Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Spokane

Row	Assets	Docult	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	nspection Qst #	on Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Question ID	Results) - Scp_PK Spokane References	Question Text
	Spokane	NA	(Note 1)	PRR.REPORT		RPT.RR.IMMEDREPORT.R	191.5(a) (191.7(a), 191.7(d))	Do records indicate immediate notifications of incidents were made in accordance with 191.5?
2	Spokane	Sat	-2	PRR.REPORT	2	GDIM.RR.MECHANICALFITTINGDATAIMPL.R	192.1009 (191.12)	Have accurate records been maintained documenting mechanical fitting failures that resulted in hazardous leaks?
3	Spokane	NA		PRR.REPORT	3	RPT.RR.INCIDENTREPORT.R	191.9(a)	Do records indicate reportable incidents were identified and reports were submitted to DOT on Form 7100.2 (01-2002) within the required timeframe?
4	Spokane	NA		PRR.REPORT	4	RPT.RR.INCIDENTREPORTSUPP.R	191.9(b)	Do records indicate accurate supplemental incident reports were filed and within the required timeframe?
5	Spokane	Sat		PRR.REPORT	5	RPT.RR.ANNUALREPORT.R	191.11(a)	Have complete and accurate Annual Reports been submitted?
6	Spokane	NA		PRR.REPORT	6	RPT.RR.SRCR.R	191.23(a) (191.23(b), 191.25(a), 191.25(b))	Do records indicate safety-related condition reports were filed as required?
7	Spokane	Sat		PRR.REPORT	7	MO.GO.CUSTNOTIFY.R	192.16(d) (192.16(a), 192.16(b), 192.16(c))	Do records indicate the customer notification process satisfies the requirements of 192.16?
8	Spokane	NA		PRR.REPORT	8	RPT.RR.MAOPINCREASENOTIFY.R		Do records indicate submittal of a written plan of procedures to the commission at least forty-five days before uprating to a MAOP greater than 60
9	Spokane	Sat		PRR.REPORT	9	RPT.RR.DAILYCONSTRUCTIONRPT.R		Insid? Do records indicate daily construction and repair activities were emailed to the commission no later than 10 AM each day work is scheduled?
10	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	1	TQ.QU.CORROSION.R	192.453 (192.807(a), 192.807(b))	Do records indicate qualification of personnel implementing pipeline corrosion control methods?
11	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	2	TD.CP.ELECISOLATE.R	192.491(c) (192.467(a), 192.467(b), 192.467(c), 192.467(d), 192.467(e))	Do records adequately document electrical isolation of each buried or submerged pipeline from other metallic structures unless they electrically interconnect and cathodically protect the pipeline and the other structures as a single unit?
12	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	3	TD.CP.RECORDS.R	192.491(a)	Do records indicate the location of all items listed in 192.491(a)?
13	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	4	TD.CPEXPOSED.EXPOSEINSPECT.R	192.491(c) (192.459)	Do records adequately document that exposed buried piping was examined for corrosion?
14	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	5	TD.CPMONITOR.CURRENTTEST.R	192.491(c) (192.465(b))	Do records document details of electrical checks o sources of rectifiers or other impressed current sources?
15	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	6	TD.CPMONITOR.TEST.R	192.491(c) (192.465(a))	Do records adequately document cathodic protection monitoring tests have occurred as required?
16	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	7	TD.CPMONITOR.REVCURRENTTEST.R	192.491(c) (192.465(c))	Do records document details of electrical checks interference bonds, diodes, and reverse current switches?
17	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	8	TD.CPMONITOR.DEFICIENCY.R	192.491(c) (192.465(d))	Do records adequately document actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?
18	Spokane	NA		PRR.CORROSION	9	TD.CP.UNPROTECT.R	192.491(c) (192.465(e))	Do records adequately document that exposed buried piping was examined for corrosion and deteriorated coating?
19	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	10	TD.CPMONITOR.TESTSTATION.R	192.469	Do records identify the location of test stations and show a sufficient number of test stations?
20	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	11	TD.CPMONITOR.TESTLEAD.R	192.491(c) (192.471(a), 192.471(b), 192.471(c))	Do records document that pipelines with cathodic protection have electrical test leads installed in accordance with requirements of Subpart I?
21	Spokane	NA		PRR. CORROSION	12	TD.CPMONITOR.INTFRCURRENT.R	192.491(c) (192.473(a))	Do records document an effective program is in place to minimize detrimental effects of interference currents and that detrimental effects of interference currents from CP systems on other underground metallic structures are minimized?
22	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	13	FS.FG.CASINGTESTLEAD.R		Do records indicate that all casings without vents installed after September 5, 1992 had separate test lead wires installed?
23	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	14	FS.FG.CASINGSEALS.R		Do records indicate that mains and service lines installed in casing or conduit are sealed at the
24	Spokane	NA		PRR.CORROSION	15	TD.ICP.CORRGAS.R	192.491(c) (192.475(a))	ends as required? Do the records demonstrate that the corrosive effect of the gas in the pipeline has been investigated and if determined to be corrosive, steps be taken to minimize internal corrosion?
25	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	16	TD.ICP.EXAMINE.R	192.491(c) (192.475(a), 192.475(b))	Do records document examination of removed pipe for evidence of internal corrosion?
26	Spokane	NA		PRR.CORROSION	17	TD.ICP.CORRGASACTION.R	192.491(c) (192.477)	Do records document the actions taken when corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline?
27	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	18	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.R	192.491(c) (192.481(a), 192.481(b), 192.481(c))	Do records document inspection of aboveground pipe for atmospheric corrosion?
28	Spokane	Sat		PRR.CORROSION	19	TD.COAT.NEWPIPE.R	192.491(c) (192.455(a), 192.461(a), 192.461(b), 192.483(a))	Do records document that each buried or submerged pipeline installed after July 31, 1971 has been externally coated with a suitable coating material?
29	Spokane	NA		PRR.CORROSION	20	TD.ICP.REPAIR.R	192.485(a) (192.485(b))	Do records document the repair or replacement of pipe that has been internally corroded to an extent that there is not sufficient remaining strength in the pipe wall?
30	Spokane	NA		PRR.CORROSION		TD.ICP.EVALUATE.R	192.491(c) (192.485(c))	Do records document adequate evaluation of internally corroded pipe?
31	Spokane	Sat		PRR.PT	1	DC.PTLOWPRESS.PRESSTEST100PSIG.R	192.517(b) (192.509(a), 192.509(b))	Do records indicate that pressure testing is conducted in accordance with 192.509(a)?
32	Spokane	Sat		PRR.PT	2	DC.PTLOWPRESS.PRESSTESTLOWSTRESS.R	192.517(a) (192.507(a), 192.507(b), 192.507(c))	Do records indicate that pressure testing is conducted in accordance with 192.507?
33	Spokane	Sat		PRR.PT	3	DC.PT.SERVICELINE.R	192.517(b) (192.511(a), 192.511(b), 192.511(c))	

34	Spokane	Sat	Т	PRR.PT	4	DC.PT.PRESSTESTPLASTIC.R	192.517(b) (192.513(a), 192.513(b), 192.513(c),	Do records indicate that pressure testing is
	Spokane	NA.		PRR.UPRATE		MO.GOUPRATE.MAOPINCREASE.R	192.513(d)) 192.553(a) (192.553(b), 192.553(c))	conducted in accordance with 192.513? Do records indicate that increases in MAOP of
00	орокало				'	Mo. Good N. C. M. G. Money G. C.	172.555(a) (172.555(b), 172.555(c))	pipeline were determined in accordance with 192,553?
36	Spokane	NA		PRR.UPRATE	3	MO.GOUPRATE.MAOPINCREASELIMIT.R	192.553(b) (192.553(c), 192.553(d), 192.557(a))	
37	Spokane	NA		PRR.UPRATE	4	MO.GOUPRATE.MAOPINCREASEPREP.R	192.553(b) (192.553(c), 192.553(a), 192.557(b), 192.557(c))	Do records indicate that increases in MAOP were preceded by the actions specified in 192.557?
38	Spokane	Sat	-2	PRR.OM	1	EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3), 192.615(c)(4), 192.616(c), ADB- 05-03)	Do records indicate liaisons established and maintained with appropriate fire, police and other public officials and utility owners in accordance with procedures?
39	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	2	MO.GO.OMANNUALREVIEW.R	192.605(a)	Has the operator conducted annual reviews of th written procedures or processes in the manual as required?
40	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	3	MO.RW.MARKERREPLACE.R		Do records indicate that damaged or missing markers were replaced within forty-five days of
41	Spokane	NC	-2	PRR.OM	4	PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4)	discovery? Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?
42	Spokane	NC	-2	PRR.OM	5	PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R	192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking population in the operator's areas?
43	Spokane	NA	-2	PRR.OM	6	PD.PA.MSTRMETER.R	192.616(j) (192.616(h), API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12), API RP 1162 Section 8.5)	Do records indicate the public awareness prograr for a master meter or petroleum gas system operator has met the requirements of Part 192?
44	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	7	MO.GO.OMHISTORY.R	192.605(a) (192.605(b)(3))	Are construction records, maps and operating history available to appropriate operating
45	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	8	MO.GO.OMEFFECTREVIEW.R	192.605(a) (192.605(b)(8))	nersonnel? Do records indicate periodic review of the work done by operator personnel to determine the effectiveness, and adequacy of the processes use in normal operations and maintenance and modifying the processes when deficiencies are found?
46	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	9	MO.RW.DISTPATROL.R	192.603(b) (192.721(a), 192.721(b))	Do records indicate distribution patrolling was conducted as required?
47	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	10	MO.RW.DISTPATROLLEAKAGE.R	192.603(b) (192.723(a), 192.723(b))	Do records indicate distribution leakage surveys were conducted as required?
48	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	11	MO.RW.MARKERSMAPSDRAW.R		Are records sufficient to indicate class location an other areas where pipeline markers are required
49	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	12	MO.RW.MARKERSURVEY.R		Do records indicate that pipeline marker surveys were completed and completed in the timeframe specified by WAC 480-93-124?
50	Spokane	NA		PRR.OM	13	MO.RW.TRANSLEAKAGE.R	192.709(c) (192.706, 192.706(a), 192.706(b))	Do records indicate transmission leakage surveys conducted as required?
51	Spokane	NA		PRR.OM	14	MO.RW.TRANSPATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Do records indicate that transmission line ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
52	Spokane	NA		PRR.OM	15	MO.GOABNORMAL.ABNORMALREVIEW.R	192.605(a) (192.605(c)(4))	Do records indicate periodic review of work done by operator personnel to determine the effectiveness of the abnormal operation processe and corrective action taken where deficiencies are found?
53	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	16	PD.OC.PDPROGRAM.R	192.614(c)	Does the damage prevention program meet minimum requirements specified in 192.614(c)?
54	Spokane	NA		PRR.OM	17	MO.GOCLASS.CLASSLOCATESTUDY.R	192.605(b)(1) (192.609(a), 192.609(b), 192.609(c), 192.609(d), 192.609(e), 192.609(f))	Do records indicate performance of the required study whenever the population along a pipeline increased or there was an indication that the pipe hoop stress was not commensurate with the present class location?
55	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	18	EP.ERG.POSTEVNTREVIEW.R	192.605(a) (192.615(b)(1), 192.615(b)(3))	Do records indicate review of employee activities to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency?
56	Spokane	Sat		PRR. OM	19	EP.ERG.TRAINING.R	192.605(a) (192.615(b)(2))	Has the operator trained the appropriate operating personnel on emergency procedures and verified that the training was effective in accordance with its procedures?
57	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	20	EP.ERG.INCIDENTANALYSIS.R	192.605(a) (192.617)	Do records indicate actions initiated to analyze accidents and failures, including the collection of appropriate samples for laboratory examination tdetermine the causes of the failure and minimize the possibility of recurrence, in accordance with i procedures?
58	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	21	MO.GOMAOP.MAOPDETERMINE.R	192.619(a) (1192.619(b), 192.621(a), 192.621(b), 192.623(a), 192.623(b))	Do records indicate determination of the MAOP o pipeline segments in accordance with 192.619 ar limiting of the operating pressure as required?
59	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	22	MO.GOODOR.ODORIZE.R	192.709(c) (192.625(a), 192.625(b), 192.625(c), 192.625(d), 192.625(e), 192.625(f))	Do records indicate appropriate odorization of its combustible gases in accordance with its processes and conduct of the required testing to verify odorant levels met requirements?
60	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	23	AR.RMP.TESTREINSTATE.R	192.603(b) (192.725(a), 192.725(b))	From the review of records, did the operator properly test disconnected service lines?
61	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	24	MO.GM.MOVEANDLOWER.R		Do records indicate that a study was prepared before moving or lowering a steel gas pipeline as
62	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	25	MO.GM.MOVEANDLOWERSURVEY.R		Tequired? Do records indicate that a leak survey was conducted not more than thirty days after movin and/or lowering a metallic pipeline?
63	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	27	MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.R	192.709(c) (192.739(a), 192.739(b))	Do records indicate inspection and testing of pressure limiting, relief devices, and pressure regulating stations as required and at the specific intervals?

64	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	28	MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGCAP.R	192.709(c) (192.743(a), 192.743(b), 192.743(c))	capacity of each pressure relief device at each pressure limiting station and pressure regulating station as required and a new or additional device installed if determined to have insufficient
65	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	29	MO.GM.DISTVALVEINSPECT.R	192.603(b) (192.747(a), 192.747(b))	canacity? Do records indicate proper inspection and partial operation of each distribution system valve that might be required in an emergency at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year, and prompt remedial action to correct any valve found inoperable?
66	Spokane	NA		PRR. OM	30	FS.FG.VAULTINSPECTFAC.R	192.709(c) (192.749(a), 192.749(b), 192.749(c), 192.749(d))	Do records document inspections at the required interval of all vaults having a volumetric internal content of 200 cubic feet (5.66 cubic meters) or more that house pressure regulating/limiting equipment?
67	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	31	MO.GM.IGNITION.R	192.709 (192.751(a), 192.751(b), 192.751(c))	Do records indicate personnel followed processes for minimizing the danger of accidental ignition where the presence of gas constituted a hazard of fire or explosion?
68	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	33	DC.WELDPROCEDURE.WELD.R	192.225(a) (192.225(b))	Do records indicate weld procedures are being qualified in accordance with 192.225?
69	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	34	TQ.QUOMCONST.WELDER.R	192.227(a) (192.227(b), 192.229(a), 192.229(b), 192.229(c), 192.229(d), 192.328(a), 192.328(b), 192.807(a), 192.807(b))	Do records indicate adequate qualification of welders?
70	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	35	TQ.QUOMCONST.NDT.R	192.243(b)(2) (192.807(a), 192.807(b),	Do records indicate the qualification of
71	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	36	DC.CO.PLASTICJOINTPROCEDURE.R		nondestructive testing personnel? Have plastic pipe joining procedures been qualified
72	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	37	DC.CO.PLASTICJOINTQUAL.R	192.283(d)) 192.285(d) (192.285(a), 192.285(b), 192.285(c), 192.807(a), 192.807(b))	in accordance with 192.283? Do records indicate persons making joints in plastic pipelines are qualified in accordance with
73	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	38	DC.CO.PLASTICJOINTINSP.R	192.287 (192.807(a), 192.807(b))	Do records indicate persons inspecting the making of plastic pipe joints have been qualified?
74	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	39	DC.CO.PLASTICPIPESEP.R		Do records indicate minimum separation requirements are met for plastic pipelines?
75	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	40	DC.METERREGSVC.REGTEST.R		Do records indicate that service regulators have
								been installed, operated, maintained, tested during initial turn-on and tested when customers experience pressure problems?
76	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	41	MO.RW.LEAKFOLLOW.R		Do records indicate that a follow-up inspection was performed not more than thirty days following a repair where residual gas remained in the around?
77	Spokane	NA		PRR.OM	42	MO.RW.DOWNGRADELEAKREPAIR.R		Do records indicate that leaks that have been downgraded are repaired within twenty-one months?
78	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	43	MO.RW.LEAKREPAIRTIME.R		Do records indicate that leaks were repaired and re-evaluated in the timeframes specified in WAC 480-93-18601?
79	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	44	MO.RW.LEAKRECORDS.R		Have gas leak records been prepared and maintained as required?
80	Spokane	Sat		PRR.OM	45	MO.GM.RECORDS.R	192.605(b)(1) (192.243(f), 192.709(a), 192.709(b), 192.709(c))	Do records indicate that records are maintained of each pipe/"other than pipe" repair, NDT required record, and (as required by subparts L or M) patrol, survey, inspection or test?
81	Spokane	Sat		FR.FIELDPIPE	1	DC.MA.MARKING.O	192.63(a) (192.63(b), 192.63(c), 192.63(d))	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for
82	Spokane	Sat		FR.FIELDPIPE	2	DC.DPC.GDVALVEPLACEMENT.O	192.141 (192.181(a), 192.181(b), 192.181(c))	Are distribution line valves being installed as
83	Spokane	Sat		FR.FIELDPIPE	3	DC.METERREGSVC.CUSTMETERREGLOC.O	192.351 (192.353(a), 192.353(b), 192.353(c), 192.353(d))	required of 192.181? Are meters and service regulators being located consistent with the requirements of 192.353?
84	Spokane	Sat		FR.FIELDPIPE	4	DC.METERREGSVC.CUSTMETERREGPROT.O	192.351 (192.355(a), 192.355(b), 192.355(c))	Are meters and service regulators being protected from damage consistent with the requirements of 192 355?
85	Spokane	NA	-2	FR.FIELDPIPE	5	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(d), CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2-5, CGA Best Practices, v4.0. Practice 4-20)	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
86	Spokane	Sat	-2	FR.FIELDPIPE	6	MO.RW.ROWMARKERABOVE.O	192.707(c) (CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2- 5, CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 4-20)	Are line markers placed and maintained as required for above ground pipelines?
87	Spokane	NA		FR.FIELDPIPE	7	DC.METERREGSVC.CUSTMETERREGINSTALL.O	192.351 (192.357(a), 192.357(b), 192.357(c), 192.357(d))	Are meters and service regulators being installed consistent with the requirements of 192.357?
88	Spokane	NA		FR.FIELDPIPE		DC.METERREGSVC.CUSTMETEROPPRESS.O	192.351 (192.359(a), 192.359(b), 192.359(c))	Are customer meter operating pressures consistent with the requirements of 192.359?
89	Spokane	Sat		FR.FIELDPIPE		DC.METERREGSVC.SVCLINEINSTALL.O	192.351 (192.361(a), 192.361(b), 192.361(c), 192.361(d), 192.361(e), 192.361(f), 192.361(g))	Are customer service lines being installed consistent with the requirements of 192.361?
	Spokane	Sat		FR.FIELDPIPE		DC.METERREGSVC.SVCLINEVLVLOCATEREQT.O	192.351 (192.363(a), 192.363(b), 192.363(c), 192.365(a), 192.365(b), 192.365(c))	Are customer service line valves being installed meeting the valve and locations requirements of 192.363 and 192.365?
	Spokane	Sat		FR.FIELDPIPE		DC.METERREGSVC.SVCLINECONNECT.O	192.351 (192.367(a), 192.367(b), 192.369(a), 192.369(b))	Are customer service lines being installed with connections meeting the requirements of 192.367 and 192.369?
	Spokane	Sat		FR.FIELDPIPE		DC.METERREGSVC.SVCLINEMATERIAL.O	192.351 (192.371, 192.373(a), 192.373(b), 192.373(c), 192.375(a), 192.375(b), 192.377)	Are customer service lines being installed constructed appropriately for the types of materials used?
	Spokane	Sat		FR.FIELDPIPE		DC.METERREGSVC.NEWSVCLINENOTUSED.O	192.351 (192.379, 192.379(a), 192.379(b), 192.379(c))	Are new customer service lines not in use configured in accordance with the requirements of 192,379?
	Spokane	Sat		FR.FIELDPIPE		DC.METERREGSVC.EXCSFLOWVLVLOCATE.O	192.351 (192.381(c), 192.381(d), 192.381(e))	Are service line excess flow valves located and identified in accordance with the requirements of 192.381?
95	Spokane	NA		FR.FIELDPIPE	15	DC.METERREGSVC.REGTEST.O		Are service regulators operated, maintained, installed and tested during the initial turn-on in accordance with manufacturerafc ^{ms} recommendations and WAC requirements?
96	Spokane	NA		FR.FIELDPIPE	16	TD.COAT.NEWPIPEINSTALL.O	192.461(d)	Is external protective coating being protected from damage that could result from adverse ditch

97	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	17	TD.CPMONITOR.MONITORCRITERIA.O	192.465(a) (192.463(b), 192.463(c))	Are methods used for taking CP monitoring readings that allow for the application of appropriate CP monitoring criteria?
98	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	18	TD.CPMONITOR.CURRENTTEST.O	192.465(b)	Are impressed current sources properly maintained and are they functioning properly?
99	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	19	TD.CP.ELECISOLATE.O	192.467(a) (192.467(b), 192.467(c), 192.467(d), 192.467(e))	Are measures performed to ensure electrical isolation of each buried or submerged pipeline from other metallic structures unless the electrically interconnect and cathodically protect the pipeline and the other structures as a single unit?
100	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	20	TD.CPMONITOR.TESTSTATION.O	192.469	Do cathodically protected pipelines have a
101	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	21	TD.CPMONITOR.TESTLEAD.O	192.471(a)	sufficient number of test stations? Do pipelines with cathodic protection have electrical test leads installed in accordance with requirements of Subpart I?
102	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	22	FS.FG.CASING.O		Are all casings bare steel and do they have test leads installed on new casings without vents?
103	Spokane	NA	FR.FIELDPIPE	23	FS.FG.CASESEAL.O		Does the operator seal both ends of casings/conduits for mains and transmission lines and seal the end nearest the building for service lines?
104	Spokane	NA	FR.FIELDPIPE	24	TD.CPMONITOR.INTFRCURRENT.O	192.473(a)	Are areas of potential stray current identified, and if found, the detrimental effects of stray currents minimized?
105	Spokane	NA	FR.FIELDPIPE	25	TD.CP.ADJACENTMETAL.O	192.473(b)	Are impressed current type cathodic protection systems and galvanic anode systems installed so as to minimize any adverse effect on existing adjacent underground metallic structures?
106	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	26	TD.ICP.CORRGASPRVNT.O	192.475(a)	If the transportation of corrosive gas is not allowed, is the transportation of corrosive gas
107	Spokane	NA	FR.FIELDPIPE	27	TD.ICP.CORRGASACTION.O	192.477	nrevented? Are adequate actions taken when corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline?
108	Spokane	Sat	-2 FR.FIELDPIPE	29	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.O	192.481(b) (192.481(c), 192.479(a), 192.479(b),	
109	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	30	AR.RCOM.REMEDIATIONOM.O	192.479(c)) 192.487(a) (192.487(b))	Is anomaly remediation and documentation of remediation adequate for all segments?
110	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	32	MO.GOODOR.ODORIZE.O	192.625(a) (192.625(c), 192.625(d), 192.625(e), 192.625(f))	Is sampling of combustible gases adequate using an instrument capable of determining the percentage of gas in air at which it becomes
111	Spokane	NA	FR.FIELDPIPE	33	MO.GO.PURGE.O	192.629(a) (192.629(b))	readily detectable? Are lines being purged in accordance with
112	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	34	MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.O	192.739(a) (192.739(b))	192.629? Are field or bench tests or inspections of regulating stations, pressure limiting stations or
113	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	35	MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGMETER.O	192.741(a) (192.741(b), 192.741(c))	relief devices adequate? Are telemetering or recording gauges properly utilized as required for distribution systems?
114	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	36	MO.GMOPP.MULTIPRESSREG.O		Are regulator stations installed in a manner to provide protection between regulator stages?
115	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	37	MO.GM.DISTVALVEINSPECT.O	192.747(a) (192.747(b))	Is proper inspection and partial operation being performed for each distribution system valve that might be required in an emergency, and prompt remedial action to correct any valves found
116	Spokane	NA	FR.FIELDPIPE	38	MO.GM.VAULTINSPECT.O	192.749(a) (192.749(b), 192.749(c), 192.749(d))	inoperable? Are vault inspections adequate?
117	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	39	AR.RMP.IGNITION.O	192.751(a) (192.751(b), 192.751(c))	Perform observations of selected locations to verify that adequate steps have been taken by the operator to minimize the potential for accidental
118	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	42	AR.PTI.PLASTICPRESSURETEST.O	+	Is plastic pipe installed and backfilled prior to
119	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	43	AR.PTI.EQUIPCALIB.O		pressure testing? Is pressure testing equipment calibrated according to calibration schedules and procedures?
120	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	44	DC.CO.PLASTICPIPEPROC.O		Is plastic pipe handled, stored and installed in accordance with manufacturerác™s recommendations, including maximum ultraviolet
121	Spokane	NA	FR.FIELDPIPE	45	DC.CO.PLASTICWEAKLINK.O		ls a weak link installed when pulling plastic pipe
122	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	46	DC.CO.PLASTICPIPESEP.O		by mechanical means? Are plastic pipelines installed with the minimum separation from other utilities as required?
123	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	47	DC.CO.PLASTICBACKFILL.O		Is plastic pipe buried in essentially rock-free material or material recommended by the pipe
124	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	48	DC.CO.PLASTICSQUEEZING.O		manufacturer? Is the number of times plastic pipe can be squeezed and how far from fittings plastic pipe
125	Spokane	Sat	FR.FIELDPIPE	49	MO.GM.ONSITEPROCS.O		can be squeezed limited? Are procedures applicable to the work being done located onsite where the work is being done?
126	Spokane	NA	-2 ATT1FR.FIELDCS	5	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(d), CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2-5, CGA Best Practices,	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
127	Spokane	Sat	-2 ATT1FR.FIELDCS	6	MO.RW.ROWMARKERABOVE.O	v4.0. Practice 4-20) 192.707(c) (CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2-5, CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 4-20)	Are line markers placed and maintained as required for above ground pipelines?
128	Spokane	Sat	-2 ATT1FR.FIELDCS	22	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.O	192.481(b) (192.481(c), 192.479(a), 192.479(b),	
129	Spokane	Sat	-2 GDIM.IMPL	43	GDIM.RR.MECHANICALFITTINGDATAIMPL.R	192.479(c)) 192.1009 (191.12)	protected? Have accurate records been maintained documenting mechanical fitting failures that
130	Spokane	Sat	-2 MISCTOPICS.PUBAWARE	21	EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3), 192.615(c)(4), 192.616(c), ADB-05-03)	resulted in hazardous leaks? Do records indicate liaisons established and maintained with appropriate fire, police and other public officials and utility owners in accordance
131	Spokane	NC	-2 MISCTOPICS.PUBAWARE	22	PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4)	with procedures? Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?

13	2 Spokane	NC	-2 MISCTOPICS.PUBAWARE	23	PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R		Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
13	3 Spokane	NA	-2 MISCTOPICS.PUBAWARE	25		(Step 12), API RP 1162 Section 8.5)	Do records indicate the public awareness program for a master meter or petroleum gas system operator has met the requirements of Part 192?

1. Result is repeated (N) times in this report due to re-presentation of the question in multiple sub-groups.

Report Parameters: All non-empty Results

Except as required to be disclosed by law, any inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.