S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/ \bar{C} – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

A completed **Standard Inspection Checklist, Cover Letter and Field Report** is to be submitted to the Chief Engineer within 30 days from completion of the inspection.

		Inspection Report		
Inspection ID/Docket number	ţ	7571		
Inspector Name & Submit Date		Anthony Dorrough, 9/12/2018		
Chief Eng Name & Review Date		Joe Subsits, 9/17/2018		
		Operator Information		
Name of Operator:	Kli	ckitat PUD		OP ID #:
Name of Unit(s):	Ren	newable Natural Gas Expansion (RNG)		
Records Location:	502	Roosevelt Grade Road, Roosevelt WA (Lower Control	Room/Maintenance	Building)
Date(s) of Last (unit) Inspection:	N/A		Inspection Date(s):	9/6/2018

Inspection Summary:

Klickitat County PUD Renewable Natural Gas Expansion (RNG) Project

The Roosevelt Regional Landfill is a private landfill owned by Republic Waste Services (Republic) and located near Goldendale, WA. Per agreement with the Klickitat County Public Utility District (KPUD), Republic operates a series of wells that extract natural gas from the landfill and transmit the gas to KPUD. Presently, KPUD burns the gas in combustion turbines (CTs) at the H.W. Hill power plant, also located on the landfill. For future operations, KPUD intends to clean and sell the gas instead of burning it for power. To this end, KPUD intends to build the H.W. Hill Renewable Natural Gas Expansion project (RNG Expansion Project), which will comprise a High BTU Plant, gas transmission pipelines, and an interconnection with the Williams Northwest Pipeline (Williams Interconnection). The High BTU Plant will clean the gas in order to remove oxygen, carbon dioxide, nitrogen, and other compounds, and then compress the gas for transport through the transmission pipelines. The High BTU plant will include at least one pig launcher, and both pipelines will be pig-able with in-line inspection tools (a.k.a. "smart pigs"). The RNG Expansion Project includes two gas transmission pipelines. Both pipelines will connect the High BTU Plant to the Williams Interconnection, and both pipelines will be capable of delivering or returning gas. The Williams Interconnection will include valves needed to operate either pipeline in delivery or return mode. The Williams interconnection will include at least one pig receiver.

Staff conducted a Technical Assistance Inspection of the plan and procedures, as well as an on-site inspection of the pipeline facilities, as a result staff determined (3) possible violations which unless corrected, could potentially lead to future violation of state and/or federal pipeline safety rules.

HQ Address:			System/Unit Name & Addi	ress:		
1313 South Columbus A	ve.		502 Roosevelt Grade Road			
Goldendale, WA 98620			Roosevelt, WA			
Co. Official:	Kevin Ricks		Phone No.:			
Phone No.:	(509) 773-7430		Fax No.:			
Fax No.:			Emergency Phone No.:			
Emergency Phone No.:						
Persons Intervi	ewed	T	itle	Phone No.		
Robert Cosen	tino	Prir	nciple	(530) 604-3868		

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/ \bar{C} – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

			on. This checklist focuse in	inspection on 192 O&M ares on Records and Field it aspection.			_
				v and enter appropriate date)		T	
			formed (Within the past five ye			Date:	
	ner UTC e operate		iewed the O & M Manual (Sil	nce the last yearly review of the	manual by	Date:	
			GAS SYS	TEM OPERATIONS			
Gas Supp	lier	Williams					
Number of	Number of reportable safety related conditions last year 0 Number of deferred leaks in system 0						
Number of	f <u>non-re</u> p	oortable safety re	elated conditions last year 0	Number of third party hits last y	ear 0		
Miles of tr			in unit (total miles and miles in (2 runs = 12 miles total)				
		Operating I	Pressure(s):	MAOP (Within last year)		ıal Operatin (At time of Insp	
Feeder:				988 lbs	50 lbs (while	e in lay-up/io	dle status)
Town:	Roose	velt, WA					
Other:							
Does the o	perator l	have any transmi	ssion pipelines?	Yes	•		
Compresso	or statior	ns? Use Attachm	ent 4.	No			
Have incid	dent repo	orts and the annu	al report been reviewed for accura	cy and analyzed for trends and oper	rator issues?	Yes □ N	10⊠
Comment Pipeline is		constructed (20	18); is presently idle and has not	t accrued enough service life for a	ccurate docum	entation at t	his time.
					_	_	
Pipe Spec			2040	I no no company			
Year Insta	alled (R	ange)	2018	Pipe Diameters (Range)	6-inch		

Pipe Specifications:			
Year Installed (Range)	2018	Pipe Diameters (Range)	6-inch
Material Type	API 5L-X52 ERW	Line Pipe Specification	280 Wall
		Used	
Mileage	12 miles	SMYS %	22.48
Supply Company	Williams	Class Locations	1

Integrity Management Field Validation

PART 199 DRUG at	nd ALCOHOL TESTING REGULATIONS and PROCEDURES	S	U	NA	NC
Subparts A - C	Drug & Alcohol Testing & Misuse Prevention Program – Use PHMSA Form #13, Rev 3/19/2010. Do not ask the company to have a drug and alcohol expert available for this portion of your inspection.	X			

P	ART 192 Im	plement Applicable Control Room Management Procedures	S	U	NA	NC
.605(b)(12)	Implementing the applicable control room management procedures required by 192.631. (Amdt. 192- 112, 74 FR 63310, December 3, 2009, eff. 2/1/2010). No Control room.			X	

		REPORTING RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
1.	49 U.S.C. 60132, Subsection (b)	Submission of Data to the National Pipeline Mapping System Under the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 Updates to NMPS: Operators are required to make update submissions every 12 months if any system modifications have occurred. Go to	X			
	ADB-08-07	http://www.npms.phmsa.dot.gov/submission/ to review existing data on record. Also report no modifications if none have occurred since the last complete submission. Include operator contact information with all updates.	71			
2.	RCW 81.88.080	Pipeline Mapping System: Has the operator provided accurate maps (or updates) of pipelines, operating over two hundred fifty pounds per square inch gauge, to specifications developed by the commission sufficient to meet the needs of first responders?	X			
3.	191.5	Immediate Notice of certain incidents to NRC (800) 424-8802, or electronically at http://www.nrc.uscg.mil/nrchp.html , and additional report if significant new information becomes available.			X	
4.	191.7	Reports (except SRCR and offshore pipeline condition reports) submitted electronically to PHMSA at http://portal.phmsa.dot.gov/pipeline unless an alternative reporting method is authorized IAW with paragraph (d) of this section.			X	
5.	191.15(a)	Do records indicate reportable <u>incidents</u> were identified and reports were submitted to DOT on Form 7100.2 (01-2002) within the required timeframe?			X	
6.	191.15(c)	Do records indicate accurate supplemental incident reports were filed and within the required timeframe?			X	
7.	191.17	Complete and submit DOT Form PHMSA F 7100-2.1 by March 15 of each calendar year for the preceding year. (<i>NOTE: June 15, 2013 for the year 2012</i>).			X	
8.	191.22	Each operator must obtain an OPID, validate its OPIDs, and notify PHMSA of certain events at http://portal.phmsa.dot.gov/pipeline	X			
9.	191.23	Have complete and accurate Annual Reports been submitted?			X	
10.	191.25 49 U.S.C. 60139, Subsection (b)(2)	 Filing the SRCR within 5 days of determination, but not later than 10 days after discovery. Note: Operators of gas transmission pipelines that if the pipeline pressure exceeds maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) plus the build-up, owner/operator must report the exceedance to PHMSA on or before the fifth day following the date on which the exceedance occurs. The report should be titled "Gas Transmission MAOP Exceedance" and provide the following information: The name and principal address of the operator, date of the report, name, job title, and business telephone number of the person submitting the report. The name, job title, and business telephone number of the person who determined the condition exists. The date the condition was discovered and the date the condition was first determined to exist. The location of the condition, with reference to the town/city/county and state or offshore site, and as appropriate, nearest street address, offshore platform, survey station number, milepost, landmark, and the name of the commodity transported or stored. The corrective action taken before the report was submitted and the planned follow-up or future corrective action, including the anticipated schedule for starting and concluding such action. 			X	
11.	191.27(a), (b)	Do records indicate reports were submitted within 60 days of completing inspections of underwater pipelines?			X	
12.	192.727(g)	Do records indicate reports were filed for abandoned offshore pipeline facilities or abandoned onshore pipeline facilities that crosses over, under or through a commercially navigable waterway?			X	

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

		REPORTING RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
13.	480-93-200(1)	Telephonic Reports to UTC Pipeline Safety Incident Notification 1-888-321-9144 (Within 2 hours) for events which (regardless of cause);				
14.	480-93-200(1)(a)	Result in a fatality or personal injury requiring hospitalization;			X	
15.	480-93-200(1)(b)	Results in damage to property of the operator and others of a combined total exceeding fifty thousand dollars; Note: Report all damages regardless if claim was filed with pipeline company or not.			X	
16.	480-93-200(1)(c)	Results in the evacuation of a building, or high occupancy structures or areas;			X	
17.	480-93-200(1)(d)	Results in the unintentional ignition of gas;			X	
18.	480-93-200(1)(e)	Results in the unscheduled interruption of service furnished by any operator to twenty five or more distribution customers;			X	
19.	480-93-200(1)(f)	Results in a pipeline or system pressure exceeding the MAOP plus ten percent or the maximum pressure allowed by proximity considerations outlined in WAC 480-93-020;			X	
20.	480-93-200(1)(g)	Is significant, in the judgment of the operator, even though it does not meet the criteria of (a) through (e) of this subsection; or			X	
21.	480-93-200(2)	Telephonic Reports to UTC Pipeline Safety Incident Notification 1-888-321-9146 (Within 24 hours) for;			X	
22.	480-93-200(2)(a)	The uncontrolled release of gas for more than two hours;			X	
23.	480-93-200(2)(b)	The taking of a high pressure supply or transmission pipeline or a major distribution supply pipeline out of service;			X	
24.	480-93-200(2)(c)	A pipeline operating at low pressure dropping below the safe operating conditions of attached appliances and gas equipment; or			X	
25.	480-93-200(2)(d)	A pipeline pressure exceeding the MAOP			X	

Comments:

Q3 thru Q7 and Q9 thru Q25 – Pipeline is newly constructed (2018); is presently idle and has not accrued enough service life for accurate documentation at this time.

26.	480-93-200(5)	Written incident reports (within 30 days) including the following;	S	U	N/A	N/C
27.	480-93-200(4)(a)	Name(s) and address(es) of any person or persons injured or killed, or whose property was damaged;			X	
28.	480-93-200(4)(b)	The extent of injuries and damage;			X	
29.	480-93-200(4)(c)	A description of the incident or hazardous condition including the date, time, and place, and reason why the incident occurred. If more than one reportable condition arises from a single incident, each must be included in the report;			X	
30.	480-93-200(4)(d)	A description of the gas pipeline involved in the incident or hazardous condition, the system operating pressure at that time, and the MAOP of the facilities involved;			X	
31.	480-93-200(4)(e)	The date and time the gas pipeline company was first notified of the incident;			X	
32.	480-93-200(4)(f)	The date and time the ((operators')) gas pipeline company's first responders arrived on-site;			X	
33.	480-93-200(4)(g)	The date and time the gas ((facility)) pipeline was made safe;			X	
34.	480-93-200(4)(h)	The date, time, and type of any temporary or permanent repair that was made;			X	
35.	480-93-200(4)(i)	The cost of the incident to the ((operator)) gas pipeline company;			X	
36.	480-93-200(4)(j)	Line type;			X	
37.	480-93-200(4)(k)	City and county of incident; and			X	
38.	480-93-200(4)(1)	Any other information deemed necessary by the commission.			X	
39.	480-93-200(5)	Submit a supplemental report if required information becomes available			X	
40.	480-93-200(6)	Written report within 45 days of receiving the failure analysis of any incident or hazardous condition due to construction defects or material failure			X	

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

41.	49 CFR 192.617	Did the operator have appropriate records of previous accidents and failures including third party damage and leak response to ensure appropriate operator response?		X	
		party damage and real response to ensure appropriate operator response.			

Comments:

Q26 thru Q41 – Pipeline is newly constructed (2018); is presently idle and has not accrued enough service life for accurate documentation at this time.

42.		Filing Reports of Damage to Gas Pipeline Facilities to the commission. (eff 4/1/2013)				
72.	480-93-200(7)	(Via the commission's Virtual DIRT system or on-line damage reporting form)				
43.	480-93-200(7)(a)	Does the operator report to the commission the requirements set forth in RCW 19.122.053(3) (a) through (n)	X			
44.	480-93-200(7)(b)	Does the operator report the name, address, and phone number of the person or entity that the company has reason to believe may have caused damage due to excavations conducted without facility locates first being completed?	X			
45.	480-93-200(7)(c)	Does the operator retain all damage and damage claim records it creates related to damage events reported under 93-200(7)(b), including photographs and documentation supporting the conclusion that a facilities locate was not completed? Note: Records maintained for two years and made available to the commission upon request.	X			
46.	480-93-200(8)	Does the operator provide the following information to excavators who damage gas pipeline facilities?				
47.	480-93-200(8)(a)	Notification requirements for excavators under RCW 19.122.050(1)	X			
48.	480-93-200(8)(b)	A description of the excavator's responsibilities for reporting damages under RCW 19.122.053; and	X			
49.	480-93-200(8)(c)	 Information concerning the safety committee referenced under RCW 19.122.130, including committee contact information, and the process for filing a complaint with the safety committee. 	X			
50.	480-93-200(9)	Reports to the commission only when the operator or its contractor observes or becomes aware of the following activities • An excavator digs within thirty-five feet of a transmission pipeline, as defined by RCW 19.122.020(26) without first obtaining a facilities locate; (200(9)(a) • A person intentionally damages or removes marks indicating the location or presence of gas pipeline facilities. 200(9)(b)	X			
51.	480-93-200(7)	Filing Reports of Damage to Gas Pipeline Facilities to the commission. (eff 4/1/2013) (Via the commission's Virtual DIRT system or on-line damage reporting form)	X			
52.	480-93-200(10)	Annual Reports filed with the commission no later than March 15 for the proceeding calendar year. (NOTE: PHMSA extension to June 15, 2013 for the year 2012).	S	U	N/A	N/C
53.	480-93-200(10)(a)	A copy of PHMSA F-7100.1-1 and F-7100.2-1 annual report required by U.S. Department of Transportation, PHMSA/Office of Pipeline Safety	X			
54.	480-93-200(10)(b)	Reports detailing all construction defects and material failures resulting in leakage. Categorizing the different types of construction defects and material failures. The report must include the following: (i) Types and numbers of construction defects; and (ii) Types and numbers of material failures Pipeline is newly constructed (2018); is presently idle and has not accrued enough service life for accurate documentation at this time.			X	
55.	480-93-200(11)	Providing updated emergency contact information to the commission and appropriate officials of all municipalities where gas pipeline companies have facilities	X			
56.	480-93-200(12)	Providing by email, reports of daily construction and repair activities no later than 10:00 a.m.			X	

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

57.	480-93-200(13)	Submitting copy of DOT Drug and Alcohol Testing MIS Data Collection Form when required			X	
-----	----------------	--	--	--	---	--

Comments:

Q56 thru Q57 – Pipeline is newly constructed (2018); is presently idle and has not accrued enough service life for accurate documentation at this time.

	CONSTRUCTION RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
192.225	Do records indicate weld procedures are being qualified in accordance with §192.225?				X
192.227	Do records indicate adequate qualification of welders?				X
192.241(a)	Do records indicate that individuals who perform visual inspection of welding are qualified by appropriate training and experience, as required by §192.241(a)?				X
192.243(b)(2)	Do records indicate the qualification of nondestructive testing personnel?				X
192.243(c)	Do records indicate that NDT implementation is adequate?				X
192.243(f)	Do records indicate that records are maintained of each pipe/"other than pipe" repair, NDT required record, and (as required by subparts L or M) patrol, survey, inspection or test?				X
192.243(f)	Number of Welds Inspected by NDT				X
192.243(f)	Number of Welds Rejected				X
192.243(f)	Disposition of each Weld Rejected				X
480-93-080(1)(b)	Use of testing equipment to record and document essential variables				X
480-93-115(2)	Test leads on casings (without vents) installed after 9/05/1992				X
480-93-115(3)	Sealing ends of casings or conduits on transmission pipelines and main				X
480-93-115(4)	Sealing ends (nearest building wall) of casings or conduits on services				X
192.303	Construction Specifications				X
192.325	Do records indicate pipe is installed with clearances in accordance with \$192.325, and (if plastic) installed as to prevent heat damage to the pipe?				X
192.327	Amount, Location, Cover of each size of pipe installed				X
192.328	If the pipeline will be operated at the alternative MAOP standard calculated under 192.620 (80% SMYS) does it meet the additional construction requirements for: • Quality assurance • Girth welds • Depth of cover • Initial strength testing, and; • Interference currents?				X
480-93-160(1)	Detailed report filed 45 days prior to construction or replacement of transmission pipelines \geq 100 feet in length				X
480-93-170(3)	Pressure Tests Performed on new and replacement pipelines				X
480-93-170(10)	Pressure Testing Equipment checked for Accuracy/Intervals (Manufacturers recommendation or operators schedule)				X
480-93-175(1)	Study prepared and approved prior to moving and lowering of metallic pipelines > 60 psig				X
192.455	Do records document that each buried or submerged pipeline installed after July 31, 1971, has been protected against external corrosion with a cathodic protection system within 1 year after completion of construction, conversion to service, or becoming jurisdictional onshore gathering? -There is no wrap on the pipeline protecting the buried coating from external corrosion at the soil/surface interface, at both ends of the system		X		
	192.227 192.241(a) 192.243(b)(2) 192.243(c) 192.243(f) 192.243(f) 192.243(f) 192.243(f) 192.243(f) 480-93-080(1)(b) 480-93-115(2) 480-93-115(4) 192.303 192.325 192.327 192.328 480-93-160(1) 480-93-170(3) 480-93-170(10) 480-93-175(1)	192.241(a) Do records indicate that individuals who perform visual inspection of welding are qualified by appropriate training and experience, as required by §192.241(a)? 192.243(b)(2) Do records indicate that individuals who perform visual inspection of welding are qualified by appropriate training and experience, as required by §192.241(a)? 192.243(c) Do records indicate that NDT implementation is adequate? 192.243(f) Do records indicate that PDT implementation is adequate? 192.243(f) Number of Welds Inspected by NDT 192.243(f) Number of Welds Inspected by NDT 192.243(f) Disposition of each Weld Rejected 192.243(f) Use of testing equipment to record and document essential variables 480-93-080(1)(b) 480-93-115(2) Test leads on casings (without vents) installed after 9/05/1992 480-93-115(3) Sealing ends (nearest building wall) of casings or conduits on services 192.303 Construction Specifications Do records indicate pipe is installed with clearances in accordance with §192.325, and (if plastic) installed as to prevent heat damage to the pipe? Amount, Location, Cover of each size of pipe installed If the pipeline will be operated at the alternative MAOP standard calculated under 192.620 (80% SMYS) does it meet the additional construction requirements for: Quality assurance (Quality assurance) (Girth welds Depth of cover Initial strength testing, and; Interference currents? Detailed report filed 45 days prior to construction or replacement of transmission pipelines ≥ 100 feet in length 480-93-170(10) Pressure Tests Performed on new and replacement pipelines Pressure Testing Equipment checked for Accuracy/Intervals (Manufacturers recommendation or operators schedule) Study prepared and approved prior to moving and lowering of metallic pipelines > 60 psig Do records document that each buried or submerged pipeline installed after July 31, 1971, has been protected against external corrosion with a cathodic protection system within 1 year after completion of construction, conversi	192.241(a) Do records indicate adequate qualification of welders? 192.241(a) by appropriate training and experience, as required by §192.241(a)? 192.243(b)(2) Do records indicate that individuals who perform visual inspection of welding are qualified by appropriate training and experience, as required by §192.241(a)? 192.243(b) Do records indicate that NDT implementation is adequate? Do records indicate that records are maintained of each pipe"other than pipe" repair, NDT required record, and (as required by subparts L or M) patrol, survey, inspection or test? Number of Welds Inspected by NDT Number of Welds Rejected 192.243(f) Number of Welds Rejected 192.243(f) Disposition of each Weld Rejected 480-93-080(1)(b) Use of testing equipment to record and document essential variables Test leads on casings (without vents) installed after 9/05/1992 480-93-115(3) Sealing ends (nearest building wall) of casings or conduits on services 192.303 Construction Specifications Do records indicate pipe is installed with clearances in accordance with §192.325, and (if plastic) installed as to prevent heat damage to the pipe? 192.327 Amount, Location, Cover of each size of pipe installed If the pipeline will be operated at the alternative MAOP standard calculated under 192.620 (80% SMYS) does it meet the additional construction requirements for: • Quality assurance Girth welds • Depth of cover • Initial strength testing, and; • Interference currents? 480-93-160(1) Detailed report filed 45 days prior to construction or replacement of transmission pipelines ≥ 100 feet in length Pressure Tests Performed on new and replacement pipelines Pressure Tests Performed on new and replacement pipelines Study prepared and approved prior to moving and lowering of metallic pipelines > 60 psig Do records document that each buried or submerged pipeline installed after July 31, 1971, has been protected against external corrosion with a cathodic protection system within 1 year after completion of construction, conversion to	192.241(a) Do records indicate adequate qualification of welders? 192.241(a) Do records indicate that individuals who perform visual inspection of welding are qualified by appropriate training and experience, as required by §192.241(a)? 192.243(b)(2) Do records indicate the qualification of nondestructive testing personnel? 192.243(c) Do records indicate that NDT implementation is adequate? Do records indicate that records are maintained of each pipe‴other than pipe" repair, NDT required record, and (as required by subparts L or M) patrol, survey, inspection or test? Number of Welds Inspected by NDT 192.243(f) Number of Welds Rejected 192.243(f) Disposition of each Weld Rejected 480-93-080(1)(b) Use of testing equipment to record and document essential variables 480-93-115(2) Test leads on casings (without vents) installed after 9/05/1992 480-93-115(3) Sealing ends of casings or conduits on transmission pipelines and main 480-93-115(4) Sealing ends (nearest building wall) of casings or conduits on services 192.303 Construction Specifications Do records indicate pipe is installed with clearances in accordance with §192.325, and (if plastic) installed as to prevent heat damage to the pipe? 192.327 Amount, Location, Cover of each size of pipe installed If the pipeline will be operated at the alternative MAOP standard calculated under 192.620 (80% SMYS) does it meet the additional construction requirements for: • Quality assurance • Girth welds • Depth of cover • Initial strength testing, and; • Interference currents? 480-93-170(10) Pressure Testing Equipment checked for Accuracy/Intervals (Manufacturers recommendation or operators schedule) 480-93-170(10) Pressure Testing Equipment checked for Accuracy/Intervals (Manufacturers recommendation or operators schedule) Do records document that each buried or submerged pipeline installed after July 31, 1971, has been protected against external corrosion with a cathodic protecting the buried coating from external corrosion on the pipeline protecting	192.241(a) Do records indicate adequate qualification of welders? 192.241(a) Do records indicate that individuals who perform visual inspection of welding are qualified by appropriate training and experience, as required by §192.241(a)? 192.243(b)(2) Do records indicate the qualification of nondestructive testing personnel? 192.243(f) Do records indicate that NDT implementation is adequate? 192.243(f) Do records indicate that records are maintained of each pipe/"other than pipe" repair, NDT required record, and (as required by subparts L or M) patrol, survey, inspection or test? 192.243(f) Number of Welds Inspected by NDT 192.243(f) Number of Welds Rejected 192.243(f) Disposition of each Weld Rejected 480-93-080(1)(b) Use of testing equipment to record and document essential variables 480-93-115(2) Test leads on casings (without vents) installed after 9/05/1992 480-93-115(3) Sealing ends of casings or conduits on transmission pipelines and main 480-93-115(4) Sealing ends (nearest building wall) of casings or conduits on services 192.302 Construction Specifications 192.325 Do records indicate pipe is installed with clearances in accordance with §192.325, and (if plastic) installed as to prevent heat damage to the pipe? 192.327 Amount, Location, Cover of each size of pipe installed If the pipeline will be operated at the alternative MAOP standard calculated under 192.620 (80% SMYS) does it meet the additional construction requirements for: • Quality assurance • Girth welds • Depth of cover • Initial strength testing, and; • Interference currents? 480-93-170(10) Pressure Tests Performed on new and replacement pipelines Pressure Tests Performed on new and replacement pipelines Pressure Tests Equipment checked for Accuracy/Intervals (Manufacturers recommendation or operators schedule) 480-93-170(10) Pressure Testing Equipment checked for Accuracy/Intervals (Manufacturers recommendation or operators schedule) 192.455 Sudy prepared and approved prior to moving and lowering of metallic pipelin

Comments:

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

Q58 thru Q78- Other inspector completed a New Construction Inspection this year (2018) see (Insp. No. 7300)

		OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
80.	192.10	Do records indicate specific point(s) at which operating responsibility transfers to a producing operator, as applicable?			X	
81.	192.14	Conversion To Service Performance and Records		,		
82.	192.14(a)(2)	Visual inspection of right of way, aboveground and selected underground segments			X	
83.	192.14(a)(3)	Correction of unsafe defects and conditions			X	
84.	192.14(a)(4)	Pipeline testing in accordance with Subpart J			X	
85.	192.14(b)	Pipeline records: investigations, tests, repairs, replacements, alterations (life of pipeline)			X	
86.	192.16	Customer Notification (Verification – 90 days – and Elements)			X	
87.		Procedural Manual Review – Operations and Maintenance (1 per yr/15 months) .605(a)				
	192.603(b)	Note: Including review of OQ procedures as suggested by PHMSA - ADB-09-03 dated 2/7/09			X	
88.	192.603(b)	Did personnel respond to indications of abnormal operations as required by procedures? .605(c) (1)			X	
89.	192.603(b)	Availability of construction records, maps, operating history to operating personnel .605(b)(3)	X			
90.	192.603(b)	Periodic review of personnel work – effectiveness of normal O&M procedures .605(b)(8)			X	
91.	192.603(b)	Periodic review of personnel work – effectiveness of abnormal operation procedures .605(c)(4)			X	
92.	192.603(b)	Do records indicate systematic and routine testing and inspection of pipe-type or bottle-type holders? .605(b)(10)			X	
93.		Damage Prevention Program				
94.	192.603(b)	List of Current Excavators .614 (c)(1)	X			
95.	192.603(b)	Notification of Public/Excavators .614 (c)(2) - There is no documentation indicating that KPUD is enrolled in a One-Call program.		X		
96.	192.603(b)	Notifications of planned excavations. (One -Call Records) .614 (c)(3)			X	
97.		Provide as follows for inspection of pipelines that an operator has reason to believe could be damaged by excavation activities:				
98.	.614(c)(6)	Is the inspection done as frequently as necessary during and after the activities to verify the integrity of the pipeline?			X	
99.		2. In the case of blasting, does the inspection include leakage surveys? (required)			X	
100.	480-93-250(3)	Are locates are being made within the timeframes required by RCW 19.122? Examine record sample.			X	
101.		Are locating and excavating personnel properly <u>qualified</u> in accordance with the operator's				
	105 507(1-)	Operator Qualification plan and with federal and state requirements? -There is no		W.		
	195.507(b)	documentation of KPUD locating personnel being operator		X		
		qualified.				
102.		Does the operator have a quality assurance program in place for monitoring the locating and marking of facilities? Do operators conduct regular field audits of the performance of locators/contractors and take action when necessary? (CGA Best Practices, Best Practice 4-18. Recommended only, not required)	X			
103.	PHMSA – State Program	Does operator including performance measures in facility locating services contracts with corresponding and meaningful incentives and penalties?			X	
104.	Evaluation Questions	Do locate contractors address performance problems for persons performing locating services through mechanisms such as re-training, process change, or changes in staffing levels?			X	
105.		Does the operator periodically review the Operator Qualification plan criteria and methods used to qualify personnel to perform locates?			X	

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

		OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
106.		Review operator locating and excavation <u>procedures</u> for compliance with state law and regulations.	X			
107.		Are locates are being made within the timeframes required by state law and regulations? Examine record sample.			X	
108.		Are locating and excavating personnel properly <u>qualified</u> in accordance with the operator's				
		Operator Qualification plan and with federal and state requirements? -There is no		X		
		documentation of KPUD locating personnel being operator		A		
		qualified.				
109.	192.709	Do records indicate performance of the required study whenever the population along a pipeline increased or there was an indication that the pipe hoop stress was not commensurate with the present class location? 192.605(b)(1) (192.609(a); 192.609(b); 192.609(c); 192.609(d); 192.609(e); 192.609(f))			X	
110.	192.605(a)	Confirmation or revision of MAOP. Final Rule Pub. 10/17/08, eff. 12/22/08611			X	
111.	192.603(b)	Prompt and effective response to each type of emergency .615(a)(3) Note: Review operator records of previous accidents and failures including third-party damage and leak response			X	
112.	192.615	Actions required to be taken by a controller during an emergency in accordance with 192.631. (Amdt. 192-112, 74 FR 63310, December 3, 2009, eff. 2/1/2010)615(a)(11)			X	
113.	192.603(b)	Location Specific Emergency Plan .615(b)(1)	X			
114.	192.603(b)	Emergency Procedure training, verify effectiveness of training .615(b)(2)			X	
115.	192.603(b)	Employee Emergency activity review, determine if procedures were followed615(b)(3)			X	
116.	192.603(b)	Liaison Program with Public Officials .615(c)			X	

Comments:

Q80 thru Q88; Q90 thru Q92; Q95 thru Q100; Q103 thru Q107; Q109 thru Q112 and Q114 thru Q116 – Pipeline is newly constructed (2018); is presently idle and has not accrued enough service life for accurate documentation at this time.

	Public Awarenes	ss Program .616	S	U	N/A	N/C
	•	have completed their written programs no later				
	than June 20, 2006. See 192.616(a) and (j) for	exceptions.				
	API RP 1162 Baseline* Reco	ommended Message Deliveries				
	Stakeholder Audience (Natural Gas Transmission Line Operators)	Baseline Message Frequency (starting from effective date of Plan)				
192.603(b)	Residents Along Right-of-Way and Places of Congregation	2 years				
	Emergency Officials	Annual				
	Public Officials	3 years				
	Excavator and Contractors	Annual				
	One-Call Centers	As required of One-Call Center				
	* Refer to API RP 1162 for additional requirer recommendations, supplemental requirements.					

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

117.		The operator's program must specifically include provisions to educate the public, appropriate government organizations, and persons engaged in excavation related activities on: .616(d) (1) Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with the unintended release from a gas pipeline facility (3) Physical indications of a possible release; (4) Steps to be taken for public safety on the event of a gas pipeline release; and (5) Procedures to report such an event (to the operator).		X	
118.	192.603(b)	Documentation properly and adequately reflects implementation of operator's Public Awareness Program requirements - Stakeholder Audience identification, message type and content, delivery method and frequency, supplemental enhancements, program evaluations, etc. (i.e. contact or mailing rosters, postage receipts, return receipts, audience contact documentation, etc. for emergency responder, public officials, school superintendents, program evaluations, etc.)616 (e) & (f)		X	
120.		The program conducted in English and any other languages commonly understood by a significant number of the population in the operator's area616(g)		X	
121.		Do records indicate implementation of a program evaluation process implemented and continuous improvements based on the findings? 192.616(i) (192.616(h); API RP 1162, Section 2.7 Step 11; API RP 1162, Section 8)		X	
122.		Analyzing accidents and failures including laboratory analysis where appropriate to determine cause and prevention of recurrence .617 Note: Including excavation damage (PHMSA area of emphasis)		X	

Comments:

Q118 thru Q122 – Pipeline is newly constructed (2018); is presently idle and has not accrued enough service life for accurate documentation at this time.

123.	192.517	From the review of the results of pressure tests, do the te	st records validate th	ne pressure test?	X	
124.	.553(b)	Do records indicate the pressure uprating process was im 192.553? –No pressure uprates were performed.	plemented per the re	equirements of		X
125.	192.709	Maximum Allowable Operating Pr	ressure (MAOP)			
126.		Note: If the operator is operating at 80% SMYS with waiver special conditions of the waiver.	rs, the inspector need	s to review the		
127.	.709	MAOP cannot exceed the lowest of the following: .619				
128.		Design pressure of the weakest element, .619(a)(1)				X
129.		The highest actual operating pressure to which the segme years preceding the applicable date in the second column according to .619(a)(2) after the applicable date in the thuprated according to subpart K. Amdt 192-102 pub. 3/15 line related compliance deadlines and additional gath Part 192 including this amendment619(a)(3)	, unless the segment and column or the seg /06, eff. 04/14/06. F	t was tested in gment was for gathering		
	.709	Pipeline segment -Onshore gathering line that first became subject to this part (other than §192.612) after April 13, 2006. Offshore gathering lines All other pipelines	Pressure date March 15, 2006, or date line becomes subject to this part, whichever is later. July 1, 1976 July 1, 1970	Test date 5 years preceding applicable date in second column. July 1, 1971 July 1, 1965		X

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

130.		.619(c) The requirements on pressure restrictions in this section do not apply in the following instance. An operator may operate a segment of pipeline found to be in satisfactory condition, considering its operating and maintenance history, at the highest actual operating pressure to which the segment was subjected during the 5 years preceding the applicable date in the second column of the table in paragraph (a)(3) of this section. An operator must still comply with §192.611. Amdt 192-102 pub. 3/15/06, eff. 04/14/06. For gathering line related compliance deadlines and additional gathering line requirements, refer to Part 192 including this amendment.	X	
131.		.620 If the pipeline is designed to the alternative MAOP standard in 192.620 does it meet the additional design requirements for: • General standards • Fracture control • Plate and seam quality • Mill hydrostatic testing • Coating • Fittings and flanges • Compressor stations Final rule pub. 10/17/08, eff. 12/22/08 -This pipeline is not designed to the alternative MAOP standard.	x	
132.	480-93-015(1)	Odorization of Gas – Concentrations adequate?	X	
133.	480-93-015(2)	Monthly Odorant Sniff Testing	X	
134.	480-93-015(3)	Prompt action taken to investigate and remediate odorant concentrations not meeting the minimum requirements	X	
135.	480-93-015(4)	Odorant Testing Equipment Calibration/Intervals (Annually or Manufacturers Recommendation)	X	
136.	480-93-124(3)	Pipeline markers attached to bridges or other spans inspected? 1/yr(15 months) -No bridges or spans.	X	
137.	480-93-124(4)	Markers reported missing or damaged replaced within 45 days?	X	

Comments:

Q124 thru Q130 and Q132 thru Q137 – Pipeline is newly constructed (2018); is presently idle and has not accrued enough service life for accurate documentation at this time.

138.	480-93-185(1)	Reported gas leaks investigated promptly/graded/record retained		X	
139.	480-93-185(3)	Leaks originating from a foreign source reported promptly/notification by mail/record retained		X	
140.	480-93-187	Gas Leak records - Content		X	
141.	480-93-188(1)	Gas Leak surveys - Coverage		X	
142.	480-93-188(2)	Gas detection instruments tested for accuracy/intervals (Mfct rec or monthly not to exceed 45 days)		X	
143.	480-93-188(3)	Leak survey frequency (Refer to Table Below)		X	

Business Districts (By 6/02/07)	1/yr (15 months)
High Occupancy Structures	1/yr (15 months)
Pipelines Operating ≥ 250 psig	1/yr (15 months)
Other Mains: CI, WI, copper, unprotected steel	2/yr (7.5 months)

144.	480-93-188(4)(a)	Special leak surveys - Prior to paving or resurfacing, following street alterations or repairs			X	
------	------------------	--	--	--	---	--

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

145.	480-93-188(4)(b)		- areas where substructure construction occurs ad	jacent to	X
		underground gas fac	ilities, and damage could have occurred		
146.	480-93-188(4)(c)		- Unstable soil areas where active gas lines could		X
147.	480-93-188(4)(d)	and explosions	- areas and at times of unusual activity, such as ea		X
148.	480-93-188(4)(e)		- After third-party excavation damage, operators nate the possibility of multiple leaks and undergro		X
149.	480-93-188(5)	Gas survey records:	Retention/Content		X
150.	480-93-188(6)	Leak Survey Program	n/Self Audits	X	
151.	192.709	Patrolling (Refer to	Table Below) .705		X
		Class Location	At Highway and Railroad Crossings	At All Other Places	
		1 and 2	2/yr (7½ months)	1/yr (15 months)	
		3	4/yr (4½ months)	2/yr (7½ months)	
		4	4/yr (4½ months)	4/yr (4½ months)	
152.	192.709	Leak	Surveys (Refer to Table Below) .706		X
		Class Location	Required	Not Exceed	
		1 and 2	1/yr	15 months	
		3	2/yr	7½ months	
		4	4/yr	4½ months	
150	1402 4074	T.,			
153.	192.605(b)	•	Underwater Facility Reports .727(g)		X
154.	192.709	•	lief Devices – Inspection and Testing (1 per yr/1	' '	X
155.	192.709	Compressor Station En	nergency Shutdown (1 per yr/15 months) .731(d	2)	X
156.	192.709	Compressor Stations –	Detection and Alarms (Performance Test) .736	i(c)	X
157.	192.709	Pressure Limiting and months) .739	Regulating Stations - Inspection and Testing in	ntervals (1 per yr/15	X
158.	192.709	Pressure Limiting and l	Regulator Stations – <u>Capacity Testing or Review</u> ((1 per yr/15 months)	X

Comments:

Q138 thru Q149, Q151 and Q152 – Pipeline is newly constructed (2018); is presently idle and has not accrued enough service life for accurate documentation at this time.

Q153 thru Q158- No abandoned pipelines, compressor stations or pressure limiting, regulator stations.

159.	192.709	Do records indicate proper inspection and partial operation of transmission line <u>valves</u> that may be required during an emergency as required and prompt remedial actions taken if necessary? (1 per yr/15 months) .745		X	
160.	192.709	Do records document inspections at the required interval of all vaults having a volumetric internal content of 200 cubic feet (5.66 cubic meters) or more that house pressure regulating/limiting equipment? (1 per yr/15 months) .749		X	
161.	192.603(b)	Do records indicate personnel followed procedures for minimizing the danger of accidental ignition where the presence of gas constituted a hazard of fire or explosion? .751		X	

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

162.	192.603(b)	Welding – Procedures .225(b)	X		
163.	192.603(b)	Welding – Welder Qualification .227/.229	X		
164.	192.603(b)	NDT – NDT Personnel Qualification .243(b)(2)			
165.	192.709	NDT Records (Pipeline Life) .243(f)	X		
166.	192.709	Repair: pipe (Pipeline Life); Other than pipe (5 years)		X	
167.	.807(b)	Do records document the evaluation and qualifications of individuals performing covered tasks, and can the qualification of individuals performing covered tasks be verified? (Including new construction activities - WAC 480-93-013)	X		
168.	192.905(c)	Periodically examining their transmission line routes for the appearance of newly identified area's (HCA's)		X	

Comments:

Q159 thru Q161, Q166 and Q168– Pipeline is newly constructed (2018); is presently idle and has not accrued enough service life for accurate documentation at this time.

CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS					N/A	N/C
169.	192.453	CP procedures (system design, installation, operation, and maintenance) must be carried out by qualified personnel.	X			
170.	192.455(a)(2)	CP system installed on and operating within 1 yr of completion of pipeline construction (after 7/31/71)	X			
171.	192.491(c)	Do records document that each buried or submerged pipeline that has been converted to gas service and was installed after July 31, 1971, has been protected against external corrosion with an adequate coating unless exempted under 192.455(b)?			X	
172.	192.491	Annual Pipe-to-soil Monitoring (1 per yr/15 months) for short sections (10% per year; all in 10 years) .465(a)			X	
173.	192.491	Do records indicate the location of all items listed in 192.491(a)?			X	
174.	192.491	Examination of Buried Pipe when Exposed .459	•		X	
175.	480-93-110(8)	CP test reading on all exposed facilities where coating has been removed			X	
176.	192.491	Rectifier Monitoring (6 per yr/2½ months) .465(b) –No rectifiers			X	
177.	192.491	Interference Bond Monitoring – Critical (6 per yr/2½ months) .465(c)			X	
178.	192.491	Interference Bond Monitoring – Non-critical (1 per yr/15 months) .465(c)			X	
179.	192.491	Do records adequately document the re-evaluation of buried pipelines with no cathodic protection for areas of active corrosion? (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months) .465(e)			X	
180.	192.491	Do records adequately document electrical isolation of each buried or submerged pipeline from other metallic structures unless they electrically interconnect and cathodically protect the pipeline and the other structures as a single unit? (Including Casings) .467			X	
181.	480-93-110(2)	Remedial action taken within 90 days (Up to 30 additional days if other circumstances. Must document) .465(d)			X	
182.	480-93-110(3)	CP Test Equipment and Instruments checked for Accuracy/Intervals (Mfct Rec or Opr Sched)			X	
183.	480-93-110(5)	Casings inspected/tested annually not to exceed fifteen months -No casings			X	
184.	480-93-110(5)(a)	Casings w/no test leads installed prior to 9/05/1992. Demonstrate other acceptable test methods -No casings			X	
185.	480-93-110(5)(b)	Possible shorted conditions – Perform confirmatory follow-up inspection within 90 days- No casings			X	
186.	480-93-110(5)(c)	Casing shorts cleared when practical -No casings			X	

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

	CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS			U	N/A	N/C
187.	480-93-110(5)(d)	Shorted conditions leak surveyed within 90 days of discovery. Twice annually/7.5 months- No casings			X	
188.	192.491	Do records document that pipelines with cathodic protection have <u>electrical test leads</u> <u>installed</u> in accordance with requirements of Subpart I? (192.471; 192.469)			X	
189.	192.491	Do records document that the operator has minimized the detrimental effects of stray currents when found? .473			X	
190.	192.491	Do records document if corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline, including the investigation of the corrosive effect of the gas on the pipeline and steps that have been taken to minimize internal corrosion? .475(a)			X	
191.	192.491	Internal corrosion; Internal surface inspection; Pipe replacement .475(b)			X	
192.	192.491	Internal Corrosion; New system design; Evaluation of impact of configuration changes to existing systems . (192.476(b); 192.476(c))			X	
193.	192.491	Internal Corrosion Control Coupon Monitoring (2 per yr/7½ months) .477			X	
194.	192.491	Atmospheric Corrosion Control Monitoring (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months onshore; 1 per yr/15 months offshore) .481			X	
195.	192.491	Remedial: Replaced or Repaired Pipe; coated and protected; corrosion evaluation and actions, Records adequate? .483/.485			X	

Comments:

Q171 thru Q195 – Pipeline is newly constructed (2018); is presently idle and has not accrued enough service life for accurate documentation at this time.

		PIPELINE INSPECTION (Field)	S	U	N/A	N/C
196.	192.161	Supports and anchors	X			
197.	192.179	Valves installed as required? (Proper spacing, Readily accessible, Properly supported, Protection from Tampering/Damage, Blowdown-Discharge/Capacity) — No Valves			X	
198.	480-93-015(1)	Odorization levels			X	
199.	192.463(a)	Levels of Cathodic Protection			X	
200.	192.465(b)	Rectifiers – No Rectifiers			X	
201.	192.467	CP - Electrical Isolation (192.467(a), (b), (c))			X	
202.	192.469	Test Stations (Sufficient Number)	X			
203.	192.476	Systems designed to reduce internal corrosion	X			
204.	192.479	Pipeline Components Exposed to the Atmosphere (192.479(a), (b), (c))	X			
205.	192.481	Atmospheric Corrosion – monitoring (192.481(b), (c))			X	
206.	480-93-115(2)	Casings – Test Leads (Casings w/o vents installed after 9/05/1992)			X	
207.	192.605	Knowledge of Operating Personnel	X			
208.	192.613; .703	Pipeline condition, unsatisfactory conditions, hazards, etc. captured and addressed? (192.613(a), (b); 192.703(a), (b), (c))				
209.	480-93-124	Pipeline Markers: Placed and maintained at above/below ground facilities. Road and railroad crossings (192.707(a))	X			
210.	192.719	Pre-pressure Tested Pipe (Markings and Inventory) (192.719(a), (b))			X	
211.	192.739	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Devices (Mechanical) (spot-check field installed equipment vs. inspection records) (192.739(a), (b); 192.743)			X	
212.					X	
213.	192.745	Valve Maintenance: Field Inspection and partial operation (192.745(a), (b))			X	-
214.	192.751	Perform observations of selected locations to verify that adequate steps have been taken by the operator to minimize the potential for accidental ignition. 192.7(a), (b), (c))			X	

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/ \bar{C} – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

	PIPELINE INSPECTION (Field)				N/A	N/C
215.	192.801 - 192.809	Operator qualification questions – Refer to OQ Field Inspection Protocol Form	X			

Operator Qualification Field Validation

Important: Per PHMSA, the OQ Field Inspection Protocol Form 15 (Rev 6-2012) shall be used by the inspector as part of this standard inspection. When completed, the inspector will upload this information into the PHMSA OQ Database (OQDB) located at http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/oqdb/home.oq **Date Form Completed/Uploaded?: Technical assistance inspection**

Comments:

Q205, Q206 and Q210 thru Q214 – Pipeline is newly constructed (2018); is presently idle and has not accrued enough service life for accurate documentation at this time.

	COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION				
	(Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered")	S	U	N/A	V/C
	If not located on a platform check here and skip 192.167(c)				
192.163 (c)	Main operating floor must have (at least) two (2) separate and unobstructed exits			X	
	Door latch must open from inside without a key			X	
	Doors must swing outward			X	
(d)	Each fence around a compressor station must have (at least) 2 gates or other facilities for emergency exit			X	
	Each gate located within 200 ft of any compressor plant building must open outward			X	
	When occupied, the door must be opened from the inside without a key			X	
(e)	Does the equipment and wiring within compressor stations conform to the National Electric Code , ANSI/NFPA 70?			X	
.165(a)	If applicable, are there liquid separator(s) on the intake to the compressors?			X	
.165(b)	Do the liquid separators have a manual means of removing liquids?			X	
	If slugs of liquid could be carried into the compressors, are there automatic dumps on the separators, Automatic compressor shutdown devices, or high liquid level alarms?			X	
.167(a)	ESD system must:				
	- Discharge blowdown gas to a safe location			X	
	- Block and blowdown the gas in the station			X	
	- Shut down gas compressing equipment, gas fires, electrical facilities in compressor building and near gas headers			X	
	- Maintain necessary electrical circuits for emergency lighting and circuits needed to protect equipment from damage			X	
	ESD system must be operable from at least two locations, each of which is:				
	- Outside the gas area of the station			X	
	- Not more than 500 feet from the limits of the station			X	
	- ESD switches near emergency exits?			X	
.167 (b)	For stations supplying gas directly to distribution systems, is the ESD system configured so that the LDC will not be shut down if the ESD is activated?			X	
.167(c)	Are ESDs on platforms designed to actuate automatically by				
	- For unattended compressor stations, when:				
	The gas pressure equals MAOP plus 15%?			X	
	An uncontrolled fire occurs on the platform?			X	

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

	COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION			
	(Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered")	S	U	N/A N/(
	If not located on a platform check here and skip 192.167(c)			
	- For compressor station in a building, when			
	 An uncontrolled fire occurs in the building? 			X
	 Gas in air reaches 50% or more of LEL in a building with a source of ignition (facility conforming to NEC Class 1, Group D is not a source of ignition)? 			X
.171(a)	Does the compressor station have adequate fire protection facilities? If fire pumps are used, they must not be affected by the ESD system.			X
(b)	Do the compressor station prime movers (other than electrical movers) have over-speed shutdown?			X
(c)	Do the compressor units alarm or shutdown in the event of inadequate cooling or lubrication of the unit(s)?			X
(d)	Are the gas compressor units equipped to automatically stop fuel flow and vent the engine if the engine is stopped for any reason?			X
(e)	Are the mufflers equipped with vents to vent any trapped gas?			X
.173	Is each compressor station building adequately ventilated?			X
.457	Is all buried piping cathodically protected?			X
.481	Atmospheric corrosion control of aboveground facilities 192.481(b), (c); 192.479(a), (b), (c))			X
.605	Does the operator have procedures for the start-up and shut-down of the station and/or compressor units? 192.605(b)(5)			X
	Are facility maps current/up-to-date? 192.605(b)(3)			X
.616	Public Awareness Program effectiveness - Visit identified stakeholders as part of field inspection routine			X
.605; .615(b)	Emergency Plan for the station on site?			X
.707	Markers			X
.199/.731	Are pressure relief/limiting devices inside a compressor station designed, installed, and inspected properly? (192.199, 192.731(a), (b), (c))			X
.735(a), (b)	Are combustible materials in quantities exceeding normal daily usage, stored a safe distance from the compressor building?			X
	Are aboveground oil or gasoline storage tanks protected in accordance with NFPA standard No. 30?			X
.736(a), (b)	Have adequate gas detection and alarm systems been installed in selected applicable compressor buildings?			X

Comments:		
There are no	Compressor	Stations

Alternative Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure

For additional guidance refer to http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/maop/faqs.htm For Additional guidance see the FAQs at http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/maop/faqs.htm

192.620	Alternative MAOP Procedures and Verifications	S	U	N/A	N/C
	The alternative MAOP is calculated by using different factors in the same formulas used for calculating MAOP in §192.619. In determining the alternative design pressure under §192.105 use a design factor determined in accordance with §192.111(b), (c), or (d), or, if none of these apply in accordance with:				
	Class Location Alternative Design Factor (F)				
	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0.80 \\ 2 & 0.67 \end{array} $				
	3 0.56				
.620(a)	(1) Establish alternative MAOP commensurate with class location – no class 4			X	
	(2) MAOP cannot exceed the lowest of the following:				
	(i) Design pressure of the weakest element			X	
	(ii) Test pressure divided by applicable factor			X	
(20(1-)	(2) Pipeline constructed of steel pipe meeting additional requirements in §192.112.			X	
.620(b)	(3) SCADA system with remote monitoring and control			X	
	(4) Additional construction requirements described in §192.328			X	
	(5) No mechanical couplings			X	
	(6) No failures indicative of systemic material fault – if previously operated at lower MAOP			X	
	(7) 95% of girth welds have NDT			X	
	(1) PHMSA notified 180 days before operating at alternative MAOP			X	
(20(-)	(2) Senior Executive signatures and copy to PHMSA			X	
.620(c)	(4) Strength test per §192.505 or certify previous strength test			X	
	(6) Construction tasks treated as covered tasks for Operator Qualification			X	
	(7) Records maintained for life of system			X	
	(8) Class location change anomaly remediations			X	
	(1) Threat matrix developed consistent with §192.917			X	
	(2) Recalculate the potential impact circle per §192.903 and implement public education per §192.616			X	
	(3) Responding to an emergency in an HCA				
	(i) Identify HCAs using larger impact circle			X	
	(ii) Check personnel response times			X	
	(iii) Verify remote valve abilities			X	
620(d)	(iv) Verify line break valve control system			X	
	(4) Protect the right-of-way:				
	(i) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days			X	
	(ii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil			X	
	(iii) Replace loss of cover if needed			X	
	(iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707			X	
	(v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices			X	
	(vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities			X	
	(5) Control Internal Corrosion:				
	(i) Program to monitor gas constituents			X	
	(ii) Filter separators if needed			X	
	(iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used			X	
(20(1)	(iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids				
.620(d)	(v) Limit CO2, H2S, and water in the gas stream			X	

520	Alternative MAOP Procedures and Verifications	S	U	N/A	N/C
	The alternative MAOP is calculated by using different factors in the same formulas used for calculating MAOP in §192.619. In determining the alternative design pressure under §192.105 use a design factor determined in accordance with §192.111(b), (c), or (d), or, if none of these apply in accordance with:				
	Class Location Alternative Design Factor (F) 1 0.80				
	2 3 0.67 0.56				
	(vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results			X	
	(6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion			X	
	(ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions			X	
	(7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment			X	
	(i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months				
	(ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35%			X	
	(iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment			X	
	(iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs			X	
F	(A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results				
F	(8) Cathodic Protection			X	
	(i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading				
-	(ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey			X	
	(iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion			X	
	(9) Baseline assessment of integrity			X	
	(i)(A) Geometry tool run within 6 months of service				
r	(i)(B) High resolution MFL tool run within 3 years of service			X	
F	(ii) Geometry and MFL tool 2 years prior to raising pressure for existing lines			X	
	(iii) If short portions cannot accommodate tools, use direct assessment per §192.925, 927, 929 or pressure testing			X	
	(10) Periodic integrity assessments			X	
	(i) Frequency for assessments determined as if all segments covered by Subpart O				
	(ii) Inspect using MFL tool or direct assessment per §192.925, 927, 929 or pressure testing.			X	
	(11) Repairs			X	
	(i)(A) Use of the most conservative calculation for anomaly remaining strength				
	(B) Tool tolerances taken into consideration			X	
	(ii) Immediate repairs for:			X	
	(A) Dents meeting 309(b) criteria				
	(B) Defects meeting immediate criteria in §192.933(d)			X	
	(C) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.25 for .67 design factor			X	
Ī	(D) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.4 for .56 design factor			X	
r	(iii) Repairs within 1 year for:			X	
f	(A) Defects meeting 1 year criteria in 933(d)				
t	(B) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.25 for .80 design factor			X	
F	(C) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.50 for .67 design factor			X	
ŀ	(D) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.80 for .56 design factor			X	
F	(iv) Evaluate defect growth rate for anomalies with > 1 year repair interval and set repair interval			X	

192.620	Alternative MAOP Procedures	and Verifications	S	U	N/A	N/C
	The alternative MAOP is calculated by using different factors in					
	§192.619. In determining the alternative design pressure under §192.105 use a design factor determined in accordance with §192.111(b), (c), or (d), or, if none of these apply in accordance with:					
	Class Location Alternation	ve Design Factor (F)				
	1	0.80				
	2	0.67				
	3	0.56				
	(1) Provide overpressure protection to a max of 104% MAOP				X	
.620(e)	Does the AMAOP process include overpressure protection requir	ements?			X	
	Do records indicate that overpressure protection requirements we	re met?			X	

Comments:		
There is no Alternative MAOP		

S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

Recent Gas Pipeline Safety Advisory Bulletins: (Last 2 years)

Number	<u>Date</u>	Subject
ADB-2013-07	July 12, 13	Potential for Damage to Pipeline Facilities Caused by Flooding
ADB-2012-10	Dec 5, 12	Using Meaningful Metrics in Conducting Integrity Management Program Evaluations
ADB-2012-09	Oct 11, 12	Communication During Emergency Situations
ADB-2012-08	Jul 31, 12	Inspection and Protection of Pipeline Facilities After Railway Accidents
ADB-12-07	Jun 11, 12	Mechanical Fitting Failure Reports
ADB-12-06	May 7, 12	Verification of Records establishing MAOP and MOP
ADB-12-05	Mar 23, 12	Cast Iron Pipe (Supplementary Advisory Bulletin)
ADB -12-04	Mar 21, 12	Implementation of the National Registry of Pipeline and Liquefied Natural Gas Operators
ADB-12-03	Mar 6, 12	Notice to Operators of Driscopipe 8000 High Density Polyethylene Pipe of the Potential for Material Degradation
ADB-11-05	Sep 1, 11	Potential for Damage to Pipeline Facilities Caused by the Passage of Hurricanes
ADB-11-04	Jul 27, 11	Potential for damage to pipeline facilities caused by severe flooding.

For more PHMSA Advisory Bulletins, go to http://phmsa.dot.gov/pipeline/regs/advisory-bulletin

Comments:		