

Inspection Results (IRR)

Generated on 2018.March.14 07:33

• (121)

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
1.		Sat	(9)	AR.CDA	6.	AR.IL.ILCORR.R	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Do records demonstrate that required actions are being taken to address significant corrosion threats as required?
2.		Sat	(9)	AR.CDA	9.	AR.IL.ILCORR.P	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Does the process adequately account for taking required actions to address significant corrosion threats?
3.		Sat	(9)	AR.EC	9.	AR.IL.ILCORR.R	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Do records demonstrate that required actions are being taken to address significant corrosion threats as required?
4.		Sat	(9)	AR.EC	17.	AR.IL.ILCORR.P	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Does the process adequately account for taking required actions to address significant corrosion threats?
5.		Sat	(9)	AR.IC	10.	AR.IL.ILCORR.R	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Do records demonstrate that required actions are being taken to address significant corrosion threats as required?
6.		Sat	(9)	AR.IC	14.	AR.IL.ILCORR.P	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Does the process adequately account for taking required actions to address significant corrosion threats?
7.		NA		AR.IL	9.	AR.IL.ILINVALIDATE.R	192.947(g) (192.921(a)(1))	Do records demonstrate that the operator has validated ILI assessment results per their process?
8.		Sat	(9)	AR.IL	10.	AR.IL.ILCORR.R	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Do records demonstrate that required actions are being taken to address significant

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								corrosion threats as required?
9.		Sat	(9)	AR.IL	20.	AR.IL.ILCORR.P	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Does the process adequately account for taking required actions to address significant corrosion threats?
10.		Sat	(9)	AR.LSR	3.	AR.IL.ILCORR.R	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Do records demonstrate that required actions are being taken to address significant corrosion threats as required?
11.		Sat	(9)	AR.LSR	6.	AR.IL.ILCORR.P	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Does the process adequately account for taking required actions to address significant corrosion threats?
12.		Sat	(9)	AR.OT	4.	AR.IL.ILCORR.R	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Do records demonstrate that required actions are being taken to address significant corrosion threats as required?
13.		Sat	(9)	AR.OT	8.	AR.IL.ILCORR.P	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Does the process adequately account for taking required actions to address significant corrosion threats?
14.		Sat	(9)	AR.PTI	3.	AR.IL.ILCORR.R	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Do records demonstrate that required actions are being taken to address significant corrosion threats as required?
15.		Sat	(9)	AR.PTI	8.	AR.IL.ILCORR.P	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Does the process adequately account for taking required actions to address significant corrosion threats?
16.		Sat		AR.RC	9.	AR.RC.SCHEDULEIMPL.R	192.947(f) (192.933(d))	Do records demonstrate that defects in covered segments were remediated (i.e., repair, pressure reduction, or notification to PHMSA) within the applicable mandatory time limits of 192.933(d)?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text	
17.		NA	AR.RMP	11.	AR.RMP.METHOD.R	192.709(a) (192.713(a), 192.713(b), 192. 717(a), 192.717(b), ASME B31.8S-2004 Section 7)	From the review of records, were all repairs performed in accordance with processes, applicable sections of 49 CFR Parts 192 and the guidance of ASME B31.8S-2004, Section 7, and the Pipeline Repair Manual, Revision 5?	
18.		NA	AR.RMP	12.	AR.RMP.REPAIRQUAL.R	192.807(b) (192.805(h))	From the review of selected records, were personnel performing repairs, other than welding, and post repair tests qualified for the task they performed?	
19.		NA	AR.RMP	19.	AR.RMP.REPLACESTD.R	192.713(a) (Part 192 Subpart D)	From the review of records, were any components that were replaced constructed to the same or higher standards as the original component?	
20.		Sat	(9)	AR.SCC	6.	AR.IL.ILCORR.R	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Do records demonstrate that required actions are being taken to address significant corrosion threats as required?
21.		Sat	(9)	AR.SCC	12.	AR.IL.ILCORR.P	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Does the process adequately account for taking required actions to address significant corrosion threats?
22.		Sat	(2)	EP.ERG	22.	EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3), 192.615(c)(4), 192.616(c), ADB- 05-03)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
23.		Sat		IM.BA	1.	IM.BA.BAENVIRON.P	192.911(o) (192.919(e))	Does the process include requirements for conducting integrity assessments in a

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							manner that minimizes environmental and safety risks?
24.		Sat	IM.BA	2.	IM.BA.BAENVIRON.R	192.947(d) (192.911(o), 192.919(e))	Do records demonstrate that integrity assessments have been conducted in a manner that minimizes environmental and safety risks?
25.		Sat	IM.BA	3.	IM.BA.BAMETHODS.P	192.919(b) (192.921(a), 192.921(c), 192.921(h))	Does the process include requirements for specifying an assessment method(s) that is best suited for identifying anomalies associated with specific threats identified for the covered segment?
26.		Sat	IM.BA	4.	IM.BA.BAMETHODS.R	192.947(c) (192.919(b), 192.921(a), 192.921(c), 192.921(h))	Do records demonstrate that the assessment method(s) specified is best suited for identifying anomalies associated with specific threats identified for the covered segment?
27.		Sat	IM.BA	5.	IM.BA.BANEW.P	192.911(p) (192.905(c), 192.921(f), 192.921(g))	Does the process include requirements for updating the assessment plan for newly identified areas and newly installed pipe?
28.		Sat	IM.BA	6.	IM.BA.BANEW.R	192.947(d) (192.905(c), 192.911(p), 192.921(f), 192.921(g), 192.620)	Do records demonstrate that the assessment plan has been adequately updated for new HCAs and newly installed pipe?
29.		Sat	IM.BA	7.	IM.BA.BASCHEDULE.P	192.917(c) (192.919(c), 192.921(b))	Did the BAP process require a schedule for completing the assessment activities for all covered segments and consideration of applicable risk factors in the

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							prioritization of the schedule?
30.		NA	IM.BA	8.	IM.BA.BASCHEDULE.R	192.947(c) (192.921(d))	Do records demonstrate that all BAP required assessments were completed as scheduled?
31.		NC	IM.BA	9.	IM.BA.BAENVIRON.O	192.911(o) (192.919(e))	From field observations, are integrity assessments conducted in a manner that minimizes environmental and safety risks?
32.		NA	IM.CA	1.	IM.CA.LOWSTRESSREASSESS.P	192.941(a) (192.941(b), 192.941(c))	Does the process include requirements for the "low stress reassessment" method to address threats of external and/or internal corrosion for pipelines operating below 30% SMYS?
33.		NA	IM.CA	2.	IM.CA.LOWSTRESSREASSESS.R	192.947(d) (192.941(a), 192.941(b), 192.941(c))	Do records demonstrate that the implementation of "low stress reassessment" method to address threats of external and/or internal corrosion is adequate and being performed as required?
34.		Sat	IM.CA	3.	IM.CA.PERIODICEVAL.P	192.937(b) (192.917(a), 192.917(b), 192.917(c), 192.917(d), 192.917(e))	Does the process include requirements for a periodic evaluation of pipeline integrity based on data integration and risk assessment to identify the threats specific to each covered segment and the risk represented by these threats?
35.		Sat	IM.CA	4.	IM.CA.PERIODICEVAL.R	192.947(d) (192.917(a), 192.917(b), 192.917(c), 192.917(d), 192.917(e), 192.937(b))	Do records demonstrate that periodic evaluations of pipeline integrity have been performed based on data

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							integration and risk assessment to identify the threats specific to each covered segment and the risk represented by these threats?
36.		Sat	IM.CA	5.	IM.CA.REASSESSINTERVAL.P	192.937(a) (192.939(a), 192.939(b), 192.913(c))	Is the process for establishing the reassessment intervals consistent with 192.939 and ASME B31.8S-2004?
37.		Sat	IM.CA	6.	IM.CA.REASSESSINTERVAL.R	192.947(d) (192.937(a), 192.939(a), 192.939(b), 192.913(c))	Do records demonstrate that reassessment intervals were established consistent with the requirements of the operator's processes?
38.		Sat	IM.CA	7.	IM.CA.REASSESSMETHOD.P	192.937(c) (192.931)	Is the approach for establishing reassessment method(s) consistent with the requirements in 192.937(c)?
39.		Sat	IM.CA	8.	IM.CA.REASSESSMETHOD.R	192.947(d) (192.937(c))	Do records document the assessment methods to be used and the rationale for selecting the appropriate assessment method?
40.		NA	IM.CA	9.	IM.CA.REASSESSWAIVER.P	192.943(a) (192.943(b))	Does the process include requirements for reassessment interval waivers (special permit per 190.341)?
41.		NA	IM.CA	10.	IM.CA.REASSESSWAIVER.R	192.947(d) (192.943(a), 192.943(b))	Do records demonstrate that reassessment interval waivers (special permit per 190.341) have been adequately implemented, if applicable?
42.		NA	IM.CA	11.	IM.CA.REASSESEXCPERF.P	192.913(a) (192.913(b), 192.913(c))	Does the process include requirements for deviations from reassessment requirements

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							based on exceptional performance?
43.		NA	IM.CA	12.	IM.CA.REASSESEXCPERF.R	192.947(d) (192.913(a), 192.913(b), 192.913(c))	Do records demonstrate that deviations from reassessment requirements are based on exceptional performance and have been adequately handled, if applicable?
44.		Sat	IM.HC	1.	IM.HC.HCAID.P	192.905(a)	Does the process include the methods defined in 192.903 High Consequence Area (Method 1) and/or 192.903 High Consequence Area (Method 2) to be applied to each pipeline for the identification of high consequence areas?
45.		Sat	IM.HC	2.	IM.HC.HCAID.R	192.947(d) (192.905(a), 192.907(a), 192.911(a))	Do records demonstrate that the identification of pipeline segments in high consequence areas was completed in accordance with process requirements?
46.		Sat	IM.HC	3.	IM.HC.HCAMETHOD1.P	192.903(1)(i) (192.903(1)(ii), 192.903(1)(iii), 192.903(1)(iv))	Is the integrity management process adequate for identification of 192.903 High Consequence Areas using Method (1) for identification of HCAs?
47.		Sat	IM.HC	4.	IM.HC.HCAMETHOD2.P	192.903(2)(i) (192.903(2)(ii))	Is the integrity management process adequate for identification of 192.903 High Consequence Areas using Method (2)?
48.		Sat	IM.HC	5.	IM.HC.HCANEW.P	192.905(c)	Does the process include a requirement for evaluation of new information that impacts, or creates a new,

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							high consequence area?
49.		NA	IM.HC	6.	IM.HC.HCANEW.R	192.947(d) (192.905(c))	Do records demonstrate new information that impacts, or creates a new, high consequence area has been integrated with the integrity management program?
50.		Sat	IM.HC	7.	IM.HC.HCAPIR.P	192.903 (192.905(a))	Is the process for defining and applying potential impact radius (PIR) for establishment of high consequence areas consistent with the requirements of 192.903?
51.		Sat	IM.HC	8.	IM.HC.HCAPIR.R	192.947(d) (192.903, 192.905(a))	Do records demonstrate the use of potential impact radius (PIR) for establishment of high consequence areas consistent with requirements of 192.903?
52.		Sat	IM.HC	9.	IM.HC.HCASITES.P	192.903 (192.905(b))	Does the process for identification of identified sites include the sources listed in 192.905(b) for those buildings or outside areas meeting the criteria specified by 192.903 and require the source(s) of information selected to be documented?
53.		Sat	IM.HC	10.	IM.HC.HCASITES.R	192.947(d) (192.903, 192.905(b))	Do records indicate identification of identified sites being performed as required?
54.		Sat	IM.HC	11.	IM.HC.HCAMETHOD1.R	192.947(d) (192.903 (1)(i), 192.903(1)(ii), 192.903(1)(iii), 192.903(1)(iv))	Do records demonstrate that identification of 192.903 High Consequence Areas using Method (1) was adequate?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
55.		Sat	IM.HC	12.	IM.HC.HCAMETHOD2.R	192.947(d) (192.905(a), 192.903(2)(ii))	Do records demonstrate that the identification of 192.903 High Consequence Areas using Method (2) was adequate?
56.		Sat	IM.HC	13.	IM.HC.HCADATA.O	192.905(c)	Are HCAs correctly identified per up-to-date information?
57.		Sat	IM.PM	1.	IM.PM.PMMGENERAL.P	192.935(a)	Does the process include requirements to identify additional measures to prevent a pipeline failure and to mitigate the consequences of a pipeline failure in a high consequence area?
58.		Sat	IM.PM	2.	IM.PM.PMMGENERAL.R	192.947(d) (192.935(a))	Do records demonstrate that additional measures have been identified and implemented (or scheduled) beyond those already required by Part 192 to prevent a pipeline failure and to mitigate the consequences of a pipeline failure in an HCA?
59.		Sat	IM.PM	3.	IM.PM.PMMTPD.P	192.917(e)(1) (192.935(b)(1), 192.935(e))	Does the preventive and mitigative measure process include requirements that threats due to third party damage be addressed?
60.		Sat	IM.PM	4.	IM.PM.PMMTPD.R	192.947(d) (192.917(e)(1), 192.935(b)(1), 192.935(e))	Do records demonstrate that preventive & mitigative measures have been implemented regarding threats due to third party damage as required by the process?
61.		Sat	IM.PM	5.	IM.PM.PMMREQUAL.P	192.915(c)	Does the process require that persons who

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								implement preventive and mitigative measures or directly supervise excavation work be qualified?
62.		Sat		IM.PM	6.	IM.PM.PMMREVQUAL.R	192.947(e) (192.915(c))	Do records demonstrate that personnel who implement preventive and mitigative measures or directly supervise excavation work are qualified?
63.		Sat	(9)	IM.PM	7.	AR.IL.ILCORR.R	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Do records demonstrate that required actions are being taken to address significant corrosion threats as required?
64.		Sat		IM.PM	8.	IM.PM.PMMTPDSMYS.P	192.935(d) (192.935(e), 192 Appendix E Table E.II.1)	Does the process include requirements for preventive and mitigative measures for pipelines operating below 30% SMYS?
65.		Sat		IM.PM	9.	IM.PM.PMMTPDSMYS.R	192.947(d) (192.935(d), 192.935(e), 192 Appendix E Table E.II.1)	Do records demonstrate that preventive and mitigative measures for pipelines operating below 30% SMYS are being performed as required?
66.		Sat		IM.PM	10.	IM.PM.PMMOF.P	192.935(b)(2)	Does the process adequately address significant threats due to outside force (e.g., earth movement, floods, unstable suspension bridge)?
67.		NA		IM.PM	11.	IM.PM.PMMOF.R	192.947(d) (192.935(b)(2))	Do records demonstrate that significant threats due to outside force (e.g., earth movement, floods, unstable suspension bridge) are being adequately addressed?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
68.		Sat	(9)	IM.PM	12.	AR.IL.ILCORR.P	192.933 (192.917(e)(5))	Does the process adequately account for taking required actions to address significant corrosion threats?
69.		Sat		IM.PM	13.	IM.PM.PMMASORCV.P	192.935(c)	Does the process include requirements to decide if automatic shut-off valves or remote control valves represent an efficient means of adding protection to potentially affected high consequence areas?
70.		Unsat		IM.PM	14.	IM.PM.PMMASORCV.R	192.947(d) (192.935(c))	Do records demonstrate that the operator has determined, based on risk, whether automatic shut-off valves or remote control valves should be added to protect high consequence areas?
71.		Sat		IM.PM	15.	IM.PM.PMMIMPLEMENT.O	192.935(a)	Have identified additional preventive and mitigative measures to reduce the likelihood or consequence of a pipeline failure in an HCA been implemented?
72.		Sat		IM.QA	1.	IM.QA.QARM.P	192.911(l)	Are quality assurance processes in place for risk management applications that meet the requirements of ASME B31.8S-2004, Section 12?
73.		Sat		IM.QA	2.	IM.QA.IMNONMANDT.P	192.7(a)	Does the process include requirements that non-mandatory requirements (e.g., "should" statements) from industry standards or other documents invoked by

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							Subpart O (e.g., ASME B31.8S-2004 and NACE SP0502-2010) be addressed by an appropriate approach?
74.		Sat	IM.QA	3.	IM.QA.IMPERSONNEL.P	192.915(a) (192.915(b), 192.915(c), 192.935(b)(1)(i), 192.907(b))	Does the process include requirements to assure personnel involved in the integrity management program are qualified for their assigned responsibilities in accordance with the quality control plan and Part 192?
75.		Sat	IM.QA	4.	IM.QA.IMPERSONNEL.R	192.947(e) (192.915(a), 192.915(b), 192.915(c))	Do records demonstrate that personnel involved in the integrity management program are qualified for their assigned responsibilities?
76.		Sat	IM.QA	5.	IM.QA.QARM.R	192.947(d) (192.911(l))	Do records demonstrate that the quality assurance process for risk management applications is being completed as required by ASME B31.8S-2004, Section 12?
77.		Sat	IM.QA	6.	IM.QA.RECORDS.P	192.947(a) (192.947(b), 192.947(c), 192.947(d), 192.947(e), 192.947(f), 192.947(g), 192.947(h), 192.947(i))	Is the process adequate to assure that required records are maintained for the useful life of the pipeline?
78.		Sat	IM.QA	7.	IM.QA.IMMOC.P	192.911(k) (192.909(a), 192.909(b))	Is the process for management of changes that may impact pipeline integrity adequate?
79.		Sat	IM.QA	8.	IM.QA.IMMOC.R	192.947(d) (192.909(a), 192.909(b), 192.911(k))	Do records demonstrate that changes that may impact pipeline integrity are being managed as required?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
80.		Sat	IM.QA	9.	IM.QA.IMPERFEFFECTIVE.P	192.945(a) (192.913(b), 192.951)	Does the process for measuring IM program effectiveness include the elements necessary to conduct a meaningful evaluation?
81.		Sat	IM.QA	10.	IM.QA.IMPERFEFFECTIVE.R	192.947(d) (192.913(b), 192.945(a), 192.951)	Do records demonstrate that the methods to measure Integrity Management Program effectiveness provide effective evaluation of program performance and result in program improvements where necessary?
82.		Concern	IM.QA	11.	IM.QA.IMPERFMETRIC.P	192.945(a) (192.913(b), 192.951)	Does the process to evaluate IM program effectiveness include an adequate set of performance metrics to provide meaningful insight into IM program performance?
83.		Sat	IM.QA	12.	IM.QA.IMPERFMETRIC.R	192.947(d) (192.913(b), 192.945(a), 192.951)	Do records demonstrate that performance metrics are providing meaningful insight into integrity management program performance?
84.		Sat	IM.QA	13.	IM.QA.RECORDS.R	192.947(a) (192.947(b), 192.947(c), 192.947(d), 192.947(e), 192.947(f), 192.947(g), 192.947(h), 192.947(i))	Are required records being maintained for the life of the pipeline?
85.		Sat	IM.RA	1.	IM.RA.RADATA.P	192.917(b) (192.917(e)(1), 192.911(k))	Does the process include requirements to gather and integrate existing data and information on the entire pipeline that could be relevant to covered segments?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
86.		Sat	IM.RA	2.	IM.RA.RADATA.R	192.947(b) (192.917(b), 192.917(e)(1), 192.911(k))	Do records demonstrate that existing data and information on the entire pipeline that could be relevant to covered segments being adequately gathered and integrated?
87.		Sat	IM.RA	3.	IM.RA.RAMETHOD.P	192.917(c) (192.917(d))	Does the process include requirements for a risk assessment that follows ASME B31.8S-2004, Section 5, and that considers the identified threats for each covered segment?
88.		Sat	IM.RA	4.	IM.RA.THREATID.P	192.917(a) (192.917(e), 192.913(b)(1))	Does the process include requirements to identify and evaluate all potential threats to each covered pipeline segment?
89.		Sat	IM.RA	5.	IM.RA.THREATID.R	192.947(b) (192.917(a), 192.917(e), 192.913(b)(1))	Do records demonstrate that all potential threats to each covered pipeline segment have been identified and evaluated?
90.		Sat	IM.RA	6.	IM.RA.RAMETHOD.R	192.947(b) (192.917(c), 192.917(d))	Do records demonstrate that the risk assessment follows ASME B31.8S-2004, Section 5, and considers the identified threats for each covered segment?
91.		Sat	IM.RA	7.	IM.RA.RAFACTORS.P	192.917(c)	Does the process include requirements for factors that could affect the likelihood of a release, and for factors that could affect the consequences of potential releases, be accounted for and combined in an appropriate manner to produce a risk

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							value for each pipeline segment?
92.		Sat	IM.RA	8.	IM.RA.RAFACTORS.R	192.947(b) (192.917(c))	Do records demonstrate that risk analysis data is combined in an appropriate manner to produce a risk value for each pipeline segment?
93.		Sat	IM.RA	9.	IM.RA.RAMOC.P	192.917(c)	Does the process provide for revisions to the risk assessment if new information is obtained or conditions change on the pipeline segments?
94.		Sat	IM.RA	10.	IM.RA.RAMOC.R	192.947(b) (192.917(c))	Was the risk assessment revised as necessary as new information is obtained or conditions change on the pipeline segments?
95.		Sat	IM.RA	11.	IM.RA.RAMOC.O	192.917(c)	Are conditions on the pipeline segments accurately reflected in the appropriate risk assessment data and information?
96.		Sat	MO.GOABNORMAL	2.	MO.GOABNORMAL.ABNORMAL.R	192.605(a) (192.605(c)(1))	Did personnel respond to indications of abnormal operations as required by the process?
97.		Sat	(2) MO.GOCLASS	7.	MO.GO.CONTSURVEILLANCE.R	192.709(c) (192.613(a), 192.613(b), 192.703(b), 192.703(c))	Do records indicate performance of continuing surveillance of facilities as required, and also the reconditioning, phasing out, or MAOP reduction in any pipeline segment that was determined to be in unsatisfactory condition but on which no immediate hazard existed?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
98.		Sat	MO.GM	11.	MO.GM.VALVEINSPECT.R	192.709(c) (192.745(a), 192.745(b))	Do records indicate proper inspection and partial operation of transmission line valves that may be required during an emergency as required and prompt remedial actions taken if necessary?
99.		NC	MO.GM	12.	MO.GM.VALVEINSPECT.O	192.745(a) (192.745(b))	Are field inspection and partial operation of transmission line valves adequate?
100.		Sat	(2) MO.GO	2.	MO.GO.CONTSURVEILLANCE.R	192.709(c) (192.613(a), 192.613(b), 192.703(b), 192.703(c))	Do records indicate performance of continuing surveillance of facilities as required, and also the reconditioning, phasing out, or MAOP reduction in any pipeline segment that was determined to be in unsatisfactory condition but on which no immediate hazard existed?
101.		NA	MO.GMOPP	4.	MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.R	192.709(c) (192.739(a), 192.739(b))	Do records indicate inspection and testing of pressure limiting, relief devices, and pressure regulating stations as required and at the specified intervals?
102.		Sat	(2) MO.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
103.		NC	(2) MO.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
104.		Sat	MO.RW	7.	MO.RW.LEAKAGE.R	192.709(c) (192.706, 192.706(a), 192.706(b), 192.935(d))	Do records indicate leakage surveys conducted as required?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
105.		NC		PD.OC	7.	PD.OC.PDPROGRAM.R	192.614(c)	Does the damage prevention program meet minimum requirements specified in 192.614(c)?
106.		Sat	(2)	PD.PA	11.	EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1), 192.615(c)(2), 192.615(c)(3), 192.615(c)(4), 192.616(c), ADB-05-03)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
107.		Sat	(2)	PD.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
108.		NC	(2)	PD.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
109.		NC		TD.ATM	2.	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.O	192.481(b) (192.481(c), 192.479(a), 192.479(b), 192.479(c))	Is pipe that is exposed to atmospheric corrosion protected?
110.		NC		TD.ATM	5.	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.R	192.491(c) (192.481(a), 192.481(b), 192.481(c))	Do records document inspection of aboveground pipe for atmospheric corrosion?
111.		Sat		TD.CPMONITOR	2.	TD.CPMONITOR.CURRENTTEST.R	192.491(c) (192.465(b))	Do records document details of electrical checks of sources of rectifiers or other impressed current sources?
112.		NC		TD.CPMONITOR	3.	TD.CPMONITOR.MONITORCRITERIA.O	192.465(a)	Are methods used for taking CP monitoring readings that allow for the application of appropriate CP monitoring criteria?
113.		Concern		TD.CPMONITOR	6.	TD.CPMONITOR.TEST.R	192.491(c) (192.465(a))	Do records adequately document cathodic protection monitoring tests have occurred as required?
114.		NA		TD.CPMONITOR	13.	TD.CPMONITOR.DEFICIENCY.R	192.491(c) (192.465(d))	Do records adequately

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							document actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?
115.		NA	TD.CPMONITOR	21.	TD.CPMONITOR.INTFRCURRENT.R	192.491(c) (192.473(a))	Do records document an effective program is in place to minimize detrimental effects of interference currents and that detrimental effects of interference currents from CP systems on other underground metallic structures are minimized?
116.		NA	TD.CPMONITOR	22.	TD.CPMONITOR.INTFRCURRENT.O	192.473(a)	Are areas of potential stray current identified, and if found, the detrimental effects of stray currents minimized?
117.		Sat	TD.CPEXPOSED	2.	TD.CPEXPOSED.EXPOSEINSPECT.R	192.491(c) (192.459)	Do records adequately document that exposed buried piping was examined for corrosion and deteriorated coating?
118.		NA	TD.ICP	2.	TD.ICP.EXAMINE.R	192.491(c) (192.475(a), 192.475(b))	Do records document examination of removed pipe for evidence of internal corrosion?
119.		NA	TD.ICCG	2.	TD.ICCG.CORRGAS.R	192.491(c) (192.475(a))	Do the records demonstrate that the corrosive effect of the gas in the pipeline has been investigated and if determined to be corrosive, steps be taken to minimize internal corrosion?
120.		Sat	TQ.OQ	5.	TQ.OQ.RECORDS.R	192.807	Do records document the evaluation and qualifications of individuals performing covered tasks, and can the qualification of

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							individuals performing covered tasks be verified?
121.		Sat	TQ.TR	2.	TQ.TR.TRAINING.R	192.615(b)(2) (192.807(a), 192.807(b))	Is training for emergency response personnel documented?

1. Result is repeated (N) times in this report due to re-presentation of the question in multiple sub-groups.

Report Parameters: All non-empty Results

Inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.