

Inspection Results (IRR)

Generated on 2017.October.25 09:23

• (151)

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Ro	Ass	Res	(Not	Sub-	Q	Question ID	References	Question Text
ws	ets	ult	e 1)	Group	st			
1.					#			
1.		Sat		AR.CDA	4.	AR.CDA.CDAPLAN.P	192.931(a) (192.931(b);192.931(c);192.931(d))	Is an adequate Confirmatory Direct Assessment Plan in place?
2.		Sat		AR.CDA	5.	AR.CDA.CDAEXTCORR.R	192.947(h) (192.931(b))	Do records indicate that the external corrosion plan was properly implemented?
3.		Sat		AR.CDA	6.	AR.CDA.CDAINTCORR.R	192.947(h) (192.931(c))	Do records demonstrate that the internal corrosion plan was properly implemented?
4.		Sat		AR.CDA	7.	AR.CDA.CDAINDICATION.R	192.947(h) (192.931(d))	Do records demonstrate that the next assessment should have been accelerated?
5.		Sat		AR.EC	4.	AR.EC.ECDAPLAN.P	192.925(a) (192.925(b))	Is an adequate ECDA plan and process in place for conducting ECDA?
6.		Sat		AR.EC	5.	AR.EC.ECDAPREASSESS.R	192.947(g) (192.925(b)(1))	Do records demonstrate that the ECDA pre-assessment

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Ass	Res	(Not	Sub-	Q	Question ID	References	Question Text
Number	ets	ult	e 1)	Group	st			
					#			
								nt process complied with NACE SP0502- 2008 Section 3 and 192.925(b)(1)?
7.		Sat		AR.EC	6.	AR.EC.ECDAINTEGRATION.P	192.917(b) (B31.8S Section 4.5)	Is the process for integrating ECDA results with other information adequate?
8.		Sat		AR.EC	7.	AR.EC.ECDAINTEGRATION.R	192.947(g) (192.917(b))	Do records demonstrate that the operator integrated other data/information when evaluating data/results?
9.		Sat		AR.EC	8.	AR.EC.ECDAREGION.R	192.947(g) (192.925(b)(1))	Do records demonstrate that the operator identified ECDA Regions?
10.		Sat		AR.EC	9.	AR.EC.ECDAINDIRECT.R	192.947(g) (192.925(b)(2))	Do records demonstrate that ECDA indirect inspection process complied with NACE SP0502-2008 Section 4 and ASME B31.8S-2004,

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
11		Sat		AR.EC	10.	AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.R	192.947(g) (192.925(b)(3))	Section 6.4? Do records demonstrate that excavations, direct examinations, and data collection were performed in accordance with NACE SP0502-2008, Sections 5 and 6.4.2 and ASME B31.8S, Section 6.4?
12		Sat		AR.EC	12.	AR.EC.ECDAANALYSIS.R	192.947(g) (192.925(b)(4));192.933(b))	Do records demonstrate that an analysis of the ECDA data and other information was adequate to identify external corrosion threats to the pipeline?
13		Sat		AR.EC	14.	AR.EC.ECDAPOSTASS.ESS.R	192.947(g) (192.925(b)(4))	Do records demonstrate that the requirements for post assessment were met?
14		Sat		AR.IC	4.	AR.IC.ICDAPLAN.P	192.927(c) (192.927(a);192.927(b))	Is an adequate ICDA plan and process in place for

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
15		Sat		AR.IC	5.	AR.IC.ICDAPREASSES.S.R	192.927(c)(1) (192.947(g))	conducting ICDA? Do records demonstrate that the requirements for an ICDA pre-assessment were met?
16		Sat		AR.IC	6.	AR.IC.ICDAINTEGRATION.P	192.917(b)	Is the process for integrating ICDA results with other information adequate?
17		Sat		AR.IC	7.	AR.IC.ICDAINTEGRATION.R	192.917(b) (192.947(g))	Do records demonstrate that other data/information was integrated when evaluating data/results?
18		Sat		AR.IC	8.	AR.IC.ICDAREGION.R	192.947(g) (192.927(c)(2);192.927(c)(5))	Do records demonstrate that ICDA Regions were adequately identified?
19		Sat		AR.IC	9.	AR.IC.ICDADIRECT.R	192.947(g) (192.927(c)(3);192.927(c)(5))	Do records demonstrate that sites were identified where internal corrosion may be present?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
20		Sat		AR.IC	1	AR.IC.ICDAPOSTASSE 1. SS.R	192.947(g) (192.927(c)(4)(i);192.927(c)(4)(ii);192.477)	Do records demonstrate that the operator assessed the effectiveness of the ICDA process?
21		Sat		AR.IC	1	AR.IC.ICDAANALYSIS. 2. R	192.947(g) (192.927(c);192.933(b))	Do records demonstrate that an analysis of the ICDA data and other information was adequate to identify internal corrosion threats to the pipeline?
22		NA		AR.IL	9.	AR.IL.ILIVALIDATE.R	192.947(g) (192.921(a)(1))	Do records demonstrate that the operator has validated ILI assessment results per their process?
23		Sat		AR.RC	1.	AR.RC.DISCOVERY.P	192.933(b)	Does the integrity assessment process properly define discovery and the required time frame?
24		Sat		AR.RC	3.	AR.RC.IMPRC.P	192.933(a) (192.933(c);192.933(d))	Does the operator's Integrity Management Plan and/or maintenance

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Result (Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							nce processes include all of the actions that must be taken to address integrity issues in accordance with 192.933?
25	Sat		AR.RC	4.	AR.RC.DEFECTCAT.R	192.947(f) (192.933(b);192.933(d))	Do records demonstrate that all defects were properly categorized?
26	NA		AR.RC	5.	AR.RC.PRESSREDUCE.R	192.947(f) (192.933(a))	Do records demonstrate that an acceptable pressure reduction was promptly taken for each immediate repair condition or when a repair schedule could not be met?
27	Sat		AR.RC	6.	AR.RC.SCHEDULE.R	192.947(f) (192.933(c))	Do records demonstrate that the operator developed a prioritized schedule?
28	Sat		AR.RC	7.	AR.RC.METHOD.R	192.947(f) (192.933(a))	Do records demonstrate that the remediation specified in the

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Ro	Ass	Res	(Not	Sub-	Q	Question ID	References	Question Text
w	ets	ult	e 1)	Group	#			
								prioritized schedule was adequate to ensure the integrity of the pipeline until the next scheduled reassessment?
29		Sat		AR.RC	8.	AR.RC.CRITERIA.P	192.711(b) (192.703(a);192.703(b);192.703(c);192.713(a);192.713(b))	Does the repair process cover all of the elements for making repairs in covered segments?
30		Sat		AR.RC	9.	AR.RC.SCHEDULEIMP L.R	192.947(f) (192.933(d))	Do records demonstrate that defects in covered segments were remediated (i.e., repair, pressure reduction, or notification to PHMSA) within the applicable mandatory time limits of 192.933(d)?
31		Sat		AR.RMP	1	AR.RMP.METHOD.R	192.709(a) (192.713(a);192.713(b);192.717(a);192.717(b);B31.8S Section 7)	From the review of records, were all repairs performed in accordance with procedures,

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Ro	Ass	Res	(Not	Sub-	Q	Question ID	References	Question Text
w	ets	ult	e 1)	Group	#			
								applicabl e sections of 49 CFR Parts 192 and the guidance of B31.8S, Section 7, and the Pipeline Repair Manual, Revision 5?
32		Sat		AR.RMP	1 2.	AR.RMP.REPAIRQUAL.	192.807(b) (192.805(h))	From the review of selected records, were personnel performin g repairs, other than welding, and post repair tests qualified for the task they performe d?
33		NA		AR.RMP	1 9.	AR.RMP.REPLACESTD.	192.713(a) (Part 192 Subpart D)	From the review of records, were any compone nts that were replaced construct ed to the same or higher standards as the original compone nt?
34		NA		AR.SCC	4.	AR.SCC.SCCDAPLAN.P	192.929(b)	Is an adequate plan develope d for performin g SCCDA, if the condition s for SCC were present?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
35		NA		AR.SCC	5.	AR.SCC.SCCDADATA.R	192.947(g) (192.929(b)(1))	Do records demonstrate that data was collected and evaluated?
36		NA		AR.SCC	6.	AR.SCC.SCCDAMETHOD.R	192.947(g) (192.929(b)(2))	Do records demonstrate that an assessment was performed using one of the methods specified in B31.8S Appendix A3?
37		NA		AR.SCC	8.	AR.SCC.SCCDANEARN EUTRAL.R	192.947(g) (192.929(b)(2))	From the review of the results of selected integrity assessments, was the pipeline evaluated for near neutral SCC?
38		NA		AR.SCC	9.	AR.SCC.SCCDAREASSESSINTRVL.R	192.947(d) (192.939(a)(3))	From the review of the results of selected integrity assessments, did the operator determine a reassessment interval based on SCCDA results?
39		NA		EP.ERG	2.	EP.ERG.REVIEW.R	192.605(a)	Have annual reviews been conducted of the emergency plans

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								and procedur es as required, and any updates complete d as appropria te?
40		Sat		EP.ERG	3.	EP.ERG.LOCATION.O	192.615(b)(1)	Are superviso rs provided the applicabl e portions of the emergenc y plan and procedur es?
41		Sat		EP.ERG	6.	EP.ERG.NOTICES.R	192.615(a)(1)	Do records indicate receiving, identifyin g, classifyin g and communi cation of notices of events requiring immediat e response in accordan ce with procedur es?
42		NA		EP.ERG	1 0.	EP.ERG.READINESS.O	192.615(a)(4)	Are personnel , equipmen t, tools, and materials needed at the scene of an emergenc y available as required by its procedur es?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Results (Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
43		Sat	EP.ERG	14	EP.ERG.AUTHORITIES.P	192.615(a) (192.615(a)(8))	Does the emergency plan include procedures for notifying appropriate public officials of gas pipeline emergencies and coordinating with them both planned responses and actual responses during an emergency?
44		Sat	EP.ERG	15	EP.ERG.OUTAGERESTORE.P	192.615(a) (192.615(a)(9))	Does the emergency plan include procedures for safely restoring any service outage?
45		Sat	EP.ERG	16	EP.ERG.INCIDENTACTIONS.P	192.615(a) (192.615(a)(10))	Does the process include procedures for beginning action under 192.617, if applicable, as soon after the end of the emergency as possible?
46		Sat	EP.ERG	17	EP.ERG.INCIDENTANALYSIS.P	192.617	Does the process include procedures for analyzing accidents and

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								failures, including the selection of samples of the failed facility or equipment for laboratory examination, where appropriate, for the purpose of determining the causes of the failure and minimizing the possibility of recurrence?
47		Sat		EP.ERG	1	EP.ERG.INCIDENTANA 8. LYSIS.R	192.605(a) (192.617)	Do records indicate actions initiated to analyze accidents and failures, including the collection of appropriate samples for laboratory examination to determine the causes of the failure and minimize the possibility of recurrence?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								e, in accordance with its procedures?
48		Sat		EP.ERG	1	EP.ERG.POSTEVNTRE 9. VIEW.P	192.615(b)(3)	Does the process include detailed steps for reviewing employee activities to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency?
49		Sat		EP.ERG	2	EP.ERG.POSTEVNTRE 0. VIEW.R	192.605(a) (192.615(b)(1);192.615(b)(3))	Do records indicate review of employee activities to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency?
50		Sat		EP.ERG	2	EP.ERG.LIAISON.P 1.	192.615(c) (192.615(c)(1);192.615(c)(2);192.615(c)(3);192.615(c)(4);192.616(c);ADB-05-03)	Does the process include steps for establishing and maintaining liaison with appropriate fire, police and other public officials and utility owners?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
51		Sat	(2)	EP.ERG	2	EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1);192.615(c)(2);192.615(c)(3);192.615(c)(4);192.616(c);ADB-05-03)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
52		NA		FS.CSSYS PROT	5	FS.CSSYS PROT.ESDL OCATION.O	192.167(a)(4)	Does each compressor station have an emergency shutdown system that is capable of being operated from at least two locations which are: 1) Outside the gas area of the station, 2) Near the exit gates, if the station is fenced, or near emergency exits, if not fenced, 3) And not more than 500 feet (153 meters) from the limits of the station?
53		Sat		FS.CSSYS PROT	1	FS.CSSYS PROT.CMPR ELIEF.R	192.709(b) (192.709(c);192.731(a);192.731(b);192.731(c))	Do records document with adequate

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								detail that all inspection and testing of compressor station pressure relief devices with the exception of rupture disks have occurred at the required interval?
54		Sat		FS.CSSYS PROT	2 4.	FS.CSSYS PROT.CMPG ASDETOM.R	192.709(c) (192.736(c))	Do records document that all compressor station gas detection and alarm systems are being maintained and tested as required?
55		NA		FS.CSSYS PROT	2 5.	FS.CSSYS PROT.CMPG ASDET.O	192.736(a) (192.736(b))	Have adequate gas detection and alarm systems been installed in selected applicable compressor buildings?
56		Sat		IM.BA	1.	IM.BA.BAMETHODS.P	192.919(b) (192.921(a);192.921(c);192.921(h))	Does the process include requirements for specifying an assessment method(s) for each covered

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Results (Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							segment that is best suited for identifying anomalies associated with specific threats identified for the segment?
57	Sat		IM.BA	2.	IM.BA.BAMETHODS.R	192.947(c) (192.919(b);192.921(a);192.921(c);192.921(h))	Do records demonstrate that the assessment method(s) specified for each covered segment is best suited for identifying anomalies associated with specific threats identified for the segment?
58	Sat		IM.BA	3.	IM.BA.BASCHEDULE.P	192.917(c) (192.919(c);192.921(b))	Did the BAP process require a schedule for completing the assessment activities for all covered segments and consideration of applicable risk factors in the prioritization of the schedule?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row #	Assessments	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
59		Sat		IM.BA	4.	IM.BA.BASCHEDULE.R	192.947(c) (192.917(c);192.919(c);192.921(b))	Do records demonstrate that the BAP contains a schedule for completing the assessment activities for all covered segments that appropriately considered the applicable risk factors in the prioritization of the schedule as required by the process?
60		Sat		IM.BA	5.	IM.BA.BANEW.P	192.911(p) (192.905(c);192.921(f);192.921(g))	Does the process include requirements for updating the assessment plan for newly identified areas and newly installed pipe?
61		Sat		IM.BA	6.	IM.BA.BANEW.R	192.947(d) (192.905(c);192.911(p);192.921(f);192.921(g))	Do records demonstrate that the assessment plan has been adequately updated for new HCAs and newly installed pipe?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Results (Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
62		Sat	IM.BA	7	IM.BA.BAENVIRON.P	192.911(o) (192.919(e))	Does the process include requirements for conducting integrity assessments (baseline and reassessment) in a manner that minimizes environmental and safety risks?
63		Sat	IM.BA	8	IM.BA.BAENVIRON.R	192.947(d) (192.911(o);192.919(e))	Do records demonstrate that integrity assessments (baseline and reassessment) have been conducted in a manner that minimizes environmental and safety risks?
64		Sat	IM.CA	1	IM.CA.PERIODICEVAL.P	192.937(b) (192.917(a);192.917(b);192.917(c);192.917(d);192.917(e))	Does the process include requirements for a periodic evaluation of pipeline integrity based on data integration and risk assessment to identify the threats specific

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								to each covered segment and the risk represented by these threats?
65		Sat		IM.CA	2.	IM.CA.PERIODICEVAL.R	192.947(d) (192.917(a);192.917(b);192.917(c);192.917(d);192.917(e);192.937(b))	Do records demonstrate that periodic evaluations of pipeline integrity have been performed based on data integration and risk assessment to identify the threats specific to each covered segment and the risk represented by these threats?
66		Sat		IM.CA	3.	IM.CA.REASSESSMET.HOD.P	192.937(c) (192.931)	Is the approach for establishing reassessment method(s) consistent with the requirements in 192.937(c)?
67		Sat		IM.CA	4.	IM.CA.REASSESSMET.HOD.R	192.947(d) (192.937(c))	Do records document the assessment methods to be used and

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Results (Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							the rationale for selecting the appropriate assessment method?
68	NA		IM.CA	5.	IM.CA.LOWSTRESSREASSESS.P	192.941(a) (192.941(b);192.941(c))	Does the process include requirements for the "low stress reassessment" method to address threats of external and/or internal corrosion for pipelines operating below 30% SMYS?
69	NA		IM.CA	6.	IM.CA.LOWSTRESSREASSESS.R	192.947(d) (192.941(a);192.941(b);192.941(c))	Do records demonstrate that the implementation of "low stress reassessment" method to address threats of external and/or internal corrosion is adequate and being performed as required?
70	Sat		IM.CA	7.	IM.CA.REASSESSINTEGRAL.P	192.937(a) (192.939(a);192.939(b);192.913(c))	Is the process for establishing the reassessment

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								intervals consistent with 192.939 and ASME B31.8S-2004?
71		Sat		IM.CA	8.	IM.CA.REASSESSINTERVAL.R	192.947(d) (192.937(a);192.939(a);192.939(b);192.913(c))	Do records demonstrate that reassessment intervals were established consistent with the requirements of the operator's procedures?
72		Sat		IM.CA	9.	IM.CA.REASSESSWAIVER.P	192.943(a) (192.943(b))	Does the process include requirements for reassessment interval waivers?
73		NA		IM.CA	10.	IM.CA.REASSESSWAIVER.R	192.947(d) (192.943(a);192.943(b))	Do records demonstrate that reassessment interval waivers have been adequately implemented, if applicable?
74		NA		IM.CA	11.	IM.CA.REASSESS EXCEPTION.P	192.913(a) (192.913(b);192.913(c))	Does the process include requirements for deviations from reassessment requirements based on exception

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Ro	Ass	Res	(Not	Sub-	Q	Question ID	References	Question Text
ws	ets	ult	e 1)	Group	st			
					#			
75		NA		IM.CA	1	IM.CA.REASSESEX	192.947(d) (192.913(a);192.913(b);192.913(c))	al performance?
.					2.	PERF.R		Do records demonstrate that deviations from reassessment requirements are based on exceptional performance and have been adequately handled, if applicable?
76		Sat		IM.HC	1.	IM.HC.HCAID.P	192.905(a)	Does the process include the methods defined in 192.903 High Consequence Area (1) and/or 192.903 High Consequence Area (2) to be applied to each pipeline for the identification of high consequence areas?
77		Sat		IM.HC	2.	IM.HC.HCAID.R	192.947(d) (192.905(a);192.907(a);192.911(a))	Do records demonstrate that the identification of pipeline segments in high consequence areas
.								

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								was complete d by Decembe r 17, 2004 in accordan ce with process requirem ents?
78		Sat		IM.HC	3.	IM.HC.HCAPIR.P	192.903 (192.905(a))	Is the process for defining and applying potential impact radius (PIR) for establish ment of high conseque nce areas consisten t with the requirem ents of 192.903?
79		Sat		IM.HC	4.	IM.HC.HCAPIR.R	192.947(d) (192.903;192.905(a))	Do records demonstr ate the use of potential impact radius (PIR) for establish ment of high conseque nce areas consisten t with requirem ents of 192.903?
80		Sat		IM.HC	5.	IM.HC.HCASITES.P	192.903 (192.905(b))	Does the process for identificat ion of identified sites include the sources listed in 192.905(b) for those buildings

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Results (Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							or outside areas meeting the criteria specified by 192.903 and require the source(s) of information selected to be documented?
81		Sat	IM.HC	6.	IM.HC.HCASITES.R	192.947(d) (192.903;192.905(b))	Do records indicate identification of identified sites being performed as required?
82		NA	IM.HC	7.	IM.HC.HCAMETHOD1.P	192.903(1)(i) (192.903(1)(ii);192.903(1)(iii);192.903(1)(iv))	Is the integrity management process adequate for application of 192.903 High Consequence Area definition (1) for identification of HCAs?
83		NA	IM.HC	8.	IM.HC.HCAMETHOD1.R	192.947(d) (192.903(1)(i);192.903(1)(ii);192.903(1)(iii);192.903(1)(iv))	Do records demonstrate that application of the 192.903 High Consequence Area definition (1) for the identification of HCAs was

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Ass	Res	(Not	Sub-	Q	Question ID	References	Question Text
o	ets	ult	e 1)	Group	st			
84	Sat			IM.HC	9.	IM.HC.HCAMETHOD2.P	192.903(2)(i) (192.903(2)(ii))	adequate ?
84	Sat			IM.HC	9.	IM.HC.HCAMETHOD2.P	192.903(2)(i) (192.903(2)(ii))	Is the integrity management process adequate for application of 192.903 High Consequence Area definition (2) for identification of HCAs?
85	Sat			IM.HC	10.	IM.HC.HCAMETHOD2.R	192.947(d) (192.905(a);192.903(2)(ii))	Do records demonstrate that the application of 192.903 High Consequence Area definition (2) for identification of HCAs was adequate ?
86	Sat			IM.HC	11.	IM.HC.HCANEW.P	192.905(c)	Does the process include a requirement for evaluation of newly identified areas that may show that a pipeline segment impacts a high consequence area?
87	Sat			IM.HC	12.	IM.HC.HCANEW.R	192.947(d) (192.905(c))	Do records demonstrate that evaluations of newly identified areas

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								that may show that a pipeline segment impacts a high consequence area are being performed as required?
88		Sat		IM.PM	1.	IM.PM.PMMGENERAL.P	192.935(a)	Does the process include requirements to identify additional measures to prevent a pipeline failure and to mitigate the consequences of a pipeline failure in a high consequence area?
89		Sat		IM.PM	2.	IM.PM.PMMGENERAL.R	192.947(d) (192.935(a))	Do records demonstrate that additional measures have been identified and implemented (or scheduled) beyond those already required by Part 192 to prevent a pipeline failure and to mitigate the consequences of a pipeline failure in an HCA?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
90		Sat		IM.PM	3.	IM.PM.PMMTPD.P	192.917(e)(1) (192.935(b)(1);192.935(e))	Does the preventive and mitigative measure process include requirements that threats due to third party damage be addressed?
91		Sat		IM.PM	4.	IM.PM.PMMTPD.R	192.947(d) (192.917(e)(1);192.935(b)(1);192.935(e))	Do records demonstrate that preventive & mitigative measures have been implemented regarding threats due to third party damage as required by the process?
92		Sat		IM.PM	7.	IM.PM.PMMTPDSMYS. P	192.935(d) (192.935(e);192 Table E.II.1)	Does the process include requirements for preventive and mitigative requirements for pipelines operating below 30% SMYS?
93		NA		IM.PM	8.	IM.PM.PMMTPDSMYS. R	192.947(d) (192.935(d);192.935(e);192 Table E.II.1)	Do records demonstrate that preventive and mitigative

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								requirements for pipelines operating below 30% SMYS are being performed as required?
94		Sat		IM.PM	9.	IM.PM.PMMOF.P	192.935(b)(2)	Does the process adequately address significant threats due to outside force (e.g., earth movement, floods, unstable suspension bridge)?
95		NA		IM.PM	10.	IM.PM.PMMOF.R	192.947(d) (192.935(b)(2))	Do records demonstrate that significant threats due to outside force (e.g., earth movement, floods, unstable suspension bridge) are being adequately addressed?
96		Sat		IM.PM	11.	IM.PM.PMMCORR.P	192.917(e)(5)	Does the process adequately account for taking required actions to address significant corrosion threats?
97		Sat		IM.PM	12.	IM.PM.PMMCORR.R	192.947(b) (192.917(e)(5))	Do records demonstrate that

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Results (Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							required actions are being taken to address significant corrosion threats as required?
98.	Sat		IM.PM	13.	IM.PM.PMMASORCV.P	192.935(c)	Does the process include requirements to decide if automatic shut-off valves or remote control valves represent an efficient means of adding protection to potentially affected high consequence areas?
99.	Sat		IM.PM	14.	IM.PM.PMMASORCV.R	192.947(d) (192.935(c))	Do records demonstrate that the operator has determined, based on risk, whether automatic shut-off valves or remote control valves should be added to protect high consequence areas?
100.	Sat		IM.QA	1.	IM.QA.QARM.P	192.911(l)	Are quality assurance

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								processes in place for risk management applications that meet the requirements of ASME B31.8S-2004, Section 12?
101.		Concern		IM.QA	2.	IM.QA.QARM.R	192.947(d) (192.911(l))	Do records demonstrate that the quality assurance process for risk management applications is being completed as required by ASME B31.8S, Section 12?
102.		Sat		IM.QA	3.	IM.QA.IMPERSONNEL.P	192.915(a) (192.915(b));192.915(c))	Does the process include requirements to assure personnel involved in the integrity management program are qualified for their assigned responsibilities?
103.		Sat		IM.QA	4.	IM.QA.IMPERSONNEL.R	192.947(e) (192.915(a);192.915(b);192.915(c))	Do records demonstrate that personnel involved in the integrity management

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								program are qualified for their assigned responsibilities?
104.		Sat		IM.QA	5.	IM.QA.IMNONMANDT.P	192.7(a)	Does the process include requirements that non-mandatorily requirements (e.g., "should" statements) from industry standards or other documents invoked by Subpart O (e.g., ASME B31.8S-2004 and NACE SP0502-2008) be addressed by an appropriate approach?
105.		Sat		IM.QA	6.	IM.QA.IMMOC.P	192.911(k) (192.909(a);192.909(b))	Is the process for management of changes that may impact pipeline integrity adequate?
106.		Sat		IM.QA	7.	IM.QA.IMMOC.R	192.947(d) (192.909(a);192.909(b);192.911(k))	Do records demonstrate that changes that may impact pipeline integrity are being managed

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								as required?
107.		Sat		IM.QA	8.	IM.QA.IMPERFECTIVE.P	192.945(a) (192.913(b);192.951)	Does the process for measuring IM program effectiveness include the elements necessary to conduct a meaningful evaluation?
108.		Concern		IM.QA	9.	IM.QA.IMPERFMETRIC.P	192.945(a) (192.913(b);192.951)	Does the process to evaluate IM program effectiveness include an adequate set of performance metrics to provide meaningful insight into IM program performance?
109.		Unsat		IM.QA	10.	IM.QA.IMPERFECTIVE.R	192.947(d) (192.913(b);192.945(a);192.951)	Do records demonstrate that the methods to measure Integrity Management Program effectiveness provide effective evaluation of program performance and result in

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								program improve ments where necessar y?
11 0.		Uns at		IM.QA	1	IM.QA.IMPERFMETRIC 1..R	192.947(d) (192.913(b);192.945(a);192.951)	Do records demonstr ate that performa nce metrics are providing meaningf ul insight into integrity manage ment program performa nce?
11 1.		Uns at		IM.QA	1 2.	IM.QA.RECORDS.P	192.947(a) (192.947(b);192.947(c);192.947(d);192.947(e);192.947(f);19 2.947(g);192.947(h);192.947(i);192.911(n))	Is the process adequate to assure that required records are maintain ed for the useful life of the pipeline?
11 2.		Sat		IM.QA	1 3.	IM.QA.RECORDS.R	192.947(a) (192.947(b);192.947(c);192.947(d);192.947(e);192.947(f);19 2.947(g);192.947(h);192.947(i))	Are required records being maintain ed for the useful life of the pipeline?
11 3.		Sat		IM.RA	1.	IM.RA.THREATID.P	192.917(a) (192.917(e);192.913(b)(1))	Does the process include requirem ents to identify and evaluate all potential threats to each covered pipeline segment?
11 4.		Sat		IM.RA	2.	IM.RA.THREATID.R	192.947(b) (192.917(a);192.917(e);192.913(b)(1))	Do records demonstr ate that

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Results	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								all potential threats to each covered pipeline segment have been identified and evaluated?
115.		Sat		IM.RA	3.	IM.RA.RADATA.P	192.917(b) (192.917(e)(1);192.911(k))	Does the process include requirements to gather and integrate existing data and information on the entire pipeline that could be relevant to covered segments?
116.		Sat		IM.RA	4.	IM.RA.RADATA.R	192.947(b) (192.917(b);192.917(e)(1);192.911(k))	Do records demonstrate that existing data and information on the entire pipeline that could be relevant to covered segments being adequately gathered and integrated?
117.		Sat		IM.RA	5.	IM.RA.RAMETHOD.P	192.917(c) (192.917(d))	Does the process include requirements for a risk assessment that

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								follows ASME B31.8S-2004, Section 5, and that considers the identified threats for each covered segment?
118.		Sat		IM.RA	7.	IM.RA.RAFACTORS.P	192.917(c)	Does the process include requirements that factors that could affect the likelihood of a release, and factors that could affect the consequences of potential releases, be accounted for and combined in an appropriate manner to produce a risk value for each pipeline segment?
119.		Sat		IM.RA	8.	IM.RA.RAFACTORS.R	192.947(b) (192.917(c))	Do records demonstrate that risk analysis data is combined in an appropriate manner to produce a risk value for

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
120.		Sat		IM.RA	9.	IM.RA.RAMOC.P	192.917(c)	each pipeline segment? Does the process provide for revisions to the risk assessment if new information is obtained or conditions change on the pipeline segments?
121.		Sat		IM.RA	10.	IM.RA.RAMOC.R	192.947(b) (192.917(c))	Was the risk assessment revised as necessary as new information is obtained or conditions change on the pipeline segments?
122.		NA		MO.GOABNORMAL	2.	MO.GOABNORMAL.ABNORMAL.R	192.605(a) (192.605(c)(1))	Did personnel respond to indications of abnormalities as required by procedures?
123.		Sat	(2)	MO.GOCLASS	7.	MO.GO.CONTSURVEILLANCE.R	192.709(c) (192.613(a);192.613(b);192.703(b);192.703(c))	Do records indicate performance of continuing surveillance of facilities as required,

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								and also the reconditioning, phasing out, or MAOP reduction in any pipeline segment that was determined to be in unsatisfactory condition but on which no immediate hazard existed?
12 4.		Sat		MO.GOMA OP	1.	MO.GOMAOP.MAOPDE TERMINE.P	192.605(b)(1) (192.619(a);192.619(b))	Does the process include procedures for determining the maximum allowable operating pressure for a pipeline segment in accordance with 192.619?
12 5.		Sat		MO.GOMA OP	2.	MO.GOMAOP.MAOPDE TERMINE.R	192.709(c) (192.619(a);192.619(b))	Do records indicate determination of the MAOP of pipeline segments in accordance with 192.619 and limiting of the operating pressure as required?
12 6.		Sat		MO.GOMA OP	3.	MO.GOMAOP.MAOPLI MIT.P	192.605(a) (192.605(b)(5))	Does the process include

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								procedures for starting up and shutting down any part of the pipeline in a manner to assure operation with the MAOP limits, plus the build-up allowed for operation of pressure-limiting and control devices?
127.		Sat		MO.GOM AOP	4.	MO.GOM AOP.MAOP LIT.R	192.603(b) (192.605(b)(5))	Do records indicate operation within MAOP limits, plus the build-up allowed for operation of pressure-limiting and control devices, was assured while starting up and shutting down any part of the pipeline?
128.		Sat		MO.GM	1	MO.GM.V ALVEIN SPEC 1.T.R	192.709(c) (192.745(a);192.745(b))	Do records indicate proper inspection and partial operation of transmission line

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								valves that may be required during an emergency as required and prompt remedial actions taken if necessary?
129.		NC		MO.GM	1 2.	MO.GM.VALVEINSPEC T.O	192.745(a) (192.745(b))	Are field inspection and partial operation of transmission line valves adequate?
130.		Sat	(2)	MO.GO	2.	MO.GO.CONTSURVEIL LANCE.R	192.709(c) (192.613(a);192.613(b);192.703(b);192.703(c))	Do records indicate performance of continuing surveillance of facilities as required, and also the reconditioning, phasing out, or MAOP reduction in any pipeline segment that was determined to be in unsatisfactory condition but on which no immediate hazard existed?
131.		Sat		MO.GMOPP	4.	MO.GMOPP.PRESSRE GTEST.R	192.709(c) (192.739(a);192.739(b))	Do records indicate inspectio

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Assessments	Results (Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							n and testing of pressure limiting, relief devices, and pressure regulating stations as required and at the specified intervals?
132.	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a);192.705(b);192.705(c))	Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
133.	NC	(2)	MO.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
134.	Sat		MO.RW	7.	MO.RW.LEAKAGE.R	192.709(c) (192.706;192.706(a);192.706(b))	Do records indicate leakage surveys conducted as required?
135.	Sat		PD.OC	7.	PD.OC.PDPROGRAM.R	192.614(c)	Does the damage prevention program meet minimum requirements specified in 192.614(c)?
136.	Sat	(2)	PD.PA	11.	EP.ERG.LIAISON.R	192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1);192.615(c)(2);192.615(c)(3);192.615(c)(4);192.616(c);ADB-05-03)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

Row	Ass	Res	(Not	Sub-	Q	Question ID	References	Question Text
Number	ets	ult	e 1)	Group	st			
					#			
								maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
137.	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a);192.705(b);192.705(c))		Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
138.	NC	(2)	PD.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))		Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
139.	Sat		TD.ATM	4.	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.R	192.491(c) (192.481(a);192.481(b);192.481(c))		Do records document inspection of aboveground pipe for atmospheric corrosion?
140.	NC		TD.ATM	5.	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.O	192.481(b) (192.481(c);192.479(a);192.479(b);192.479(c))		Is pipe that is exposed to atmospheric corrosion protected?
141.	Sat		TD.CPMONITOR	3.	TD.CPMONITOR.MONITORCRITERIA.O	192.463(a)		Are methods used for taking CP monitoring readings that allow for the application of appropriate CP

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								monitoring criteria?
142.		Sat		TD.CP MON ITOR	5.	TD.CP MON ITOR. TEST. R	192.491(c) (192.465(a))	Do records adequately document cathodic protection monitoring tests have occurred as required?
143.		Sat		TD.CP MON ITOR	7.	TD.CP MON ITOR. CURR ENTTEST. R	192.491(c) (192.465(b))	Do records document details of electrical checks of sources of rectifiers or other impressed current sources?
144.		Sat		TD.CP MON ITOR	13.	TD.CP MON ITOR. DEFI CIENCY. R	192.491(c) (192.465(d))	Do records adequately document actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?
145.		Sat		TD.CP MON ITOR	18.	TD.CP MON ITOR. INTF RCURRENT. R	192.491(c) (192.473(a))	Do records document that the operator has minimized the detrimental effects of stray currents when found?
146.		Sat		TD.CP MON ITOR	19.	TD.CP MON ITOR. INTF RCURRENT. O	192.473(a)	Are areas of potential stray current identified

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								, and if found, the detrimental effects of stray currents minimized?
147.		Sat		TD.COAT	1 2.	TD.COAT.EXPOSEIN PECT.R	192.491(c) (192.459)	Do records show that exposed buried pipe coating was inspected to determine if it is deteriorating?
148.		Sat		TD.ICP	2.	TD.ICP.EXAMINE.R	192.491(c) (192.475(a);192.475(b))	Do records document examination of removed pipe for evidence of internal corrosion?
149.		NA		TD.ICCG	2.	TD.ICCG.CORRGAS.R	192.491(c) (192.475(a))	Do records document if corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline, including the investigation of the corrosive effect of the gas on the pipeline and steps that have been taken to minimize internal corrosion?
150.		Sat		TQ.OQ	1 5.	TQ.OQ.RECORDS.R	192.807	Do records

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK

R o w	Ass ets	Res ult	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Questio n Text
								document the evaluation and qualifications of individuals performing covered tasks, and can the qualification of individuals performing covered tasks be verified?
15 1.		Sat		TQ.TR	2.	TQ.TR.TRAINING.R	192.615(b)(2) (192.807(a);192.807(b))	Is training for emergency response personnel documented?

1. Result is repeated (N) times in this report due to re-presentation of the question in multiple sub-groups.

Report Parameters: All non-empty Results

Acceptable Use: Inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.