

Inspection Results (IRR)

Generated on 2021.February.08 11:25

• 88978 (1940) (54)

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK 88978 (1940)

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
1.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	EP.ERL	9. EP.ERL.LIAISON.R	195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12), 195.440(c), API RP 1162 Section 4.4)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
2.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	1. FS.FG.FACPROTECT.O	195.436	Are facilities adequately protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?
3.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	2. FS.FG.IGNITION.O	195.438	Is there signage that prohibits smoking and open flames around pump stations, launchers and receivers, breakout tank areas, or other applicable facilities?
4.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	3. FS.FG.IGNITION.R	195.404(c) (195.438)	Do records show precautions taken to prevent ignition sources in areas with a potential for accumulating flammable vapors or leaking hazardous liquids?
5.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	4. FS.FG.SIGNAGE.O	195.434	Are there operator signs around each pumping station, breakout tank area, and other applicable facilities?
6.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	5. FS.FG.SIGNAGE.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.434)	Does the process require operator signs to be posted around each pump station and breakout tank area?
7.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	6. FS.FG.IGNITION.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.438)	Does the process prohibit smoking and open flames in each pump station and breakout tank area, or where there is the possibility of the leakage of a flammable hazardous liquid or the presence of flammable vapors?
8.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	FS.FG	7. FS.FG.PROTECTION.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.436)	Does the process require facilities to be protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?
9.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	1. MO.RW.PATROL.R	195.412(a) (195.412(b))	Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected, and reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK 88978 (1940)

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
10.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	2. MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	195.412(a)	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
11.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	3. MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	195.410(a) (195.410(b), 195.410(c))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
12.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	4. MO.RW.PATROL.P	195.402(a) (195.412(a), 195.412(b))	Does the process require inspection of ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways, as well as reporting and mitigation of findings from said inspections?
13.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	5. MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P	195.402(a) (195.410(a), 195.410(c), API RP 1162, Section 2.7, API RP 1162, Section 8)	Does the process address how line markers are to be placed and maintained?
14.	(and 1 other asset)	NA	(2)	MO.RW	6. MO.RW.GOMHAZARD.P	195.413(a) (195.413(b), 195.413(c))	Does the process require identification of pipelines in the Gulf of Mexico at risk of being exposed underwater or hazards to navigation?
15.	(and 1 other asset)	NA	(2)	MO.RW	7. MO.RW.GOMHAZARD.R	195.413(b) (195.413(c))	Do records indicate steps taken to identify pipelines in the Gulf of Mexico at risk of being exposed underwater pipelines or hazards to navigation?
16.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	1. PD.PA.ASSETS.P	195.440(b) (API RP 1162, Section 2.7 Step 4)	Does the program clearly identify the specific pipeline systems and facilities to be included in the program, along with the unique attributes and characteristics of each?
17.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	2. PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.P	195.440(d) (195.440(e), 195.440(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP1162 Section 3)	Does the program establish methods to identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents?
18.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	3. PD.PA.MGMTSUPPORT.P	195.440(a) (API RP 1162 Section 2.5, API RP 1162 Section 7.1)	Does the operator's program documentation demonstrate management support?
19.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	4. PD.PA.PROGRAM.P	195.440(a) (195.440(h))	Has the continuing public education (awareness) program been established as required?
20.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	5. PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.R	195.440(d) (195.440(e), 195.440(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2,	Do records identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK 88978 (1940)

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
						API RP 1162 Section 3)	groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents to which it sends public awareness materials and messages?
21.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	PD.PA	6.	PD.PA.MESSAGES.P	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 3, API RP 1162 Section 4, API RP 1162 Section 5)	Does the program define the combination of messages, delivery methods, and delivery frequencies to comprehensively reach all affected stakeholder audiences in all areas where hazardous liquid or carbon dioxide is transported?
22.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	PD.PA	7.	PD.PA.SUPPLEMENTAL.P	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 6.2)	Were relevant factors considered to determine the need for supplemental public awareness program enhancements for each stakeholder audience along all pipeline systems, as described in API RP 1162?
23.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	PD.PA	8.	PD.PA.EDUCATE.R	195.440(d) (195.440(f))	Did delivered messages specifically include provisions to educate the public, emergency officials, local public officials, and excavators on: (1) Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a hazardous liquid or carbon dioxide pipeline facility; (3) Physical indications of a possible release; (4) Steps to be taken for public safety in the event of a hazardous liquid or carbon dioxide pipeline release; and (5) Procedures to report such an event?
24.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	PD.PA	9.	PD.PA.LOCATIONMESSAGE.R	195.440(e) (195.440(f))	Were messages developed and delivered to advise affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents of pipeline facility location?
25.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	PD.PA	10.	PD.PA.MESSAGEFREQUENCY.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Table 2-1)	Did the delivery of materials and messages meet or exceed the baseline delivery frequencies specified in API RP 1162, Table 2-1?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK 88978 (1940)

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
26.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.PA	11. EP.ERL.LIAISON.R	195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12), 195.440(c), API RP 1162 Section 4.4)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
27.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	12. PD.PA.LANGUAGE.P	195.440(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	Does the program require that materials and messages be provided in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
28.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	13. PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R	195.440(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
29.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	14. PD.PA.EVALPLAN.P	195.440(i) (195.440(c), API RP 1162 Section 8, API RP 1162 Appendix E)	Does the program include a process that specifies how program implementation and effectiveness will be periodically evaluated?
30.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	15. PD.PA.EVALIMPL.R	195.440(c) (195.440(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Has an audit or review of the public awareness program implementation been performed annually since the program was developed?
31.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	16. PD.PA.AUDITMETHODS.R	195.440(c) (195.440(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Was one or more of the three acceptable methods (i.e., internal assessment, 3rd-party contractor review, or regulatory inspections) used to complete the annual audit or review of the public awareness program implementation?
32.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	17. PD.PA.PROGRAMIMPROVE.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Were changes made to improve the program and/or the implementation process based on the results and findings of the annual audit(s)?
33.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	18. PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Sections 8.4)	Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?
34.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	19. PD.PA.MEASUREOUTREACH.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1)	In evaluating effectiveness, was actual program outreach for each

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK 88978 (1940)

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text	
							stakeholder audience tracked?	
35.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	20.	PD.PA.MEASUREUNDERSTANDABILITY.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2)	In evaluating program effectiveness, was the percentage of each stakeholder audience that understood and retained the key information from the messages determined?
36.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	21.	PD.PA.MEASUREBEHAVIOR.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.3)	In evaluating program effectiveness, was evaluation made of whether appropriate preventive, response, and mitigative behaviors were understood and likely to be exhibited?
37.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	22.	PD.PA.MEASUREBOTTOM.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4)	Did the operator attempt to measure bottom-line results of the program by tracking third-party incidents and consequences including: (1) near misses, (2) excavation damages resulting in pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not result in pipeline failures?
38.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.PA	23.	PD.PA.CHANGES.R	195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12), API RP 1162 Section 8.5)	Were needed changes and/or modifications to the program identified and documented based on the results and findings of the program effectiveness evaluations?
39.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	1.	MO.RW.PATROL.P	195.402(a) (195.412(a), 195.412(b))	Does the process require inspection of ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways, as well as reporting and mitigation of findings from said inspections?
40.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	195.412(a) (195.412(b))	Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected, and reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?
41.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	3.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	195.412(a)	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
42.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	4.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	195.410(a) (195.410(b), 195.410(c))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
43.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P	195.402(a) (195.410(a), 195.410(c), API RP 1162, Section 2.7, API RP 1162, Section 8)	Does the process address how line markers are to be placed and maintained?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK 88978 (1940)

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
44.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.RW	6. PD.RW.INFORMATION.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.452(f)(3), 195.452(g))	Does the process require damage prevention information to be gathered and recorded during pipeline patrols and surveillance and then analyzed?
45.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.RW	7. PD.RW.INFORMATION.R	195.404(c) (195.402(c)(3), 195.452(f)(3), 195.452(g))	Do records show damage prevention information being gathered and recorded during pipeline patrols and surveillance and then analyzed is available for review?
46.	(and 1 other asset)	NA	(2)	PD.RW	8. MO.RW.GOMHAZARD.P	195.413(a) (195.413(b), 195.413(c))	Does the process require identification of pipelines in the Gulf of Mexico at risk of being exposed underwater or hazards to navigation?
47.	(and 1 other asset)	NA	(2)	PD.RW	9. MO.RW.GOMHAZARD.R	195.413(b) (195.413(c))	Do records indicate steps taken to identify pipelines in the Gulf of Mexico at risk of being exposed underwater pipelines or hazards to navigation?
48.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	1. FS.FG.FACPROTECT.O	195.436	Are facilities adequately protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?
49.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	2. FS.FG.IGNITION.O	195.438	Is there signage that prohibits smoking and open flames around pump stations, launchers and receivers, breakout tank areas, or other applicable facilities?
50.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	3. FS.FG.IGNITION.R	195.404(c) (195.438)	Do records show precautions taken to prevent ignition sources in areas with a potential for accumulating flammable vapors or leaking hazardous liquids?
51.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	4. FS.FG.SIGNAGE.O	195.434	Are there operator signs around each pumping station, breakout tank area, and other applicable facilities?
52.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	5. FS.FG.IGNITION.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.438)	Does the process prohibit smoking and open flames in each pump station and breakout tank area, or where there is the possibility of the leakage of a flammable hazardous liquid or the presence of flammable vapors?
53.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	6. FS.FG.PROTECTION.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.436)	Does the process require facilities to be protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?
54.	(and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.SN	7. FS.FG.SIGNAGE.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.434)	Does the process require operator signs to be posted around each pump

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK 88978 (1940)

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							station and breakout tank area?

1. Result is repeated (N) times in this report due to re-presentation of the question in multiple sub-groups.

Report Parameters: All non-empty Results

Except as required to be disclosed by law, any inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.