Inspection Results (IRR)

Generated on 2019.March.27 09:49

• 88960 (26)

Ro	Accot		pectior (Note 1	n Results Report	(AL Qs	L Non-Empty Results) - So	p_PK 8896	0
w	S	t)	Sub-Group	t#	Question ID	References	Question Text
1.	88960	Sat	(2)	PRR.OM	9.	EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	, 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3),	Do records indicate liaisons established and maintained with appropriate fire, police and other public officials and utility owners in accordance with procedures?
2.	88960	Sat	(2)	PRR.OM	10.	PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R	192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
3.	88960	Sat	(2)	PRR.OM	11.	PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4)	Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?
4.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	1.	PD.PA.PROGRAM.P	192.616(a) (192.616(h))	Has the continuing public education (awareness) program been established as required?
5.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	2.	PD.PA.MGMTSUPPORT.P	192.616(a) (API RP 1162 Section 2.5, API RP 1162 Section 7.1)	Does the operator's program documentation demonstrate management support?
6.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	3.	PD.PA.ASSETS.P	192.616(b) (API RP 1162	Does the program clearly identify the

D -	A = = - 1		-	Results Report (L Non-Empty Results) - Sc	D_PK 8896	0
Ro w	Asset s	Resul t	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qs t#	Question ID	References	Question Tex
							Section 2.7 Step 4)	specific pipeline systems and facilities to be included in the program, along with the unique attributes and characteristics of each?
7.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	4.	PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.P	192.616(d) (192.616(e), 192.616(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 Section 3)	Does the program establish methods to identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4 excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents?
8.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	5.	PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.R	192.616(d) (192.616(e), 192.616(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 Section 3)	Do records identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4 excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts businesses, and residents to which it sends public awareness materials and messages?
9.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	6.	PD.PA.MESSAGES.P	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 3, API RP 1162 Section 4, API RP 1162 Section 5)	Does the program define the combinatio of messages, delivery methods, and delivery frequencies to comprehensive

Ro	Asset		(Note 1		Qs	L Non-Empty Results) - S		-
w	S	t)	Sub-Group	t #	Question ID	References	Question Tex
								y reach all affected stakeholder audiences in al areas where gas is transported?
10.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	7.	PD.PA.SUPPLEMENTAL.P	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 6.2)	Were relevant factors considered to determine the need for supplemental public awareness program enhancements for each stakeholder audience, as described in AF RP 1162?
11.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	8.	PD.PA.EDUCATE.R	192.616(d) (192.616(f))	Did delivered messages specifically include provisions to educate the public, emergency officials, local public officials, and excavators on: (1) Use of one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a gas pipeline facility; (3) Physical indications of a possible release; (4) Steps to be taken for public safety in the event of a gas pipeline release; and (5) Procedures to report such an event?
12.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	9.	PD.PA.LOCATIONMESSAGE.R	192.616(e) (192.616(f))	Were messages developed and delivered to advise affected municipalities,

Ro w	Asset s	Resul t	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qs t#	Question ID	References	Question Text
								school districts, businesses, and residents of pipeline facility locations?
13.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	10.	PD.PA.MESSAGEFREQUENCY.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Table 2-1, API RP 1162 Table 2-2, API RP 1162 Table 2- 3)	Did the delivery of materials and messages meet or exceed the baseline delivery frequencies specified in API RP 1162, Table 2-1 through Table 2.3?
	88960	Sat	(2)	MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E		EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	, 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3), 192.615(c)(4), 192.616(c), ADB-05-03)	Do records indicate liaisons established and maintained with appropriate fire police and other public officials and utility owners in accordance with procedures?
15.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	12.	PD.PA.LANGUAGE.P	192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	Does the program require that materials and messages be provided in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
16.	88960	Sat	(2)	MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	13.	PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R	192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration o non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
17.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	14.	PD.PA.EVALPLAN.P	192.616(i) (192.616(c), API RP 1162 Section 8, API RP 1162 Appendix E)	Does the program include a process that specifies how program implementation and effectiveness

Ro w	Asset s	Resul t	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qs t#	Question ID	References	Question Text
								will be periodically evaluated?
18.	88960	Sat+		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	15.	PD.PA.EVALIMPL.R	192.616(c) (192.616(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Has an audit or review of the operator's program implementation been performed annually since the program was developed?
19.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	16.	PD.PA.AUDITMETHODS.R	192.616(c) (192.616(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Was one or more of the three acceptable methods (i.e., internal assessment, 3rd-party contractor review, or regulatory inspections) used to complete the annual audit or review of program implementation ?
20.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	17.	PD.PA.PROGRAMIMPROVE.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Were changes made to improve the program and/or the implementation process based on the results and findings of the annual audit(s)?
21.	88960	Sat	(2)	MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	18.	PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4)	Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?
22.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	19.	PD.PA.MEASUREOUTREACH.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1)	In evaluating effectiveness, was actual program outreach for each stakeholder audience tracked?

Ro	Asset	-	(Note 1	-	Qs	L Non-Empty Results) - Sc	<u>_</u>	
w	S	t)	Sub-Group	t #	1	References	Question Text
23.	88960	Sat+		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	20.	PD.PA.MEASUREUNDERSTANDABILITY. R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2)	In evaluating program effectiveness, was the percentage of each stakeholder audience that understood and retained the key information from the messages determined?
24.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	21.	PD.PA.MEASUREBEHAVIOR.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.3)	In evaluating program effectiveness, was evaluation made of whether appropriate preventive, response, and mitigative behaviors were understood and likely to be exhibited?
25.	88960	Sat+		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	22.	PD.PA.MEASUREBOTTOM.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4)	Were bottom- line results of the program measured by tracking third- party incidents and consequences including: (1) near misses, (2) excavation damages resulting in pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not result in pipeline failures?
26.	88960	Sat		MISCTOPICS.PUBAWAR E	23.	PD.PA.CHANGES.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12), API RP 1162 Section 8.5)	Were needed changes and/or modifications to the program identified and documented based on the results and findings of the program effectiveness evaluations?

1. Result is repeated (N) times in this report due to re-presentation of the question in multiple sub-groups.

Inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.