safety Incident Notification 1-888-321-9144 (Within 2 hours) for events which (regardless of cause);No Incidents (covers Q13-Q22)				
14.	480-93-200(1)(a)	Result in a fatality or personal injury requiring hospitalization;			X	
15.	480-93-200(1)(b)	Results in damage to property of the operator and others of a combined total exceeding fifty thousand dollars; Note: Report all damages regardless if claim was filed with pipeline company or not.			X	
16.	480-93-200(1)(c)	Results in the evacuation of a building, or high occupancy structures or areas;			X	
17.	480-93-200(1)(d)	Results in the unintentional ignition of gas;			X	
18.	480-93-200(1)(e)	Results in the unscheduled interruption of service furnished by any operator to twenty five or more distribution customers;			X	
19.	480-93-200(1)(f)	Results in a pipeline or system pressure exceeding the MAOP plus ten percent or the maximum pressure allowed by proximity considerations outlined in WAC 480-93-020;			X	
20.	480-93-200(1)(g)	Is significant, in the judgment of the operator, even though it does not meet the criteria of (a) through (e) of this subsection; or			X	
21.	480-93-200(2)	Telephonic Reports to UTC Pipeline Safety Incident Notification 1-888-321-9146 (Within 24 hours) for;			X	
22.	480-93-200(2)(a)	The uncontrolled release of gas for more than two hours;			X	
23.	480-93-200(2)(b)	The taking of a high pressure supply or transmission pipeline or a major distribution supply pipeline out of service;No pipelines taken out of service			X	
24.	480-93-200(2)(c)	A pipeline operating at low pressure dropping below the safe operating conditions of attached appliances and gas equipment; orNo low pressure drop			X	
25.	480-93-200(2)(d)	A pipeline pressure exceeding the MAOPNo pipeline exceeding MAOP			X	

			1		
25.	480-93-200(2)(d)	A pipeline pressure exceeding the MAOPNo pipeline exceeding MAOP		X	
Comr	nents:				

26.	480-93-200(5)	Written incident reports (within 30 days) including the following;No Incident Reports (Covers Q26 –Q40)	S	U	N/A	N/C
27.	480-93-200(4)(a)	Name(s) and address(es) of any person or persons injured or killed, or whose property was damaged;			X	
28.	480-93-200(4)(b)	The extent of injuries and damage;			X	
29.	480-93-200(4)(c)	A description of the incident or hazardous condition including the date, time, and place, and reason why the incident occurred. If more than one reportable condition arises from a single incident, each must be included in the report;			X	
30.	480-93-200(4)(d)	A description of the gas pipeline involved in the incident or hazardous condition, the system operating pressure at that time, and the MAOP of the facilities involved;			X	
31.	480-93-200(4)(e)	The date and time the gas pipeline company was first notified of the incident;			X	
32.	480-93-200(4)(f)	The date and time the ((operators')) gas pipeline company's first responders arrived on-site;			X	
33.	480-93-200(4)(g)	The date and time the gas ((facility)) pipeline was made safe;			X	
34.	480-93-200(4)(h)	The date, time, and type of any temporary or permanent repair that was made;			X	
35.	480-93-200(4)(i)	The cost of the incident to the ((operator)) gas pipeline company;			X	
36.	480-93-200(4)(j)	Line type;			X	
37.	480-93-200(4)(k)	City and county of incident; and			X	
38.	480-93-200(4)(1)	Any other information deemed necessary by the commission.			X	
39.	480-93-200(5)	Submit a supplemental report if required information becomes available				
40.	480-93-200(6)	Written report within 45 days of receiving the failure analysis of any incident or hazardous condition due to construction defects or material failure			X	

Comments:	

41.	480-93-200(7)	Filing Reports of Damage to Gas Pipeline Facilities to the commission. (eff 4/1/2013) (Via the commission's Virtual DIRT system or on-line damage reporting form)			
42.	480-93-200(7)(a)	Does the operator report to the commission the requirements set forth in RCW 19.122.053(3) (a) through (n)		X	
43.	480-93-200(7)(b)	Does the operator report the name, address, and phone number of the person or entity that the company has reason to believe may have caused damage due to excavations conducted without facility locates first being completed?No Damage Reports		X	
44.	480-93-200(7)(c)	Does the operator retain all damage and damage claim records it creates related to damage events reported under 93-200(7)(b), including photographs and documentation supporting the conclusion that a facilities locate was not completed? Note: Records maintained for two years and made available to the commission upon requestNo Damage Reports		X	
45.	480-93-200(8)	Does the operator provide the following information to excavators who damage gas pipeline facilities?No Damage Reports			
46.	480-93-200(8)(a)	Notification requirements for excavators under RCW 19.122.050(1)No Damage Reports		X	
47.	480-93-200(8)(b)	 A description of the excavator's responsibilities for reporting damages under RCW 19.122.053; andNo Damage Reports 		X	

48.	480-93-200(8)(c)	 Information concerning the safety committee referenced under RCW 19.122.130, including committee contact information, and the process for filing a complaint with the safety committeeNo Damage Reports 			X	
49.	480-93-200(9)	Reports to the commission only when the operator or its contractor observes or becomes aware of the following activities • An excavator digs within thirty-five feet of a transmission pipeline, as defined by RCW 19.122.020(26) without first obtaining a facilities locate; (200(9)(a) • A person intentionally damages or removes marks indicating the location or presence of gas pipeline facilities. 200(9)(b)No Damage Reports			X	
50.	480-93-200(7)	Filing Reports of Damage to Gas Pipeline Facilities to the commission. (eff 4/1/2013) (Via the commission's Virtual DIRT system or on-line damage reporting form)No Damage Reports			X	
51.	480-93-200(10)	Annual Reports filed with the commission no later than March 15 for the proceeding calendar year. (<i>NOTE: PHMSA extension to June 15, 2013 for the year 2012</i>).	S	U	N/A	N/C
52.	480-93-200(10)(a)	A copy of PHMSA F-7100.1-1 and F-7100.2-1 annual report required by U.S. Department of Transportation, PHMSA/Office of Pipeline Safety	X			
53.	480-93-200(10)(b)	Reports detailing all construction defects and material failures resulting in leakage. Categorizing the different types of construction defects and material failures. The report must include the following: (i) Types and numbers of construction defects; and (ii) Types and numbers of material failuresNo construction			X	
54.	480-93-200(11)	Providing updated emergency contact information to the commission and appropriate officials of all municipalities where gas pipeline companies have facilities -Submitted to M. Woodard in 2014	X			
55.	480-93-200(12)	Providing by email, reports of daily construction and repair activities no later than 10:00 a.m. —In the past FPS has called J. Subsits in person or left a message			X	
56.	480-93-200(13)	Submitting copy of DOT Drug and Alcohol Testing MIS Data Collection Form when required -Submitted to PHMSA and a copy to M. Woodard	X			

Comments:		

	CON	STRUCTION RECORDS No Construction (covers Q57 – Q77)	S	U	N/A	N/C
57.	192.225	Do records indicate weld procedures are being qualified in accordance with §192.225?			X	
58.	192.227	Do records indicate adequate qualification of welders?			X	
59.	192.241(a)	Do records indicate that individuals who perform visual inspection of welding are qualified by appropriate training and experience, as required by \$192.241(a)?			X	
60.	192.243(b)(2)	Do records indicate the qualification of nondestructive testing personnel?			X	
61.	192.243(c)	Do records indicate that NDT implementation is adequate?			X	
62.	192.243(f)	Do records indicate that records are maintained of each pipe/"other than pipe" repair, NDT required record, and (as required by subparts L or M) patrol, survey, inspection or test?			X	
63.	192.243(f)	Number of Welds Inspected by NDT			X	
64.	192.243(f)	Number of Welds Rejected			X	
65.	192.243(f)	Disposition of each Weld Rejected			X	
66.	480-93-080(1)(b)	Use of testing equipment to record and document essential variables			X	
67.	480-93-115(2)	Test leads on casings (without vents) installed after 9/05/1992			X	
68.	480-93-115(3)	Sealing ends of casings or conduits on transmission pipelines and main			X	
69.	480-93-115(4)	Sealing ends (nearest building wall) of casings or conduits on services			X	

	CON	STRUCTION RECORDS No Construction (covers Q57 – Q77)	S	U	N/A	N/C
70.	192.303	Construction Specifications			X	
71.	192.325	Do records indicate pipe is installed with clearances in accordance with §192.325, and (if plastic) installed as to prevent heat damage to the pipe?			X	
72.	192.327	Amount, Location, Cover of each size of pipe installed			X	
73.	192.328	If the pipeline will be operated at the alternative MAOP standard calculated under 192.620 (80% SMYS) does it meet the additional construction requirements for: • Quality assurance • Girth welds • Depth of cover • Initial strength testing, and; • Interference currents?			X	
74.	480-93-160(1)	Detailed report filed 45 days prior to construction or replacement of transmission pipelines \geq 100 feet in length			X	
75.	480-93-170(3)	Pressure Tests Performed on new and replacement pipelines			X	
76.	480-93-170(10)	Pressure Testing Equipment checked for Accuracy/Intervals (Manufacturers recommendation or operators schedule)			X	
77.	480-93-175(1)	Study prepared and approved prior to moving and lowering of metallic pipelines > 60 psig			X	
78.	192.455	Do records document that each buried or submerged pipeline installed after July 31, 1971, has been protected against external corrosion with a cathodic protection system within 1 year after completion of construction, conversion to service, or becoming jurisdictional onshore gathering?	X			

Comments:		

		OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
79.	192.10	Do records indicate specific point(s) at which operating responsibility transfers to a producing operator, as applicable?Operating responsibilities do not transfer to producing operator			X	
80.	192.14	Conversion To Service Performance and RecordsNo Services (covers Q80-Q85)				
81.	192.14(a)(2)	Visual inspection of right of way, aboveground and selected underground segments			X	
82.	192.14(a)(3)	Correction of unsafe defects and conditions			X	
83.	192.14(a)(4)	Pipeline testing in accordance with Subpart J			X	
84.	192.14(b)	Pipeline records: investigations, tests, repairs, replacements, alterations (life of pipeline)			X	
85.	192.16	Customer Notification (Verification – 90 days – and Elements)			X	
86.	192.603(b)	Procedural Manual Review – Operations and Maintenance (1 per yr/15 months) .605(a) Note: Including review of OQ procedures as suggested by PHMSA - ADB-09-03 dated 2/7/09 –Review OMER procedures on an annual basis FEB 2013 & 2014	X			
87.	192.603(b)	Did personnel respond to indications of abnormal operations as required by procedures? .605(c) (1) -Yes -(2) AOC's - High Analog Pressure Alarm & PLC Table Fault Alarm at Valve #1	X			
88.	192.603(b)	Availability of construction records, maps, operating history to operating personnel .605(b)(3) –DRM Online - Can pull in maps and records, and personnel carries copies with them, what is printed is only good for 24-hours (Data Record Management) – Crews also have a printed out book as reference in their vehicle.	X			
89.	192.603(b)	Periodic review of personnel work – effectiveness of normal O&M procedures .605(b)(8) - FPS does a review on annual basis of procedures company wide, with training groups.	X			
90.	192.603(b)	Periodic review of personnel work – effectiveness of abnormal operation procedures .605(c)(4) – FPS goes through OMER review in FEB/MAR and go thru any changes or near misses	X			

		OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
91.	192.603(b)	Do records indicate systematic and routine testing and inspection of pipe-type or bottle-type holders? .605(b)(10)No Bottle Type Holders			X	
92.		Damage Prevention Program				
93.	192.603(b)	List of Current Excavators .614 (c)(1) – from 2014 from Whatcom County and surrounding area approx 1,785 total, used for notification mailing	X			
94.	192.603(b)	Notification of Public/Excavators .614 (c)(2) –goes out to public every two years, Paradigm (contractor) compiles the list and manages the mailing.	X			
95.	192.603(b)	Notifications of planned excavations. (One -Call Records) .614 (c)(3) –Reviewed the one call buffer map with 4000 ft. total buffer zone, 2000 ft. on each side of the pipe and the management system Dig Track	X			
96.		Provide as follows for inspection of pipelines that an operator has reason to believe could be damaged by excavation activities:				
97.	.614(c)(6)	 Is the inspection done as frequently as necessary during and after the activities to verify the integrity of the pipeline? –If digging takes place within 25ft they are on site with the crew. 	X			
98.		 In the case of blasting, does the inspection include leakage surveys? (required) No Blasting 			X	
99.	480-93-250(3)	Are locates are being made within the timeframes required by RCW 19.122? Examine record sample. –They do random 5% look each month – staff found 99.2% in compliance	X			
100.	195.507(b)	Are locating and excavating personnel properly <u>qualified</u> in accordance with the operator's Operator Qualification plan and with federal and state requirements?				
101.		Does the operator have a quality assurance program in place for monitoring the locating and marking of facilities? Do operators conduct regular field audits of the performance of locators/contractors and take action when necessary? (CGA Best Practices v. 6.0, Best Practice 4-18. Recommended only, not required) —They have Dig track Qualification Checklist, they use QA	X			
102.		Does operator including performance measures in facility locating services contracts with corresponding and meaningful incentives and penalties?Operator performs their own locating			X	
103.	PHMSA – State Program Evaluation	Do locate contractors address performance problems for persons performing locating services through mechanisms such as re-training, process change, or changes in staffing levels? Operator performs their own locating			X	
104.	Questions	Does the operator periodically review the Operator Qualification plan criteria and methods used to qualify personnel to perform locates?	X			
105.		Review operator locating and excavation <u>procedures</u> for compliance with state law and regulations.	X			
106.		Are locates are being made within the timeframes required by state law and regulations? Examine record sample.	X			
107.		Are locating and excavating personnel properly <u>qualified</u> in accordance with the operator's Operator Qualification plan and with federal and state requirements?	X			
108.	192.709	Do records indicate performance of the required study whenever the population along a pipeline increased or there was an indication that the pipe hoop stress was not commensurate with the present class location? 192.605(b)(1) (192.609(a); 192.609(b); 192.609(c); 192.609(d); 192.609(e); 192.609(f)) -Conduct a study on an annual basis	X			
109.	192.605(a)	Confirmation or revision of MAOP. Final Rule Pub. 10/17/08, eff. 12/22/08611 – Confirming documentation for 16-inch was reviewed by staff /staff reviewed 16-inch Hydrotest	X			
110.	192.603(b)	Prompt and effective response to each type of emergency .615(a)(3) Note: Review operator records of previous accidents and failures including third-party damage and leak responseNo emergencies			X	
111.	192.615	Actions required to be taken by a controller during an emergency in accordance with 192.631. (Amdt. 192-112, 74 FR 63310, December 3, 2009, eff. 2/1/2010)615(a)(11) No emergencies			X	
112.	192.603(b)	Location Specific Emergency Plan .615(b)(1) -Field techs carry a copy of the EM plan and online electronically ERFD 2015- all changes, updates since 2013	X			
113.	192.603(b)	Emergency Procedure training, verify effectiveness of training .615(b)(2) -completed training in 2013 & 2014 in the form of drills and table top exercises on an annual basis	X			

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

Comments:

Comments:

		OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
114.	192.603(b)	Employee Emergency activity review, determine if procedures were followed615(b)(3) No emergencies			X	
115.	192.603(b)	Liaison Program with Public Officials .615(c) – LEPC meetings all local officials, and discuss issues, drills and lessons across the board, meets on a quarterly basis	X			

		Public Awarenes	s Program .616	S	U	N/A	N/C
			have completed their written programs no later	В		IVA	14/6
		than June 20, 2006. See 192.616(a) and (j) for	exceptions.				
		API RP 1162 Baseline* Reco	mmended Message Deliveries				
	400 400 4	Stakeholder Audience (Natural Gas Transmission Line Operators)	Baseline Message Frequency (starting from effective date of Plan)				
	192.603(b)	Residents Along Right-of-Way and Places	2 years				
		of Congregation Emergency Officials	Annual				
		Public Officials	3 years				
		Excavator and Contractors	Annual				
		One-Call Centers	As required of One-Call Center				
		* Refer to API RP 1162 for additional requirer recommendations, supplemental requirements,					
116.		The operator's program must specifically incluappropriate government organizations, and per on: .616(d) (1) Use of a one-call notification system prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with the (3) Physical indications of a possible rel (4) Steps to be taken for public safety or (5) Procedures to report such an event (to	sons engaged in excavation related activities prior to excavation and other damage unintended release from a gas pipeline facility ease; the event of a gas pipeline release; and	X			
117.		Documentation properly and adequately reflec	ts implementation of operator's Public				
118.	192.603(b)	Awareness Program requirements - Stakeholde content, delivery method and frequency, suppletc. (i.e. contact or mailing rosters, postage rec documentation, etc. for emergency responder, program evaluations, etc.)616 (e) & (f)	emental enhancements, program evaluations, eipts, return receipts, audience contact	X			
119.		The program conducted in English and any oth significant number of the population in the open threshhold	erator's area616(g) -Use 20% as	X			
120.		Do records indicate implementation of a progression continuous improvements based on the finding Section 2.7 Step 11; API RP 1162, Section	(s? 192.616(i) (192.616(h); API RP 1162, n 8)	X			
121.		Analyzing accidents and failures including lab determine cause and prevention of recurrence Note: Including excavation damage (PHMSA	oratory analysis where appropriate to .617			X	

122	100.515	I				<u> </u>
122.	192.517	From the review of the results of pressure tests, do the test		*	X	
123.	.553(b)	Do records indicate the pressure uprating process was im 192.553?	plemented per the re	equirements of	X	
124.	192.709	Maximum Allowable Operating Pr	ressure (MAOP)			
125.		Note: If the operator is operating at 80% SMYS with waiver special conditions of the waiver.	s, the inspector needs	to review the		
126.	.709	MAOP cannot exceed the lowest of the following: .619			-	
127.		Design pressure of the weakest element, .619(a)(1) –Bas 600 fittings – limiting is 1231	sed on the pipe – no	t fittings/ Class	X	
128.		The highest actual operating pressure to which the segme years preceding the applicable date in the second column according to .619(a)(2) after the applicable date in the thi uprated according to subpart K. Amdt 192-102 pub. 3/15. line related compliance deadlines and additional gather Part 192 including this amendment619(a)(3)No	, unless the segment rd column or the seg /06, eff. 04/14/06. F ering line requirem	was tested in ment was or gathering		
		Pipeline segment -Onshore gathering line that first became subject to this part (other than §192.612) after April 13, 2006.	Pressure date March 15, 2006, or date line becomes subject to this part, whichever is later.	Test date 5 years preceding applicable date in second column.		X
		Offshore gathering lines All other pipelines	July 1, 1976 July 1, 1970	July 1, 1971 July 1, 1965		
129.	.709	.619(c) The requirements on pressure restrictions in this sinstance. An operator may operate a segment of pipeline considering its operating and maintenance history, at the which the segment was subjected during the 5 years precessed column of the table in paragraph (a)(3) of this sec with \$192.611. Amdt 192-102 pub. 3/15/06, eff. 04/14/06 compliance deadlines and additional gathering line reincluding this amendmentNo Gathering Lines	found to be in satisfathighest actual operateding the applicable ation. An operator must be for gathering line.	actory condition, ting pressure to date in the ust still comply ne related		x
130.		.620 If the pipeline is designed to the alternative MAOP additional design requirements for:No Alternate MAO	OP	does it meet the		X
131.	480-93-015(1)	Odorization of Gas – Concentrations adequate? – Added Pt and Intalco – procedure calls for the 1/5th		ked at Cherry	X	
132.	480-93-015(2)	Monthly Odorant Sniff Testing			X	
133.	480-93-015(3)	Prompt action taken to investigate and remediate odorant minimum requirementsNo concentrations that didn'				X
134.	480-93-015(4)	Odorant Testing Equipment Calibration/Intervals (Annua Recommendation)			X	
135.	480-93-124(3)	Pipeline markers attached to bridges or other spans inspectively pipeline attached to bridges	cted? 1/yr(15 month	ıs)No		X
136.	480-93-124(4)	Markers reported missing or damaged replaced within 45	daye?		X	

Comm	ents:							
37.	190.02	105(1)	Demontad and looks invest	antod manmatly/or	raded/record retainedNo I	anlan		X
38.	480-93-	185(1)		0 1 100	orted promptly/notification b			A
	480-93-	185(3)		nined – (1) incident where there was reported gas smell at Sumas, was checked, no				X
39.	480-93	3-187	Gas Leak records - Conte	entNo Leaks				X
40.	480-93-	188(1)	Gas Leak surveys – Cove	rage –HCA's do t	wice a year		X	
41.	480-93-188(2) Gas detection instruments tested for accuracy/intervals (Mfct rec or monthly not to exce 45 days) – Tested monthly and before doing survey				nthly not to exceed	X		
42.	480-93-	188(3)	Leak survey frequency (I	Refer to Table Be	low)		X	
	Г		D	(0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.	4. 4.			
	-		Business Districts (By 6/			months)		
	=		High Occupancy Structure Pipelines Operating > 25			months)		
	Pipelines Operating ≥ 250 psig 1/yr (15 months) Other Mains: CI, WI, copper, unprotected steel 2/yr (7.5 months)							
	L			P	_,,,_ (
43.	480-93-1	88(4)(a)	Special leak surveys - INo Leaks	Prior to paving or 1	resurfacing, following street	alterations or repairs		X
44.	480-93-1	88(4)(b)			ucture construction occurs ac			X
45.	480-93-1	99(4)(a)		es, and damage could have occurredNo Leaks Justable soil areas where active gas lines could be affected –			X	
47	460-93-1	00(4)(0)		er discovering sinkhole			A	
46.	480-93-1	88(4)(d)	and explosions No L	eaks	nes of unusual activity, such as earthquake, floods,			X
47.	400.02.1	00(4)(-)			cavation damage, operators			
	480-93-1	88(4)(e)	leak survey to eliminate the possibility of multiple leaks and underground migration into nearby buildingsNo Leaks					X
48.	480-93-1	88(5)			staff reviewed records		X	
49.	480-93-1	88(6)	Leak Survey Program/S	Self Audits – look	at records on annual basis		X	
50.	192.709		Patrolling (Refer to Ta	ble Below) .705	–Fly the lines bi-weekly		X	
	ſ		Class Location	At Highway	and Railroad Crossings	At All Other Pla	ces	
	=		1 and 2		r (7½ months)	1/yr (15 month		
	-		3		r (4½ months)	2/yr (7½ month	ıs)	
			4	4/y	r (4½ months)	4/yr (4½ month	s)	
							 	
51.	192.709		Leak Su	rveys (Refer to T	able Below) .706		X	
			Class Location		Required	Not Exceed		
	ļ		1 and 2		1/yr 15 months			
			3		2/yr	7½ months		
			4		4/yr	4½ months	-	

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

152.	192.605(b)	Abandoned Pipelines; Underwater Facility Reports .727(g)No abandoned pipelines		X	
153.	192.709	Compressor Station Relief Devices – Inspection and Testing (1 per yr/15 months) .731(a)No Compressor Stations		X	
154.	192.709	Compressor Station Emergency Shutdown (1 per yr/15 months) .731(c)No Compressor Stations		X	
155.	192.709	Compressor Stations – Detection and Alarms (Performance Test) .736(c)No Compressor Stations		X	
156.	192.709	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stations – Inspection and Testing intervals (1 per yr/15 months) .739No Compressor Stations		X	
157.	192.709	Pressure Limiting and Regulator Stations – <u>Capacity Testing or Review</u> (1 per yr/15 months) .743 FPS uses complex valve system to regulate pressure	X		

Comments:

58.	192.709	Do records indicate proper inspection and partial operation of transmission line valves that may		
50.	172.707	be required during an emergency as required and prompt remedial actions taken if necessary? (1 per yr/15 months) .745	X	
59.	192.709	Do records document inspections at the required interval of all vaults having a volumetric internal content of 200 cubic feet (5.66 cubic meters) or more that house pressure regulating/limiting equipment? (1 per yr/15 months) .749No vaults		X
60.	192.603(b)	Do records indicate personnel followed procedures for minimizing the danger of accidental ignition where the presence of gas constituted a hazard of fire or explosion? .751	X	
61.	192.603(b)	Welding – Procedures .225(b)No Welding		X
62.	192.603(b)	Welding – Welder Qualification .227/.229 No Welding		X
63.	192.603(b)	NDT – NDT Personnel Qualification .243(b)(2) Yes (Ken Gula)	X	
64.	192.709	NDT Records (Pipeline Life) .243(f)	X	
65.	192.709	Repair: pipe (Pipeline Life); Other than pipe (5 years) –Looked at recoat repair from 2013	X	
66.	.807(b)	Do records document the evaluation and qualifications of individuals performing covered tasks, and can the qualification of individuals performing covered tasks be verified? (Including new construction activities - WAC 480-93-013)	X	
67.	192.905(c)	Periodically examining their transmission line routes for the appearance of newly identified area's (HCA's) – completed on an annual basis – Personnel drives the line and reports any changes – Staff reviewed documents	X	

CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS			S	U	N/A	N/C
168.	192.453	CP procedures (system design, installation, operation, and maintenance) must be carried out by qualified personnel.	X			
169.	192.455(a)(2)	CP system installed on and operating within 1 yr of completion of pipeline construction (after 7/31/71)	X			

		CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
170.	192.491(c)	Do records document that each buried or submerged pipeline that has been converted to gas service and was installed after July 31, 1971, has been protected against external corrosion with an adequate coating unless exempted under 192.455(b)?No pipeline that has been converted			X	
171.	192.491	Annual Pipe-to-soil Monitoring (1 per yr/15 months) for short sections (10% per year; all in 10 years) .465(a)No short sections			X	
172.	192.491	Do records indicate the location of all items listed in 192.491(a)? –ILI repair	X			
173.	192.491	Examination of Buried Pipe when Exposed .459	X			
174.	480-93-110(8)	CP test reading on all exposed facilities where coating has been removed -3000mV	X			
175.	192.491	Rectifier Monitoring (6 per yr/2½ months) .465(b) –(2) Rectifiers total	X			
176.	192.491	Interference Bond Monitoring – Critical (6 per yr/2½ months) .465(c) –No Critical Bonds			X	
177.	192.491	Interference Bond Monitoring – Non-critical (1 per yr/15 months) .465(c) – At Kickerville Rd	X			
178.	192.491	Do records adequately document the re-evaluation of buried pipelines with no cathodic protection for areas of active corrosion? (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months) .465(e)No pipeline without cathodic protection			X	
179.	192.491	Do records adequately document electrical isolation of each buried or submerged pipeline from other metallic structures unless they electrically interconnect and cathodically protect the pipeline and the other structures as a single unit? (Including Casings) .467	X			
180.	480-93-110(2)	Remedial action taken within 90 days (Up to 30 additional days if other circumstances. Must document) .465(d) –TS 78 was destroyed and repaired the same day	X			
181.	480-93-110(3)	CP Test Equipment and Instruments checked for Accuracy/Intervals (Mfct Rec or Opr Sched) - FPS uses local Tektronix Lab in Kent	X			
182.	480-93-110(5)	Casings inspected/tested annually not to exceed fifteen months	X			
183.	480-93-110(5)(a)	Casings w/no test leads installed prior to 9/05/1992. Demonstrate other acceptable test methodsNo Casing without test leads			X	
184.	480-93-110(5)(b)	Possible shorted conditions – Perform confirmatory follow-up inspection within 90 days No Shorted Casing			X	
185.	480-93-110(5)(c)	Casing shorts cleared when practicalNo Shorted Casing			X	
186.	480-93-110(5)(d)	Shorted conditions leak surveyed within 90 days of discovery. Twice annually/7.5 monthsNo Shorted Casing			X	
187.	192.491	Do records document that pipelines with cathodic protection have <u>electrical test leads</u> <u>installed</u> in accordance with requirements of Subpart I? (192.471; 192.469)	X			
188.	192.491	Do records document that the operator has minimized the detrimental effects of stray currents when found? .473 – PSP readings were reviewed by staff	X			
189.	192.491	Do records document if corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline, including the investigation of the corrosive effect of the gas on the pipeline and steps that have been taken to minimize internal corrosion? .475(a)No Corrosive Gas			X	
190.	192.491	Internal corrosion; Internal surface inspection; Pipe replacement .475(b)No Internal Corrosion			X	
191.	192.491	Internal Corrosion; New system design; Evaluation of impact of configuration changes to existing systems . (192.476(b); 192.476(c))No Internal Corrosion			X	
192.	192.491	Internal Corrosion Control Coupon Monitoring (2 per yr/7½ months)No Internal Corrosion .477			X	
193.	192.491	Atmospheric Corrosion Control Monitoring (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months onshore; 1 per yr/15 months offshore) .481	X			
194.	192.491	Remedial: Replaced or Repaired Pipe; coated and protected; corrosion evaluation and actions, Records adequate? .483/.485 No replaced or repaired pipe			X	

		Corrosion .477			L
193.	192.491	Atmospheric Corrosion Control Monitoring (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months onshore; 1 per yr/15 months offshore) .481	X		
194.	192.491	Remedial: Replaced or Repaired Pipe; coated and protected; corrosion evaluation and actions, Records adequate? .483/.485 No replaced or repaired pipe		X	
Comme	ents:				

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N^{\prime} C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

	ii un item is murited e (1771) of 177 e) un enplanation mast se meradea in time reporte
Comments:	

	PIPELINE INSPECTION (Field)			U	N/A	N/C
195.	192.161	Supports and anchors	X			
196.	192.179	Valves installed as required? (Proper spacing, Readily accessible, Properly supported, Protection from Tampering/Damage, Blowdown-Discharge/Capacity)	X			
197.	480-93-015(1)	Odorization levels – See area of concern at beginning of worksheet	X			
198.	192.463(a)	Levels of Cathodic Protection	X			
199.	192.465(b)	Rectifiers	X			
200.	192.467	CP - Electrical Isolation (192.467(a), (b), (c))	X			
201.	192.469	Test Stations (Sufficient Number)	X			
202.	192.476	Systems designed to reduce internal corrosion	X			
203.	192.479	Pipeline Components Exposed to the Atmosphere (192.479(a), (b), (c))	X			
204.	192.481	Atmospheric Corrosion – monitoring (192.481(b), (c))	X			
205.	480-93-115(2)	Casings – Test Leads (Casings w/o vents installed after 9/05/1992)	X			
206.	192.605	Knowledge of Operating Personnel	X			
207.	192.613; .703	Pipeline condition, unsatisfactory conditions, hazards, etc. captured and addressed? (192.613(a), (b); 192.703(a), (b), (c))	X			
208.	480-93-124	Pipeline Markers: Placed and maintained at above/below ground facilities. Road and railroad crossings (192.707(a))	X			
209.	192.719	Pre-pressure Tested Pipe (Markings and Inventory) (192.719(a), (b)) –Stored at Sumas location	X			
210.	192.739	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Devices (Mechanical) (spot-check field installed equipment vs. inspection records) (192.739(a), (b); 192.743) – Ferndale uses valves in a complex but effective way to regulate pressure in the pipeline	X			
211.	192.743	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Devices (Capacities) (spot-check field installed equipment vs. inspection records) –Ferndale uses valves in a complex but effective way to regulate pressure in the pipeline	X			
212.	192.745	Valve Maintenance: Field Inspection and partial operation (192.745(a), (b))	X			
213.	192.751	Perform observations of selected locations to verify that adequate steps have been taken by the operator to minimize the potential for accidental ignition. 192.7(a), (b), (c))	X			
214.	192.801 - 192.809	Operator qualification questions – Refer to OQ Field Inspection Protocol Form	X			

Operator Qualification Field Validation

Important: Per PHMSA, the OQ Field Inspection Protocol Form 15 (Rev 6-2012) shall be used by the inspector as part of this standard inspection. When completed, the inspector will upload this information into the PHMSA OQ Database (OQDB) located at http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/oqdb/home.oq **Date Form Completed/Uploaded?:**

Comments:		

COMPR	RESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION – NO COMPRESSOR STATIONS (covers this entire section)	C	T-	DI/A DI/
	(Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered")	S	U	N/AN/
	If not located on a platform check here and skip 192.167(c)			
192.163 (c)	Main operating floor must have (at least) two (2) separate and unobstructed exits			X
	Door latch must open from inside without a key			X
	Doors must swing outward			X
(d)	Each fence around a compressor station must have (at least) 2 gates or other facilities for emergency exit			X
	Each gate located within 200 ft of any compressor plant building must open outward			X
	When occupied, the door must be opened from the inside without a key			X
(e)	Does the equipment and wiring within compressor stations conform to the National Electric Code , ANSI/NFPA 70?			X
.165(a)	If applicable, are there liquid separator(s) on the intake to the compressors?			X
.165(b)	Do the liquid separators have a manual means of removing liquids?			X
	If slugs of liquid could be carried into the compressors, are there automatic dumps on the separators, Automatic compressor shutdown devices, or high liquid level alarms?			X
.167(a)	ESD system must:			
	- Discharge blowdown gas to a safe location			X
	- Block and blowdown the gas in the station			X
	- Shut down gas compressing equipment, gas fires, electrical facilities in compressor building and near gas headers			X
	Maintain necessary electrical circuits for emergency lighting and circuits needed to protect equipment from damage			X
	ESD system must be operable from at least two locations, each of which is:			
	- Outside the gas area of the station			X
	- Not more than 500 feet from the limits of the station			X
	- ESD switches near emergency exits?			X
.167 (b)	For stations supplying gas directly to distribution systems, is the ESD system configured so that the LDC will not be shut down if the ESD is activated?			X
.167(c)	Are ESDs on platforms designed to actuate automatically by			
	- For unattended compressor stations, when:			
	The gas pressure equals MAOP plus 15%?			X
	An uncontrolled fire occurs on the platform?			X
	- For compressor station in a building, when			
	An uncontrolled fire occurs in the building?			X
	• Gas in air reaches 50% or more of LEL in a building with a source of ignition (facility conforming to NEC Class 1, Group D is not a source of ignition)?			X
.171(a)	Does the compressor station have adequate fire protection facilities? If fire pumps are used, they must not be affected by the ESD system.			X
(b)	Do the compressor station prime movers (other than electrical movers) have over-speed shutdown?			X
(c)	Do the compressor units alarm or shutdown in the event of inadequate cooling or lubrication of the unit(s)?			X
(d)	Are the gas compressor units equipped to automatically stop fuel flow and vent the engine if the engine is stopped for any reason?			X
(e)	Are the mufflers equipped with vents to vent any trapped gas?			X
173	Is each compressor station building adequately ventilated?			X
457	Is all buried piping cathodically protected?			X
481	Atmospheric corrosion control of aboveground facilities 192.481(b), (c); 192.479(a), (b), (c))			X
.605	Does the operator have procedures for the start-up and shut-down of the station and/or compressor units? 192.605(b)(5)			X

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

COMPR	ESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION – NO COMPRESSOR STATIONS (covers this entire section) (Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered") If not located on a platform check here and skip 192.167(c)	S	U	N/A	N/C
	Are facility maps current/up-to-date? 192.605(b)(3)			X	
.616	Public Awareness Program effectiveness - Visit identified stakeholders as part of field inspection routine			X	
.605; .615(b)	Emergency Plan for the station on site?			X	
.707	Markers			X	
.199/.731	Are pressure relief/limiting devices inside a compressor station designed, installed, and inspected properly? (192.199, 192.731(a), (b), (c))			X	
.735(a), (b)	Are combustible materials in quantities exceeding normal daily usage, stored a safe distance from the compressor building?			X	
	Are aboveground oil or gasoline storage tanks protected in accordance with NFPA standard No. 30?			X	
.736(a), (b)	Have adequate gas detection and alarm systems been installed in selected applicable compressor buildings?			X	

Comments:		

Alternative Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure

For additional guidance refer to http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/maop/faqs.htm For Additional guidance see the FAQs at http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/maop/faqs.htm

192.620	Alternative MAOP Procedures and Verifications – NO Alternative MAOP (covers this section)	S	U	N/A	N/C
	The alternative MAOP is calculated by using different factors in the same formulas used for calculating MAOP in				
	§192.619. In determining the alternative design pressure under §192.105 use a design factor determined in accordance with §192.111(b), (c), or (d), or, if none of these apply in accordance with:				
	accordance with §192.111(0), (c), of (d), or, if none of these apply in accordance with.				
	Class Location Alternative Design Factor (F)				
	1 0.80				
	2 0.67 3 0.56				
.620(a)	(1) Establish alternative MAOP commensurate with class location – no class 4			X	
	(2) MAOP cannot exceed the lowest of the following:				
	(i) Design pressure of the weakest element			X	
	(ii) Test pressure divided by applicable factor			X	
.620(b)	(2) Pipeline constructed of steel pipe meeting additional requirements in §192.112.			X	
	(3) SCADA system with remote monitoring and control			X	
	(4) Additional construction requirements described in §192.328			X	
	(5) No mechanical couplings			X	
	(6) No failures indicative of systemic material fault – if previously operated at lower MAOP			X	
	(7) 95% of girth welds have NDT			X	
.620(c)	(1) PHMSA notified 180 days before operating at alternative MAOP			X	
	(2) Senior Executive signatures and copy to PHMSA			X	
	(4) Strength test per §192.505 or certify previous strength test			X	

The alternative MAOP is calculated by using different factors in the same formulas used for calculating MAOP in \$192-619. In determining the alternative design pressure under \$192-610 see a design factor determined in accordance with \$192.111(b), (c), (c), (r), (r) fonoe of these apply in accordance with: Class Location Alternative Design Factor (F) 1	192.620							
Class Lucation Alternative Design Factor (F) 1 0,80 2 0,67 3 0,56 (6) Construction tasks treated as covered tasks for Operator Qualification (7) Records maintained for life of system (8) Class location change anomaly remediations (1) Threat matrix developed consistent with \$192.917 (2) Recalculate the potential impact circle per \$192.903 and implement public education per \$192.616 (3) Responding to an emergency in an HCA (i) Identify HCAs using larger impact circle (iii) Check personnel response times (iii) Verify remote valve abilities (iv) Verify line break valve control system (4) Protect the right-of-way: (i) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days (ii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil (iii) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per \$192.707 (v) Review durnage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (x) (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (x) Row patrols if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (x) Confirm external corrosion interference currents and remedial actions (x) Confirm external corrosion interference currents and remedial actions (x) Confirm external corrosion interference currents and remedial actions (x) Confirm external corrosion interference currents and remedial actions (x) Confirm external corrosion interference currents and remedial actions (x) Profession intermal inspection results with indirect assessment (x) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (x) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A/C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and inte		§192.619. In determining the alternative design pressure under §192.105 use a design factor determined in						
1		accordance with §132.111(b), (c), or (d), or, it note of these approximate with.						
Completion Com								
(6) Construction tasks treated as covered tasks for Operator Qualification (7) Records maintained for life of system (8) Class location change anomaly remediations (1) Threat matrix developed consistent with §192.917 (2) Recalculate the potential impact circle per §192.903 and implement public education per §192.616 (3) Responding to an emergency in an HCA (ii) Check personnel response times (iii) Verify remote valve abilities (iv) Verify remote valve abilities (iv) Verify time break valve control system (4) Protect the right-of-way: (ii) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days (iii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil (iii) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (iii) Program to monitor gas constituents (iii) Program to monitor gas constituents (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (v) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (v) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (v) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (ii) Survey to address interference that can impact external corrosion (iii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (iv) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (iv) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (iii) Remediate damage with IR (nop > 35% (iii) Remediate damage with IR (nop > 35% (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (iv) Cathodic Protection (iv) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction (iv) Completion								
(7) Records maintained for life of system (8) Class location change anomaly remediations (1) Threat matrix developed consistent with §192.917 (2) Recalculate the potential impact circle per §192.903 and implement public education per §192.616 X (3) Responding to an emergency in an HCA (ii) Identify HCAs using larger impact circle (iii) Check personnel response times (iii) Verify remote valve abilities (iv) Verify ine break valve control system (4) Protect the right-of-way: (i) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days (ii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil (iii) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (iv) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (5) Control Internal Corrosion: (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (iv) Usanterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (ii) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (iii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (ii) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (iii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		3 0.56						
(8) Class location change anomaly remediations (1) Threat matrix developed consistent with \$192.917 (2) Recalculate the potential impact circle per \$192.903 and implement public education per \$192.616 (3) Responding to an emergency in an HCA (ii) Identify HCAs using larger impact circle (iii) Check personnel response times (iii) Verify remote valve abilities (iv) Verify line break valve control system (4) Protect the right-of-way: (6) Protect the right-of-way: (7) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days (8) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil (9) Use line-of-sight markers per \$192.707 (9) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (1) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (1) Program to monitor gas constituents (1) Filter separators if needed (1) Sund Monitoring equipment used (1) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (2) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (3) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (4) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (6) Gontrol integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (1) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (1) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (1) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (2) Confirm external corrosion onto learner also within 6 months of failed reading (3) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (4) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (5) Completion (6) Control confirm restoration by a close interval survey (8) Cathodic Protection (9) Confirm external corrosion and within 12 months of construction (1) Completion								
(1) Threat matrix developed consistent with §192.917 (2) Recalculate the potential impact circle per §192.003 and implement public education per §192.616 (3) Responding to an emergency in an HCA (i) Identify HCAs using larger impact circle (ii) Check personnel response times (iii) Verify remote valve abilities (iv) Verify line break valve control system (4) Protect the right-of-way: (i) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days (ii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil (iii) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (x) (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (ii) Survey to address interference urrents and remedial actions (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (iii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (iv) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (iii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iiii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Confirm completion results within 12 months of construction (completion interval surveys) and interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction (completion interval surveys) and interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction				X				
(2) Recalculate the potential impact circle per §192.903 and implement public education per §192.616		(8) Class location change anomaly remediations			X			
(3) Responding to an emergency in an HCA (i) Identify HCAs using larger impact circle (ii) Check personnel response times (iii) Verify remote valve abilities (iv) Verify Ime break valve control system (4) Protect the right-of-way: (i) ROW partols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days (ii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil (iii) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (ii) Pitter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (vi) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (vi) Quarterly program review based o		(1) Threat matrix developed consistent with §192.917			X			
(i) Identify HCAs using larger impact circle (ii) Check personnel response times (iii) Verify remote valve abilities (iv) Verify line break valve control system (iv) Verify line break valve control system (iv) Protect the right-of-way: (iv) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per \$192.707 (iv) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per \$192.707 (iv) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (iv) RoW management plan to protect against excavation activities (iv) Program to monitor gas constituents (iv) Filter separators if needed (iv) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and water in the gas stream (iv) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (ii) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (iii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (iv) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (ii) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (iii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iv) Periodic assessment sor HCAs (iv) Periodic assessment sor HCAs (iv) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iv) Cathodic Protection (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction (iv) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (iv) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction		(2) Recalculate the potential impact circle per §192.903 and implement public education per §192.616			X			
(iii) Check personnel response times (iii) Verify remote valve abilities (iv) Verify line break valve control system (4) Protect the right-of-way: (i) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days (ii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil (iii) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (5) Control Internal Corrosion: (ii) Program to monitor gas constituents (iii) Filter separators if needed (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (v) Limit CO2, H2S, and water in the gas stream (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (ii) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (iii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (iv) Profied consense interval survey, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (iii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection yax		(3) Responding to an emergency in an HCA						
(iii) Verify remote valve abilities		(i) Identify HCAs using larger impact circle			X			
(iv) Verify line break valve control system (4) Protect the right-of-way: (i) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days (ii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil (iii) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (5) Control Internal Corrosion: (i) Program to monitor gas constituents (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (iv) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (ii) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (iii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (iii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Completion		(ii) Check personnel response times			X			
(4) Protect the right-of-way: (i) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days (ii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil (iii) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (i) Program to monitor gas constituents (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (v) Limit CO2, H2S, and water in the gas stream (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x		(iii) Verify remote valve abilities			X			
(4) Protect the right-of-way: (i) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days (ii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil (iii) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (5) Control Internal Corrosion: (i) Program to monitor gas constituents (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (iv) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction (iv) Completion	(20(1)	(iv) Verify line break valve control system			X			
(iii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil (iii) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (5) Control Internal Corrosion: (i) Program to monitor gas constituents (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (ii) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (ii) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction (x)	620(d)	(4) Protect the right-of-way:						
(iii) Replace loss of cover if needed (iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (5) Control Internal Corrosion: (i) Program to monitor gas constituents (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (ii) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (iii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (ii) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction (x)		(i) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days			X			
(iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707 (v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (x) (5) Control Internal Corrosion: (i) Program to monitor gas constituents (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(ii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil			X			
(v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices (vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (x) (5) Control Internal Corrosion: (i) Program to monitor gas constituents (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (v) Limit CO2, H2S, and water in the gas stream (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(iii) Replace loss of cover if needed			X			
(vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities (5) Control Internal Corrosion: (i) Program to monitor gas constituents (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707			X			
(5) Control Internal Corrosion: (i) Program to monitor gas constituents (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (v) Limit CO2, H2S, and water in the gas stream (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices			X			
(i) Program to monitor gas constituents (ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (v) Limit CO2, H2S, and water in the gas stream (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities			X			
(ii) Filter separators if needed (iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (v) Limit CO2, H2S, and water in the gas stream (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(5) Control Internal Corrosion:						
(iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used (iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (v) Limit CO2, H2S, and water in the gas stream (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (X) (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(i) Program to monitor gas constituents			X			
(iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids (v) Limit CO2, H2S, and water in the gas stream (vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction (C) Part of the first o		(ii) Filter separators if needed			X			
Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading Completion Confirm restoration by a close interval survey Completion Construction Complete remediation system operational within 12 months of construction Complete remediation Construction Complete construction Complete construction Complete construction Completion Construction Complete construction Complete construction Complete construction Complete construction Complete completion Construction Complete const		(iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used			X			
(vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results (6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion (ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction (N) Policy of the state of th		(iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids						
(6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion	.620(d)	(v) Limit CO2, H2S, and water in the gas stream			X			
(ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions (7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results			X			
(7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment (i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion			X			
(i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months (ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion (Completion of the sixthesis of		(ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions			X			
(ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35% (iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment			X			
(iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment (iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion (C) Policy interval interval inspection results with indirect assessment X		(i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months						
(iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs (A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion (C) Description:		(ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35%			X			
(A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results (8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment			X			
(8) Cathodic Protection (i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion (C) Description		(iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs			X			
(i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading (ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		(A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results						
(ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion (iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction		(8) Cathodic Protection			X			
(iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion X		(i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading						
(iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion X		(ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey			X			
(0) P 1		(iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction						
(5) Baseline assessment of integrity		(9) Baseline assessment of integrity			X			

	if the feeling marked 0,14/1, of 14/0, an explanation must be included in this report				
92.620	Alternative MAOP Procedures and Verifications – NO Alternative MAOP (covers this section)	S	U	N/A	N/C
	The alternative MAOP is calculated by using different factors in the same formulas used for calculating MAOP in \$192.619. In determining the alternative design pressure under \$192.105 use a design factor determined in accordance with \$192.111(b), (c), or (d), or, if none of these apply in accordance with:				
	Class Location Alternative Design Factor (F) 1 0.80				
	2 0.67 3 0.56				
	(i)(A) Geometry tool run within 6 months of service				
	(i)(B) High resolution MFL tool run within 3 years of service			X	
	(ii) Geometry and MFL tool 2 years prior to raising pressure for existing lines			X	
	(iii) If short portions cannot accommodate tools, use direct assessment per §192.925, 927, 929 or pressure testing			X	
	(10) Periodic integrity assessments			X	
	(i) Frequency for assessments determined as if all segments covered by Subpart O				
	(ii) Inspect using MFL tool or direct assessment per §192.925, 927, 929 or pressure testing.			X	
	(11) Repairs			X	
	(i)(A) Use of the most conservative calculation for anomaly remaining strength				
	(B) Tool tolerances taken into consideration			X	
	(ii) Immediate repairs for:			X	
	(A) Dents meeting 309(b) criteria				
	(B) Defects meeting immediate criteria in §192.933(d)			X	
	(C) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.25 for .67 design factor			X	
	(D) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.4 for .56 design factor			X	
	(iii) Repairs within 1 year for:			X	
	(A) Defects meeting 1 year criteria in 933(d)				
	(B) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.25 for .80 design factor			X	
	(C) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.50 for .67 design factor			X	
	(D) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.80 for .56 design factor			X	
	(iv) Evaluate defect growth rate for anomalies with > 1 year repair interval and set repair interval			X	
	(1) Provide overpressure protection to a max of 104% MAOP			X	
)	Does the AMAOP process include overpressure protection requirements?			X	
	Do records indicate that overpressure protection requirements were met?			X	

Comments:			!
			ľ
			!
			ļ
			!
			!

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

Recent Gas Pipeline Safety Advisory Bulletins: (Last 2 years)

<u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>
ADB-2013-07	July 12, 13	Potential for Damage to Pipeline Facilities Caused by Flooding
ADB-2012-10	Dec 5, 12	Using Meaningful Metrics in Conducting Integrity Management Program Evaluations
ADB-2012-09	Oct 11, 12	Communication During Emergency Situations
ADB-2012-08	Jul 31, 12	Inspection and Protection of Pipeline Facilities After Railway Accidents
ADB-12-07	Jun 11, 12	Mechanical Fitting Failure Reports
ADB-12-06	May 7, 12	Verification of Records establishing MAOP and MOP
ADB-12-05	Mar 23, 12	Cast Iron Pipe (Supplementary Advisory Bulletin)
ADB -12-04	Mar 21, 12	Implementation of the National Registry of Pipeline and Liquefied Natural Gas Operators
ADB-12-03	Mar 6, 12	Notice to Operators of Driscopipe 8000 High Density Polyethylene Pipe of the Potential for Material Degradation
ADB-11-05	Sep 1, 11	Potential for Damage to Pipeline Facilities Caused by the Passage of Hurricanes
ADB-11-04	Jul 27, 11	Potential for damage to pipeline facilities caused by severe flooding.

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

For more PHMSA Advisory Bulletins, go to http://phmsa.dot.gov/pipeline/regs/advisory-bulletin

Comments:			