

**US Department of Transportation  
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration  
Office of Pipeline Safety**

**Hazardous Liquid IMP Field Verification Inspection  
49 CFR Parts 195.450 and 195.452**

**General Notes:**

1. This Field Verification Inspection is performed on field activities being performed by an Operator in support of their Integrity Management Program (IMP).
2. This is a two part inspection form:
  - i. A review of applicable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and IMP processes and procedures applicable to the field activity being inspected to ensure the operator is implementing their O&M and IMP Manuals in a consistent manner.
  - ii. A Field Verification Inspection to determine that activities on the pipeline and facilities are being performed in accordance with written procedures or guidance.
3. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Field Verification Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed. The applicable portions are identified in the Table below by a check mark. Only those sections of the form marked immediately below need to be documented as either “Satisfactory”; “Unsatisfactory”; or Not Checked (“N/C”). Those sections not marked below may be left blank.

**Operator Inspected: Tidewater Terminal Company**

**OPID: 31051**

Perform Activity <i>(denoted by mark)</i>	Activity Number	Activity Description
	1A	In-Line Inspection
	1B	Hydrostatic Pressure Testing
	1C	Other Assessment Technologies
	2A	Remedial Actions
	2B	Remediation – Implementation
X	3A	Installed Leak Detection System Information
	3B	Installed Emergency Flow Restrictive Device
X	4A	Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations
	4B	Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs
X	4C	Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System
X	4D	Field inspection for general system characteristics

## Hazardous Liquid IMP Field Verification Inspection Form

Name of Operator: Tidewater Terminal Company

**Headquarters Address:**

**P.O. Box 1210**  
**6305 NW Old Lower River Rd**  
**Vancouver, WA 98660**

**Company Official: Bill Collins**

**Phone Number: (360) 759-0306**

**Fax Number: 509-545-5042**

**Operator ID: 31051**

Persons Interviewed	Title	Phone No.	E-Mail
Mark Davis	Terminal Operations Supervisor	509-396-1179	Mdavis@tidewater.com
Ron McClary	Terminal Operations Supervisor	509-544-2211	rmclary@tidewater.com
Josh Jarmin	EHS&S Specialist	509-547-7701	Joshua.jarmon@tidewater.com
Brian Rankin	Quality/Compliance Manager	360-759-0338	brianr@tidewater.com

OPS/State Representative(s): Dennis Ritter

Dates of Inspection: 7/8-7/12/2013

Inspector Signature: DER

**Pipeline Segment Descriptions:** *[note: Description of the Pipeline Segment Inspected. (Include the pipe size, wall thickness, grade, seam type, coating type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, and Pipeline Segment boundaries.)]*

SRT Inbound/Outbound and Diesel lines are three 6-inch diameter pipelines (approx. 4,903 feet, each) These lines carry refined products consisting of 2D15 (diesel) and gasoline. The facility is near the Snake River and is operation at a MOP of 270psig. This MOP is limited by an ANSI 150# flange as the weakest component.

The SRT Pasco Rail Diesel Line is owned and operated by Tidewater Terminal Company (Tidewater) and is used to transport dyed 2D15 diesel fuel from Tidewater's Snake River Terminal (SRT) to the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway refueling depot (i.e., the Pasco BN Rail Yard) located approximately 4 miles to the west. Diesel fuel is pumped from the Snake River Pump Station #2, which is located at SRT, to the BN Receiving Station and then to aboveground storage tanks located at the Pasco BN Terminal (i.e., Tanks A and B). The diesel fuel supplies the BN Rail Yard.

The pipeline is of 4-inch diameter steel pipe construction with a wall thickness of 0.237 inches. It is approximately 4.2 miles in length. The maximum operating pressure of the pipeline is 1,429 pounds per square inch-gauge (psig) (80% of hydrotest). Diesel

fuel is pumped to the Pasco BN Terminal storage tanks at rates ranging between 1,000 and 1,400 barrels per day (bpd). The average flow rate is 400 barrels per hour (bph). The line fill volume is approximately 348 barrels.

**Site Location of field activities:** *[note: Describe the portion of the pipeline segment reviewed during the field verification, i.e. milepost/stations/valves/pipe-to-soil readings/river crossings/etc. In addition, a brief description and case number of the follow up items in any PHMSA compliance action or consent agreement that required field verification. Note: Complete pages 8 & 9 as appropriate.]*

Snake River Terminal (SRT) to BNRR yard in Pasco. Drove/walked entire pipeline ROW of 4.2 miles (Tidewater does this weekly). No issues to report. There are two CP test stations near the beginning of the pipeline approximately MP 0.4 and 0.6 where Tidewater pipelines cross Tesoro (formally Chevron). Checked for isolation and adequate CP.

**Summary:**

Tidewater is using ILI assessment tools as part of their Integrity Management Program. No ILI runs during this visit. HCA locations are correct. Damage prevention program is good and CP was found to be satisfactory per O&M criteria.

**Findings:**

The only finding was the lack of appropriate records for the 1995 SRT to BNRR pipeline relocation (approx. 6200 feet).

**Key Documents Reviewed:**

Document Title	Document No.	Rev. No	Date
<b>SRT to BNRR System Operation Manual</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>May 1, 2013</b>
<b>Operation and Maintenance Manual</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>March 2012</b>
<b>CP Annual Survey 2012</b>			<b>2012</b>
<b>CP Annual Survey 2013</b>			<b>2013</b>
<b>CIS Pipeline: Pasco Delivery</b>			<b>July 29, 2012</b>
<b>CIS 6-inch Product Transfer Lines</b>			<b>July 2011</b>

**Part 1 - Performance of Integrity Assessments**

<b>1A. In-Line Inspection (Protocol 3.04 &amp; 3.05)</b>	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
<b>Verify that Operator’s O&amp;M and IMP procedural requirements (e.g. launching/receiving tools) for performance of ILI were followed.</b>			X	<p>[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]</p>
Verify Operator’s ILI procedural requirements were followed (e.g. operation of trap for launching and receiving of pig, operational control of flow), as appropriate.				
Verify ILI tool systems and calibration checks before run were performed to ensure tool was operating correctly prior to assessment being performed, as appropriate.				
Verify ILI complied with Operator’s procedural requirements for performance of a successful assessment (e.g. speed of travel within limits, adequate transducer coverage), as appropriate.				
Document ILI Tool Vendor and Tool type (e.g. MFL, Deformation). Document other pertinent information about Vendor and Tool, as appropriate				
Verify that Operator’s personnel have access to applicable procedures				
Other:				
<b>1B. Hydrostatic Pressure Testing (Protocol 3.06)</b>	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
<b>Verify that hydrostatic pressure tests complied with Part 195 Subpart E requirements.</b>		X		<p>There was no hydrotesting occurring during inspection. Did check existing records for MOP verification. Tidewater did not have a record of hydrotest for SRT to BNRR relocation done in 1995. Anecdotal records indicate pipeline was tested, but no definitive record. See NOPV in main letter.</p>
Review documentation of Hydrostatic Pressure Test parameters and results. Verify test was performed without leakage and in compliance with Part 195 Subpart E requirements.				
Review test procedures and records and verify test acceptability and validity.				
Review determination of the cause of hydrostatic test failures, as appropriate.				
Document Hydrostatic Pressure Test Vendor and equipment used, as appropriate.				
Other:				
<b>1C. Other Assessment Technologies (Protocol 3.07)</b>	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
<b>Verify that application of “Other Assessment Technology” complied with Operator’s requirements, that appropriate notifications had been submitted to OPS, and that appropriate data was collected.</b>			X	<p></p>
Review documentation of notification to OPS of Operator’s application of “Other Assessment Technology”, if available. Verify compliance with Operator’s procedural requirements. If documentation of notification to OPS of Operator’s application of “Other Assessment Technology” is available, verify performance of assessment within parameters originally submitted to OPS.				
Verify that appropriate tests are being performed and appropriate data is being collected, as appropriate.				
Other.				

**Part 2 - Remediation of Anomalies**

2A. Remedial Actions – Process (Protocol 4.1)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
<b>Verify that remedial actions complied with the Operator’s procedural requirements.</b>			X	
Witness anomaly remediation and verify documentation of remediation (e.g. Exposed Pipe Reports, Maintenance Report, any Data Acquisition Forms). Verify compliance with Operator’s O&M Manual and Part 195 requirements.				
Verify that Operator’s procedures were followed in locating and exposing the anomaly (e.g. any required pressure reductions, line location, identifying approximate location of anomaly for excavation, excavation, coating removal).				
Verify that procedures were followed in measuring the anomaly, determining the severity of the anomaly, and determining remaining strength of the pipe.				
Verify that Operator’s personnel have access to applicable procedures.				
Other:				
2B. Remediation - Implementation (Protocol 4.02)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
<b>Verify that the operator has adequately implemented its remediation process and procedures to effectively remediate conditions identified through integrity assessments or information analysis.</b>			X	
If documentation is available, verify that repairs were completed in accordance with the operator’s prioritized schedule and within the time frames allowed in §195.452(h).				
Review any documentation for this inspection site for an immediate repair condition (§195.452(h)(4)(i) where operating pressure was reduced or the pipeline was shutdown. Verify for an immediate repair condition that temporary operating pressure was determined in accordance with the formula in Section 451.7 of ASME/ANSI B31.4 or, if not applicable, the operator should provide an engineering basis justifying the amount of pressure reduction.				
Verify that repairs were performed in accordance with §195.422 and the Operator’s O&M Manual, as appropriate.				
Review CP readings at anomaly dig site, if possible. (See Part 4 of this form – “Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System” , as appropriate.				Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available): On Potential: _____ mV Off Potential: _____ mV
Other:				<i>[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]</i>

**Part 3 - Preventive and Mitigative Actions**

<b>3A. Installed Leak Detection System Information (Protocol 6.05)</b>	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: New SCADA system for SRT to BNRR. Easy to read screens and operation.  <i>[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]</i>
<b>Identify installed leak detection systems on pipelines and facilities that can affect an HCA.</b>	X			
Document leak detection system components installed on system to enhance capabilities, as appropriate.				
Document the frequency of monitoring of installed leak detection systems and verify connection of installed components to leak detection monitoring system, as appropriate,				
Other:				
<b>3B. Installed Emergency Flow Restrictive Device (Protocol 6.06)</b>	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: Minimal Elevation differential between SRT and BNRR and SRT and Tesoro (20'). Operator does not have EFRDs but Tidewater does have two MOVs in good working order and acknowledged on SCADA screens. Operator can control.
<b>Verify additional preventive and mitigative actions implemented by Operator.</b>	X			
Document Emergency Flow Restrictive Device (EFRD) component(s) installed on system.  Note that EFRD per §195.450 means a check valve or remote control valve as follows: (1) Check valve means a valve that permits fluid to flow freely in one direction and contains a mechanism to automatically prevent flow in the other direction. (2) Remote control valve or RCV means any valve that is operated from a location remote from where the valve is installed. The RCV is usually operated by the supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system. The linkage between the pipeline control center and the RCV may be by fiber optics, microwave, telephone lines, or satellite.				
Document the frequency of monitoring of installed EFRDs and verify connection of installed components to monitoring/operating system, as appropriate.				
Verify operation of remote control valve by having operator send remote command to partially open or close the valve, as appropriate.				
Comment on the perceived effectiveness of the EFRD in mitigating the consequences of a release on the HCA that it is designed to protect.				
Other:				

**Part 4 - Field Investigations (Additional Activities as appropriate)**

<b>4A. Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations</b>				Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: Tidewaterer designated the entire SRT and BNRR pipelines as HCAs as immediately adjacent to Snake and Columbia rivers--commercially navigable waterways. SRT is located at approximately the confluence of the two rivers.
<b>Review HCAs locations as identified by the Operator. Utilize NPMS, as appropriate.</b>				X			
Verify population derived HCAs in the field are as they appear on Operator's maps and NPMS, as appropriate. Document newly constructed (within last 2-3 years) population and/or commercial areas that could be affected by a pipeline release, as appropriate. Note that population derived HCAs are defined in §195.450							
Verify drinking water and ecological HCAs in the field are as they appear on Operator's maps and NPMS, as appropriate. Document newly established drinking water sources and/or ecological resources areas (within last 2-3 years) that could be affected by a pipeline release, as appropriate. Note that unusually sensitive areas (USAs) are defined in §195.6							
Verify commercially navigable waterway HCAs in the field are as they appear on Operator's maps and NPMS, as appropriate. Document any activity (commercial in nature) that could affect the waterways status as a commercially navigable waterway, as appropriate. Note that commercially navigable waterway HCAs are defined in §195.450							
<b>4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs</b>				Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: : No digs were conducted during the visit
<b>Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.</b>						X	
Document the anomaly dig sites reviewed as part of this field activity and actions taken by the operator.							
<b>4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System</b>				Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:CIS and 2013, 2012 annual surveys were reviewed and everything was satisfactory. Only issue was isolation between SRT to BNRR pump station and tank farm. As now under same ownership, can be isolated or not.  Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available): On Potential: _-1778mV BNRR -SRT Inbound/Outbound -1840 Off Potential: _____mV
<b>In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy.</b>				X			
The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?							
Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.							
Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.							
<b>4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics</b>				Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: Pipelines appear to be in satisfactory condition. All CP test points were good, ROW was good, markers good. All one call tickets acknowledged and no digs around pipelines. Most of pipeline is inside BNRR controlled property.
<b>Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.</b>				X			
Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.							
Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.							
Other							

## Anomaly Evaluation Report *(to be completed as appropriate)*

<b>Pipeline System and Line Pipe Information</b>		
Operator (OpID and System Name):		
Unit ID (Pipeline Name)		
Pipe Manufacturer and Year:	Seam Type and Orientation:	
Pipe Nominal OD (inch):	Seam Orientation:	
Pipe Nominal Wall thickness (inch):	Coating Type:	
Grade of Pipe:	MOP:	
<b>ILI Reported Information</b>		
ILI Technology (e.g., Vendor, Tools):		
Anomaly Type (e.g., Mechanical, Metal Loss):		
Is anomaly in a segment that can affect an HCA? (Yes / No)		
Date of Tool Run (MM/DD/YY):	Date of Inspection Report (MM/DD/YY):	
Date of "Discovery of Anomaly" (MM/DD/YY):		
Type of "Condition" (e.g.; Immediate; 60-day; 180-day):		
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext):	Orientation:	
Anomaly Details: Length (in):	Width (in):	Depth (in):
Anomaly Log Distance (ft):	Distance from Upstream weld (ft):	
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is identified (ft):		
<b>Anomaly Dig Site Information Summary</b>		
Date of Anomaly Dig (MM/DD/YY):		
Location Information:		
Mile Post Number:	Distance from A/G Reference (ft):	
Distance from Upstream weld (ft):		
GPS Readings (if available) Longitude:	Latitude:	
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext):	Orientation:	
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is found (ft):		
<b>For Mechanical Damage Anomaly</b>		
Damage Type (e.g., original construction, plain dent, gouge):		
Length (in):	Width (in):	Depth (in):
Near a weld? (Yes / No):		
Gouge or metal loss associated with dent? (Yes / No):		
Did operator perform additional NDE to evaluate presence of cracks in dent? (Yes / No):		
Cracks associated with dent? (Yes / No):		
<b>For Corrosion Metal Loss Anomaly</b>		
Anomaly Type (e.g., pitting, general):		
Length (in):	Width (in):	Max. Depth (in):
Remaining minimum wall thickness (in):	Maximum % Wall Loss measurement(%):	
Safe pressure calculation (psi), as appropriate:		
<b>For "Other Types" of Anomalies</b>		
Describe anomaly (e.g., dent with metal loss, crack, seam defect, SCC):		
Length (in):	Width (in):	Max. Depth (in):
Other Information, as appropriate:		
Did operator perform additional NDE to evaluate presence of cracks? (Yes / No):		
Cracks present? (Yes / No):		





**Anomaly Repair Report (to be completed as appropriate)**

<b>Repair Information</b>		
Was a repair of the anomaly made? (Yes / No):		
Was defect ground out to eliminate need for repair? (Yes / No):		
If grinding used, complete the following for affected area:		
Length (in):	Width (in):	Depth (in):
If NO repair of an anomaly for which RSTRENG is applicable, were the Operator's RSTRENG calculations reviewed? (Yes / No):		
If Repair made, complete the following:		
Repair Type (e.g., Type B-sleeve, composite wrap)		
Length of Repair:		
Comments on Repair material, as appropriate (e.g., grade of steel):		
Pipe re-coating material used following excavation:		
<b>General Observations and Comments</b>		
Was a diagram (e.g., corrosion map) of the anomaly made? (Yes / No):		(Include in report if available)
Were pipe-to-soil cathodic protection readings taken? (Yes / No):		
If readings taken, Record: On Potential: _____ mV; Off Potential: _____ mV		
Describe method used to Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):		
Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):		
General Observations and Comments (Note: attach photographs, sketches, etc., as appropriate):		