

Inspection Results (IRR)

Generated on 2017.9.01 11:39

- BP Cherry Point (183)
- Intrastate Laterals (169)

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result (Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
1.	BP Cherry Point	NA	AR.EC	1.	AR.EC.ECDAPLAN.P	195.588(b)(1) (195.588(b)(2);195.588(b)(3);195.588(b)(4);195.588(b)(5))	Has an ECDA plan and processes been prepared for conducting ECDA?
2.	BP Cherry Point	NA	AR.EC	2.	AR.EC.ECDAPREASSES.S.R	195.589(c) (195.588(b)(2))	Do records indicate that the ECDA pre-assessment process complies with NACE SP0502 Section 3 and 195.588?
3.	BP Cherry Point	NA	AR.EC	3.	AR.EC.ECDAINDIRECT.R	195.589(c) (195.588(b)(3))	Do records indicate that the ECDA indirect examination process complies with NACE SP0502 Section 4 and 195.588?
4.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NC	AR.EC	4.	AR.EC.ECDAINDIRECT.O	195.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))	Was the indirect examination performed in accordance with the operator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)?
5.	BP Cherry Point	NA	AR.EC	5.	AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.R	195.589(c) (195.588(b)(4))	Do records indicate that excavations and data collection

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result (Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
							were performed in accordance with NACE SP0502, Sections 5 and 6.4.2?
6.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NC	AR.EC	6.	AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O	195.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))	Was the direct examination performed in accordance with requirements?
7.	BP Cherry Point	NA	AR.EC	7.	AR.EC.ECDAPOSTASSESS.R	195.589(c) (195.588(b)(5);195.452(j)(3);195.452(j)(4))	Do records indicate that requirements were met for post assessment?
8.	BP Cherry Point	NA	AR.EC	8.	AR.EC.ECDAPLANMOC.R	195.588(c) (195.588(b)(4)(iii))	Do records indicate that the operator established and implemented criteria and internal notification procedures for any changes in the ECDA Plan?
9.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat	AR.IA	1.	AR.IA.METHOD.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(j)(5))	Do processes specify the assessment methods that are appropriate for the pipeline specific integrity threats?
10	BP Cherry Point	Sat	AR.IA	2.	AR.IA.METHOD.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(5);195.452(j)(5))	Are the assessment methods shown in the baseline and/or continual assessment plan appropriate for the

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								pipeline specific integrity threats?
11	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IA	3.	AR.IA.ASSESSCHEDULE.P	195.452(f)(2) (195.452(c);195.452(d);195.452(e))	Does the continual assessment plan include a prioritized schedule in accordance with 195.452 (d) that is based on the risk factors required by 195.452(e) ?
12	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IA	4.	AR.IA.ASSESSCHEDULE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(b)(5);195.452(c);195.452(d);195.452(f)(2);195.452(f)(5);195.452(j)(5))	Does a review of records indicate that continual assessments are implemented as specified in the plan?
13	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.IA	5.	AR.IA.ILIDELAY.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(j)(1))	Do records demonstrate that the performance of integrity assessments had been delayed such that a schedule or required timeframes was exceeded?
14	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	1.	AR.IL.ILIREVIEWQUAL.P	195.452(f)(8) (195.452(g))	Does the process specify qualification requirements for personnel who review and evaluate ILI assessment results and information analysis?
15	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	2.	AR.IL.ILIREVIEWQUAL.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(8);195.452(g))	Does the process demonstrate

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							that personnel who review and evaluate ILI assessment results and information analysis are qualified?
16	BP Cherr .y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	3.	AR.IL.ILIIMPLPERQUAL .P	195.505(b)	Does the process identify the qualification requiremen ts for personnel who perform ILI covered tasks?
17	BP Cherr .y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	4.	AR.IL.ILIIMPLPERQUAL .R	195.505(b)	Do records demonstrat e that personnel who perform ILI covered tasks are qualified?
18	BP Cherr .y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	5.	AR.IL.ILISPECS.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(h))	Do processes assure complete and adequate vendor ILI specificatio ns?
19	BP Cherr .y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	6.	AR.IL.ILIVALIDATE.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(b)(6))	Does the process provide for validating ILI results?
20	BP Cherr .y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	7.	AR.IL.ILIVALIDATE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(b)(6))	Does a review of records for validating ILI assessment results demonstrat e that the process was implemente d appropriatel y?
21	BP Cherr .y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	8.	AR.IL.ILIINTEGRATION .P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Is the process for integrating ILI results with other

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								information adequate?
22	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	9.	AR.IL.ILIINTEGRATION.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(g))	Did the operator integrate other data/information when evaluating tool data/results in the records reviewed?
23	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	10.	AR.IL.ILIACCEPCRITERIA.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(i))	Were survey acceptance criteria defined to ensure a successful ILI tool run?
24	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	11.	AR.IL.ILIACCEPCRITERIA.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(c)(1)(i)(A))	Do records demonstrate that the selected ILI tool run met the defined ILI tool run acceptance criteria?
25	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	12.	AR.IL.ILIANALYSIS.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(h)(1);195.452(g))	Do records demonstrate that the ILI data and other information were adequate to identify anomalies requiring remediation?
26	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	13.	AR.IL.ILISTANDARD.P	195.452(b)(6)	Does the process incorporate recognized industry practices, or an acceptable alternative method, in performing ILI integrity assessments?
27	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	14.	AR.IL.ILISTANDARD.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(b)(6))	Do records demonstrate that

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							recognized industry practices, or an acceptable alternative method, have been incorporated in performing ILI integrity assessments?
28	BP Cherry Point	NC		AR.IL	15.	AR.IL.ILIIMPLEMENT.O	195.452(b)(5)	Have the ILI procedures been followed?
29	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.PTI	1.	AR.PTI.PRESSTESTACC EP.P	195.402(a) (195.304;195.305;195.306;195.307;195.308)	Were pressure test acceptance criteria and process adequate?
30	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.PTI	2.	AR.PTI.PRESSTESTCOR R.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g)(3))	Does the process require that the effectiveness of the corrosion control program be evaluated when using pressure testing as an integrity assessment?
31	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.PTI	3.	AR.PTI.PRESSTESTCOR R.R	195.589(c) (195.452(f)(3);195.452(g)(3))	From the review of corrosion control records, was the corrosion control program proven to be effective when pressure testing was the integrity assessment method used?
32	BP Cherry Point (and 1	NA		AR.PTI	4.	AR.PTI.PRESSTESTRES ULT.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(2);195.452(f)(5);195.452(c))	From the review of the results

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							of pressure tests, do the test records validate the pressure test?
33	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.PTI	5.	AR.PTI.PRESSTESTRESULT.O	195.452(j)(5)(ii) (195.452(c)(1)(i)(b))	Was the pressure test conducted in accordance with procedures?
34	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	1.	AR.OT.OTPLAN.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(c)(1)(i)(D);195.452(j)(5)(iv);195.452(h)(4))	If "Other Technologies" are used, has a process been developed?
35	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	2.	AR.OT.OTPLAN.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(j)(5)(iv);195.452(f)(5))	From the review of selected integrity assessments results, do records show the assessments were performed in accordance with procedures and vendor recommendations?
36	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	3.	AR.OT.OTPLAN.O	195.452(j)(5)(iv)	Has the process for the use of "Other Technology" been followed?
37	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	4.	AR.OT.OTDEFECTCAT.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(4);195.452(h)(2))	From the review of the results of selected integrity assessments, were defects identified and categorized within 180 days or other applicable timeframe?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
38	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	5.	AR.OT.ASSESSMENTREVIEW.P	195.452(f)(8) (195.452(j)(5))	Does the process specify qualification requirements for personnel who review the results of an integrity assessment and information analysis using Other Technology?
39	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	6.	AR.OT.ASSESSMENTREVIEW.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(8))	From the review of the results of selected integrity assessments, were personnel who review the results of an integrity assessment and information analysis using Other Technology qualified?
40	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RC	1.	AR.RC.INTEGRATION.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Does the process require the evaluation of all available information about the integrity of the entire pipeline and the consequences of failure when evaluating the integrity of each pipeline segment that can affect an HCA?
41	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RC	2.	AR.RC.INTEGRATION.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(g))	From the review of the results

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							of ILI and remediation projects, were all available information considered about the integrity of the entire pipeline and consequences of failure when evaluating the integrity of each pipeline segment that can affect an HCA?
42	BP Cherry Point	NC		AR.RC	4.	AR.RC.REMEDIATION.O	195.452(h) (195.402(a);195.402(c)(14);195.422(a);195.569;195.589(c))	Are anomaly remediation and documentation of remediation adequate?
43	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	1.	AR.RCHCA.DISCOVERY.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(h)(2))	Does the integrity assessment process properly define discovery and the required time frame for anomalies in a pipeline segment that can affect an HCA?
44	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	2.	AR.RCHCA.DISCOVERY.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(h)(2))	From the review of the results of selected ILI and remediation projects, did discovery of all anomalies occur promptly, but no later than 180 days of

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								completion of the assessment?
45	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	3.	AR.RCHCA.IMPRC.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(h)(4))	Does process have criteria for remedial action to address integrity issues raised by the assessment methods and information analysis?
46	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	4.	AR.RCHCA.IMPRC.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(4))	Do records demonstrate that prompt action is taken to address all anomalous conditions discovered through the integrity assessment or information analysis?
47	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NC		AR.RCHCA	5.	AR.RCHCA.IMPRC.O	195.452(h)(4)	From an observation of a repair, was it remediated in accordance with its categorized repair schedule?
48	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	6.	AR.RCHCA.IMREPAIR.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(h)(1))	Does the process require that repairs be performed in a manner to ensure the integrity of the pipeline until the next scheduled assessment?
49	BP Cherry Point	Sat		AR.RCHCA	7.	AR.RCHCA.DEFECTCAT.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(h)(4))	From the review of

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	(and 1 other asset)							the results of integrity assessment s, were there any defects that were not properly categorized ?
50	BP Cherr .y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	8.	AR.RCHCA.PRESSREDU CE.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.428;195.452(h)(1)(i);195.452(h)(1)(ii))	Does the process for pressure reduction meet the code requiremen ts?
51	BP Cherr .y Point	NA		AR.RCHCA	9.	AR.RCHCA.PRESSREDU CE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.404(a);195.404 (b);195.452(h)(1)(ii);195.452(h)(4)(i);195.55(a))	From the review of the results of ILI and remediation projects, was an acceptable pressure reduction promptly taken for each Immediate Repair condition or when a repair schedule could not be met?
52	BP Cherr .y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	10.	AR.RCHCA.IMSCHEDUL E.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452.(h)(3))	Do records demonstrat e that the operator has met the schedule for remediating a condition in accordance with 195.452(h) (3)?
53	BP Cherr .y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	11.	AR.RCHCA.SCHEDULEI MPL.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(h)(4))	From the review of the results of remediation projects, were defects in segments that could affect an

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								HCA remediated or dispositioned within the applicable mandatory time limits of 195.452(h)(4)?
54	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	1.	AR.RMP.SAFETY.P	195.402(c)(14) (195.422(a))	Does the process ensure that repairs are made in a safe manner and are made so as to prevent damage to persons and property?
55	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NC		AR.RMP	2.	AR.RMP.SAFETY.O	195.422(a) (195.402(c)(14))	Are repairs made in a safe manner and to prevent injury to persons and/or property damage?
56	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	3.	AR.RMP.METHOD.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.452(h)(1);195.585)	Does the process identify permissible repair methods for each type of defect?
57	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	4.	AR.RMP.METHOD.R	195.404(c)(1) (195.422(a);195.422(b);195.452(h)(1);195.401(b)(1);195.401(b)(2))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects, were all repairs performed in accordance with procedures and applicable sections of 49 CFR Part 195?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
58	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	5.	AR.RMP.REPAIRQUAL.R	195.505(b) (195.507(a);195.505(c);195.452(h)(1))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects, were personnel performing repairs, other than welding, qualified for the task they performed?
59	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	6.	AR.RMP.PIPECONDITION.R	195.404(c)(1) (195.404(c)(2);195.452(l)(2))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects and/or field observation, do repair records document all information needed to understand the conditions of the pipe and its environment and provide the information needed to support the Integrity Management risk model?
60	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.RMP	7.	AR.RMP.REPLACESTD.R	195.404(a)(1) (195.422(b);195.452(l)(2))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects and/or field observation, were components that were replaced constructed to the same

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
61	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.RMP	8.	AR.RMP.PIPEMOVE.R	195.424(a) (195.424(b);195.424(c))	or higher standards as the original component ?
62	BP Cherry Point	NA		AR.RMP	9.	AR.RMP.WELDERQUAL.R	195.214(a) (195.214(b);195.222(a);195.222(b);195.452(h)(1))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects, were repairs requiring welding performed by qualified welders using qualified welding procedures?
63	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.RMP	10.	AR.RMP.WELDQUAL.R	195.226(a) (195.226(b);195.226(c);195.230(a);195.230(b);195.230(c);195.452(h)(1))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects, were defects on new welds repaired in accordance with 195.226 or 195.230?
64	BP Cherry Point	NA		AR.RMP	11.	AR.RMP.WELDINSPECT.R	195.228(a) (195.228(b);195.234(a);195.234(b);195.234(c);195.234(d);195.234(e);195.452(h)(1))	From the review of the results of remediation projects, were new welds inspected and examined

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								in accordance with 195.228 or 195.234?
65	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	1 2.	AR.RMP.CRACKREMEDICATION.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	If cracks, SCC, or crack like features to be a threat on pipeline segments, have criteria been developed for remedial actions to address integrity issues raised by the assessment method?
66	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.RMP	1 3.	AR.RMP.CRACKREMEDICATION.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(3);195.452(h)(4)(iii)(G))	If the IM risk assessment and integrity assessments found cracks, SCC, or crack like features to be a threat on pipeline segments, have remedial actions been taken to address integrity issues when assessment criteria have been exceeded?
67	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	1 4.	AR.RMP.CRACKNDE.P	195.452(f)(4)	Does the process require that when a pipeline segment that meets the conditions for cracks, SCC, or crack like features is exposed an

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								NDE method must be employed to evaluate for cracking?
68	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	15.	AR.RMP.CRACKNDE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(4);195.404(c))	Do records indicate that when a pipeline segment that meets the conditions for cracks, SCC, or crack like features were exposed an NDE method was employed to evaluate for cracking?
69	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NC		AR.RMP	16.	AR.RMP.CRACKNDE.O	195.452(h)(1)	From an observation at an excavation site with suspected cracks, SCC, or crack like features, did the operator's personnel perform an NDE of the segment?
70	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	EP.EPO	1.	EP.EPO.OPASUBMITTAL.R	194.101(a) (194.101(b);194.119(e))	If the operator is required to have a Facility Response Plan, does the current plan submitted and approved by PHMSA cover all the required pipeline assets?
71	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NC		EP.EPO	2.	EP.EPO.OPALOCATION.O	194.111(a) (194.111(b))	Is the response plan

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							maintained at required locations?
72	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	3.	EP.EPO.OPATRaining.R	194.117(b)	Is training for all emergency response personnel documented?
73	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	EP.EPO	4.	EP.EPO.OPAREVIEW.R	194.121(a) (194.121(b);194.5)	Do records indicate the response plan has been adequately reviewed, updated, and submitted on the required frequency?
74	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	5.	EP.EPO.OPADRILL.R	194.107(c)(1)(ix) (National Preparedness for Response Exercise Program (PREP) Guidelines, Section 5 (August 2002))	Do records indicate the drill program follows the National Preparedness for Response Exercise Program (PREP) guidelines?
75	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	6.	EP.EPO.OPAWRSTDISC HRG.R	194.105(a) (194.105(b))	Do records demonstrate that the worst case discharge for each response zone was adequately determined?
76	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	7.	EP.EPO.OPAWRSTDISC HRGRSP.R	194.107(a) (194.115(a);104.115(b);194.121(b);194.5)	Do records indicate adequate response capabilities are in place for the worst case discharge of each response zone?
77	BP Cherry Point (and 1	NC		EP.EPO	8.	EP.EPO.OPALOCATE.O	194.111(a) (194.111(b))	Are relevant parts of the plan being

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							maintained in the operator's headquarte rs and other locations from which response activities may be conducted?
78	BP Cherr y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	9.	EP.EPO.OPATRIN.R	194.117(a) (194.117(b);194.117(c))	Do records indicate that the operator conducted the appropriate training?
79	BP Cherr y Point (and 1 other asset)	NC		EP.EPO	1 0.	EP.EPO.OPAQUALINDI V.O	194.113(b)(4) (194.5)	Are the Qualified Individuals listed in Facility Response Plan current and are their phone numbers accurate?
80	BP Cherr y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	1 1.	EP.EPO.OPAOILTYPE.R	194.113(b)(6) (194.121(b)(3))	Are the types of oil transported described in the plan accurate?
81	BP Cherr y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	1 2.	EP.EPO.OPAEQUIPTTEST .R	194.107(c)(viii)	Do records indicate response equipment is properly tested?
82	BP Cherr y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	EP.ERL	9.	EP.ERL.LIAISON.R	195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12);195.440(c);API RP 1162 Section 4.4)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
83	BP Cherr y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.ETR	2.	EP.ETR.TRAINING.R	195.403(a)	Do records indicate the operator provided training to its

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								emergency response personnel as required?
84	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		FS.TSAPIINSPECT	2.	FS.TSAPIINSPECT.BOINSPECTION.R	195.404(c)(3) (195.432(a))	Do records document that breakout tanks that are not steel atmospheric or low pressure tanks or HVL steel tanks built according to API 2510 have been inspected at the proper interval and that deficiencies found during inspections have been corrected?
85	BP Cherry Point	NA		FS.TSAPIINSPECT	10.	FS.TSAPIINSPECT.BOINTINSP.R	195.404(c)(3) (195.432(b))	Do records document that steel atmospheric or low pressure breakout tanks have received formal internal inspections at the required intervals and that deficiencies found during inspections have been documented?
86	BP Cherry Point	NC	(2)	FS.TSAPIINSPECT	15.	FS.TS.BOINSPECTION.O	195.432(a) (195.432(b);195.432(c);195.401(b))	Is the condition of steel atmospheric or low pressure tanks acceptable?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
87	BP Cherry Point	NA		FS.TS	7.	FS.TS.OVERFILLBO.R	195.404(c)(3) (195.428(a);195.428(c);195.428(d))	Do records document the inspection and testing of overfill protection devices on aboveground breakout tanks at the required interval? [Note: This question applies to both non-HVL and HVL pressure breakout tanks.]
88	BP Cherry Point	NC	(2)	FS.TS	9.	FS.TS.BOINSPECTION.O	195.432(a) (195.432(b);195.432(c);195.401(b))	Is the condition of steel atmospheric or low pressure tanks acceptable?
89	BP Cherry Point	NC		FS.VA	8.	FS.VA.VALVEPROTECT.O	195.420(c)	Are valves protected from unauthorized operation and vandalism?
90	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	1.	IM.CA.PERIODICEVAL.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(e);195.452(j)(1);195.452(j)(2);195.452(g))	Does the process include adequate provisions for performing periodic evaluations of pipeline integrity?
91	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	2.	IM.CA.PERIODICEVAL.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(5);195.452(e);195.452(j)(1);195.452(j)(2);195.452(g))	Do records indicate that periodic evaluations of pipeline integrity are performed on a technically justified frequency?
92	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	3.	IM.CA.ASSESSINTERVAL.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(e);195.452(g))	Did the operator's plan

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							identify all of the risk factors that reflect the conditions on the pipeline segment to establish an assessment schedule?
93	BP Cherr y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	4.	IM.CA.ASSESSINTERV AL.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(5);195.452(e);195.452(j)(1);195.452(j)(3))	Are assessment intervals consistent with the risks identified for the pipeline and the results of previous assessment s?
94	BP Cherr y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	5.	IM.CA.ASSESSMETHO D.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(j)(5);195.452(g))	Does process specify the assessment methods that are appropriate for the pipeline specific integrity threats?
95	BP Cherr y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	6.	IM.CA.ASSESSMETHO D.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(5);195.452(j)(5))	Are assessment methods shown in the assessment plan appropriate for the pipeline specific integrity threats?
96	BP Cherr y Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	7.	IM.CA.ASSESSNOTIFY. P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(j)(4);195.452(m))	Does the process include adequate methodolog y for submitting variance notifications to PHMSA for assessment intervals longer than the 5-year

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								maximum assessment interval and unavailable technology?
97	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		IM.CA	8.	IM.CA.ASSESSNOTIFY.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(5);195.452(m);195.452(j)(4))	Have variance notifications been submitted to PHMSA for assessment intervals longer than the 5-year maximum assessment interval and unavailable technology?
98	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	1.	IM.HC.HCADEFINITION.P	195.450 (195.6)	Does the operator's plan include all of the definitions of an HCA?
99	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	2.	IM.HC.HCALLOCATION.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a);195.452(d)(3))	Does the process that requires identification of HCA-affecting segments include steps to identify, document, and maintain up-to-date geographic locations and boundaries of HCAs using the NPMS and other information sources as necessary?
100	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	3.	IM.HC.HCALLOCATION.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(a);195.452(b)(2);195.452(d)(3))	Do records show that locations and boundaries of HCA-affecting segments are correctly identified

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
101	BP Cherry Point	NC		IM.HC	4.	IM.HC.HCALLOCATION.O	195.452(b)(5) (195.452(a);195.452(b)(2);195.453(f)(1))	and maintained up-to-date? Are locations and boundaries of segments that can affect HCAs correctly identified and maintained up-to-date?
102	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	5.	IM.HC.HCAIDENT.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	Is the process adequate to determine all locations where pipeline systems "could affect" a high consequence area, including pipelines that are located in HCAs?
103	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	6.	IM.HC.HCAIDENT.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.6(a);195.6(b);195.6(c);195.450;195.452(a))	Do records indicate that all locations where a pipeline system is located in an HCA are determined and, if any exceptions for segments that directly intersect an HCA are taken, an adequate and convincing technical justification is provided?
104	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	7.	IM.HC.HCARELEASE.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	Does the process include technically adequate methods to

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								determine the locations/scenarios and volume of potential commodity releases?
105	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	8.	IM.HC.HCARELEASE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.6(a);195.6(b);195.6(c);195.450;195.452(a))	Do records indicate that identified release locations and spill volumes are appropriate, technically adequate, and determined consistent with the documented process?
106	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	9.	IM.HC.HCAOVERLAND.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	Does the process include a technically adequate analysis of overland flow of liquids to determine the extent of commodity spread and its effects on HCAs?
107	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	10.	IM.HC.HCAOVERLAND.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(a))	Do records indicate the overland spread analysis (if applicable) is technically adequate and consistent with program requirements?
108	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	11.	IM.HC.HCAH2OTRANS.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	Does the process include a technically adequate analysis approach

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								for water transport of liquids to determine the extent of commodity spread and its effects on HCAs?
10	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	1 2.	IM.HC.HCAH2OTRANSP.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(a))	Do records indicate that water transport analysis (if applicable) is technically adequate and consistent with its program requirements?
11	BP Cherry Point	Sat		IM.HC	1 3.	IM.HC.HCAAIRDISP.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	Does the process include a technically adequate analysis of the dispersion of vapors from the release of highly volatile liquids and volatile liquids to determine effects on HCAs?
11	BP Cherry Point	Sat		IM.HC	1 4.	IM.HC.HCAAIRDISP.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(a))	Do records indicate that the analysis of air dispersion of vapors (if applicable) is technically adequate and consistent with its program requirements?
11	BP Cherry Point (and 1	Sat		IM.HC	1 5.	IM.HC.HCAINDIRECT.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	Does the process identify all

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							locations of segments that do not intersect, but could indirectly affect, an HCA (buffer zone)?
113.	BP Cherry Point	NA		IM.HC	16.	IM.HC.HCAINDIRECT.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(a))	Do the records indicate that endpoints of segments that could affect an HCA have been correctly identified where a buffer zone approach is utilized?
114.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		IM.HC	17.	IM.HC.HCABOUNDING.R	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(b)(4))	Does the HCA analysis bound historical releases/accidents?
115.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		IM.HC	18.	IM.HC.HCACAT3.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(b)(2))	Does the process require completion of segment identification for Category 3 pipelines prior to beginning of operation?
116.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		IM.HC	19.	IM.HC.HCACAT3.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(b)(2))	Do records indicate completion of segment identification for Category 3 pipelines prior to beginning of operation?
117.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	1.	IM.PM.PMMPREVENTIVE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Is there documentation of preventive actions that have been

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								considered and implemented?
118	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	2.	IM.PM.PMMITIGATIVE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Is there documentation of mitigative actions that have been considered and implemented?
119	BP Cherry Point	NC		IM.PM	3.	IM.PM.PMMIMPLEMENT.O	195.452(f)(6) (195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2);195.452(i)(3);195.452(i)(4))	Have preventive and mitigative actions been implemented as described in the records?
120	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	4.	IM.PM.PMMRISK.P	195.452(f)(6) (195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Does the process evaluate the effects of potential actions on reducing the likelihood and consequences of pipeline releases?
121	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	5.	IM.PM.PMMRISK.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Do records demonstrate evaluation of the effects of potential actions on reducing the likelihood and consequences of pipeline releases?
122	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	6.	IM.PM.PMMBASIS.P	195.452(f)(6) (195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Does the process provide adequate basis for deciding which candidate preventive

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								and mitigative actions are implemented?
123.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	7.	IM.PM.PMMBASIS.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Do records indicate that the P&MM decision making process has been applied as specified?
124.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	9.	IM.PM.IMLEAKDETEVAL.P	195.452(f)(6) (195.452(i)(3))	Does the process for evaluating leak detection capability consider all of the 195.452(i)(3) required factors and other relevant factors?
125.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	10.	IM.PM.IMLEAKDETEVAL.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(3))	Do records indicate that all required and other relevant leak detection evaluation factors have been adequately evaluated?
126.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	11.	IM.PM.IMLEAKDETHCA.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(i)(3))	What leak detection measures are taken to prevent and mitigate the consequences of a pipeline failure on an HCA?
127.	BP Cherry Point	NC		IM.PM	12.	IM.PM.IMLEAKDETHCA.O	195.452(i)(3)	Have leak detection measures taken to prevent and mitigate the consequences of a pipeline failure in an

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								HCA been implemented?
128.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	13.	IM.PM.PMMEFRD.P	195.452(f)(6) (195.452(i)(4))	Do processes for evaluating the need for additional EFRDs consider all of the 195.452(i)(4) required factors and other relevant factors?
129.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	14.	IM.PM.PMMEFRD.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(4))	Do records indicate that all required and other relevant EFRD evaluation factors were adequately evaluated and any actions taken as appropriate?
130.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NC		IM.PM	15.	IM.PM.PMMEFRD.O	195.452(i)(4)	Have identified EFRD projects been implemented as planned?
131.	BP Cherry Point	Sat		IM.QA	1.	IM.QA.IMPERFEFFECTIV E.P	195.452(f)(7) (195.452(k))	Does the process for measuring IM program effectiveness include the elements necessary to conduct a meaningful evaluation?
132.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.QA	2.	IM.QA.IMPERFEFFECTIV E.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(7);195.452(k))	Do records indicate the methods to measure program effectiveness provide

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								effective evaluation of program performance and result in program improvements where necessary?
133	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.QA	3.	IM.QA.IMPERFMETRIC.P	195.452(f)(7) (195.452(k))	Does the process to evaluate IM program effectiveness include an adequate set of performance metrics to provide meaningful insight into IM program performance?
134	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.QA	4.	IM.QA.IMPERFMETRIC.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(7);195.452(k))	Do records indicate that performance metrics are providing meaningful insight into integrity management program performance?
135	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.QA	5.	IM.QA.RECORDS.P	195.452(l) (195.404(c)(1);195.452(c)(2))	Is the process adequate to assure that the records required for integrity management program applications are maintained?
136	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.QA	6.	IM.QA.RECORDS.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(c)(2))	From a review of records, has the operator documented decisions, analysis, and actions taken to implement and

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								evaluate each key integrity management program activity?
137	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	1.	IM.RA.RAMETHOD.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Do processes for evaluating risk to HCAs require consideration of all relevant threat categories and risk factors when evaluating pipeline segments?
138	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	2.	IM.RA.RAINTEGRATE.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Do processes for evaluating risk to HCAs include the appropriate risk factors and other information?
139	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	3.	IM.RA.SCCRISK.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Does the IM risk assessment process give adequate criteria for evaluating and determining if stress corrosion cracking is a threat on the pipeline(s)?
140	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	4.	IM.RA.SCCRISK.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(3))	Has an IM risk assessment been performed addressing the threat of stress corrosion cracking on their pipeline

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								segments that could affect an HCA?
14	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	5.	IM.RA.RADATA.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Are processes for data and information input into the risk analysis process adequate and appropriate?
14	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	6.	IM.RA.RADATA.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(3);195.452(g))	Are adequate data and information input into the risk analysis process?
14	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	7.	IM.RA.RADATA.O	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Are conditions on the pipeline segments accurately reflected in the appropriate risk assessment data and information?
14	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	8.	IM.RA.RASEGMENT.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Does the risk analysis process adequately represent and consider the variation in risk factors along the line such that segment-specific risk results and insights are obtained?
14	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	9.	IM.RA.RAREULTS.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(3);195.452(g))	Are results of the process to evaluate risk useful for drawing

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								conclusions and insights for decision making?
146.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	10.	IM.RA.RAFACILITY.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Does the process include technically adequate approaches to identify and evaluate the risks of facilities that can affect HCAs?
147.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	11.	IM.RA.RAFACILITY.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(3);195.452(g))	Has the analysis of risk of facilities been performed as required?
148.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.ABNORMAL	5.	MO.ABNORMAL.ABNORMAL.R	195.404(b) (195.402(d)(1))	Do records indicate operator's personnel responded to indications of abnormal operations as required by the written procedures?
149.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.LOMOP	1.	MO.LOMOP.MOPDETERMINE.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.302(c);195.406(a))	Does the process include procedures for establishing the maximum operating pressure allowed in accordance with 195.406(a)?
150.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.LOMOP	2.	MO.LOMOP.MOPDETERMINE.R	195.402(c)(3) (195.406(a);195.406(b);195.302(b);195.302(c))	Do records indicate the maximum operating pressure was established in accordance

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								with 195.406?
151	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.LM	3.	MO.LM.VALVEMAINT.R	195.404(c) (195.420(a);195.420(b))	Do records indicate each mainline valve was inspected as required?
152	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NC		MO.LM	5.	MO.LM.VALVEMAINT.O	195.420(a) (195.420(c))	Do the pipeline system valves appear to be in good working order and are they protected from unauthorized operation?
153	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.LMOPP	2.	MO.LMOPP.PRESSREGT EST.R	195.404(c) (195.428(a))	Do records indicate inspection and testing of each overpressure safety device on its non-HVL pipelines at intervals not to exceed 15 months, but at least once each calendar year?
154	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NC		MO.LMOPP	5.	MO.LMOPP.PRESSREGT EST.O	195.428(a)	Are inspections of overpressure safety devices adequate (including HVL lines)?
155	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	195.412(a) (195.412(b))	Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected, and

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?
156	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NC	(2)	MO.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	195.412(a)	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
157	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.OC	9.	PD.OC.PROGRAM.R	195.442(a)	Do records indicate the damage prevention program is being carried out as written?
158	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.PA	11.	EP.ERL.LIAISON.R	195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12);195.440(c);API RP 1162 Section 4.4)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
159	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	195.412(a) (195.412(b))	Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected, and reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?
160	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NC	(2)	PD.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	195.412(a)	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
161	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		RPT.NR	3.	RPT.NR.NOTIFYIMPRES.S.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(h)(1)(i);195.452(h)(1)(ii);195.452(h)(3))	Does the process require notifying

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							PHMSA of information when the following occurs: If it cannot meet its schedule for evaluation and remediation and cannot provide safety through a temporary reduction in operating pressure and/or when a temporary reduction in operating pressure exceeds 365 days?
162.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		RPT.NR	4.	RPT.NR.NOTIFYIMVARIANCE.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(j)(4))	Are processes adequate for submitting variance notifications to PHMSA for assessment intervals longer than the maximum five-year interval and for unavailable technology?
163.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		RPT.NR	5.	RPT.NR.NOTIFICATION S.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(m))	Has notification been made if Other Technology is used, technology is unavailable, the 5 year reassessment interval cannot be met, remediation schedule cannot be met and

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								pressure cannot be reduced, or a pressure reduction exceeds 365 days?
164.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	RPT.NR	6.	EP.EPO.OPASUBMITTAL.R	194.101(a) (194.101(b);194.119(e))	If the operator is required to have a Facility Response Plan, does the current plan submitted and approved by PHMSA cover all the required pipeline assets?
165.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	RPT.NR	7.	EP.EPO.OPAREVIEW.R	194.121(a) (194.121(b);194.5)	Do records indicate the response plan has been adequately reviewed, updated, and submitted on the required frequency?
166.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		RPT.RR	2.	RPT.RR.ANNUALREPORTIMINSPECT.R	195.49	Is Annual Report Part F Data complete and accurate?
167.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		RPT.RR	3.	RPT.RR.ANNUALREPORTIMASSESS.R	195.49	Is Annual Report Part G data complete and accurate?
168.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		TD.ATM	4.	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.R	195.589(c) (195.583(a);195.583(b);195.583(c))	Do records document inspection of aboveground pipe exposed to atmospheric corrosion?
169.	BP Cherry Point	NC		TD.ATM	5.	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.O	195.583(c) (195.581(a))	Is aboveground pipe that is exposed

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								to atmospheric corrosion protected?
170.	BP Cherry Point	NC		TD.CPBO	4.	TD.CPBO.BO.O	195.573(d)	Are cathodic protection monitoring tests performed correctly on breakout tank bottoms?
171.	BP Cherry Point	Sat	(4)	TD.CPBO	8.	TD.CP.DEFICIENCY.R	195.589(c) (195.573(e))	Do records document adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?
172.	BP Cherry Point	Sat	(4)	TD.CP	12.	TD.CP.DEFICIENCY.R	195.589(c) (195.573(e))	Do records document adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?
173.	BP Cherry Point	NC		TD.CP MONITOR	9.	TD.CP MONITOR.MO NITOR.O	195.571	Do the methods for taking CP monitoring readings allow for the application of appropriate CP monitoring criteria?
174.	BP Cherry Point	Sat		TD.CP MONITOR	11.	TD.CP MONITOR.TE ST.R	195.589(c) (195.573(a)(1))	Do records document required monitoring tests have occurred and that adequate cathodic protection levels exist?
175.	BP Cherry Point	Sat		TD.CP MONITOR	15.	TD.CP MONITOR.CU RRENTTEST.R	195.589(c) (195.573(c))	Do records document

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	(and 1 other asset)							adequate electrical checks of rectifiers, interference bonds, diodes, and reverse current switches and at the required intervals?
176	BP Cherry Point	Sat		TD.CPMONITOR	18.	TD.CPMONITOR.INTFCURRENT.R	195.589(c) (195.577(a))	Do records document that the operator has minimized the detrimental effects of stray currents when found?
177	BP Cherry Point	NC		TD.CPMONITOR	19.	TD.CPMONITOR.INTFCURRENT.O	195.577(a)	Are areas of potential stray current identified, and if found, the detrimental effects of stray currents minimized?
178	BP Cherry Point	Sat	(4)	TD.CPMONITOR	23.	TD.CP.DEFICIENCY.R	195.589(c) (195.573(e))	Do records document adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?
179	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		TD.COAT	9.	TD.COAT.EXPOSEINSP ECT.R	195.589(c) (195.569)	Do records document that exposed buried pipe coating was adequately inspected to determine if it is deteriorating?
180	BP Cherry Point	Sat	(4)	TD.CPEXP OSED	12.	TD.CP.DEFICIENCY.R	195.589(c) (195.573(e))	Do records document

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK BP Cherry Point

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?
181.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.ICP	6.	TD.ICP.INHIBITOR.R	195.589(c) (195.579(b)(1);195.579(b)(2);195.579(b)(3))	Do records document that corrosion inhibitors have been used in sufficient quantity?
182.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.ICP	9.	TD.ICP.EXAMINE.R	195.589(c) (195.579(c);195.579(a))	Do records document examination of removed pipe for evidence of internal corrosion?
183.	BP Cherry Point (and 1 other asset)	Sat		TQ.OQ	15.	TQ.OQ.RECORDS.R	195.507(a) (195.507(b))	Do records document the evaluation and qualifications of individuals performing covered tasks, and can the qualification of individuals performing covered tasks be verified?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
1.	Intrastate Laterals	NA		AR.EC	2.	AR.EC.ECDAPREASSES.R	195.589(c) (195.588(b)(2))	Do records indicate that the ECDA pre-assessment process complies with NACE SP0502 Section 3 and 195.588?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
2.	Intrastate Laterals	NA		AR.EC	3.	AR.EC.ECDAINDIRECT.R	195.589(c) (195.588(b)(3))	Do records indicate that the ECDA indirect examination process complies with NACE SP0502 Section 4 and 195.588?
3.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC		AR.EC	4.	AR.EC.ECDAINDIRECT.O	195.588(c) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))	Was the indirect examination performed in accordance with the operator's procedures and 195.588(b)(3)?
4.	Intrastate Laterals	NA		AR.EC	5.	AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.R	195.589(c) (195.588(b)(4))	Do records indicate that excavations and data collection were performed in accordance with NACE SP0502, Sections 5 and 6.4.2?
5.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC		AR.EC	6.	AR.EC.ECDADIRECT.O	195.588(b)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(iii))	Was the direct examination performed in accordance with requirements?
6.	Intrastate Laterals	NA		AR.EC	7.	AR.EC.ECDAPOSTASS.ESS.R	195.589(c) (195.588(b)(5);195.452(j)(3);195.452(j)(4))	Do records indicate that requirements were met for post assessment?
7.	Intrastate Laterals	NA		AR.EC	8.	AR.EC.ECDAPLANMOC.R	195.588(c) (195.588(b)(4)(iii))	Do records indicate that the operator established

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								and implemented criteria and internal notification procedures for any changes in the ECDA Plan?
8.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IA	1.	AR.IA.METHOD.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(j)(5))	Do processes specify the assessment methods that are appropriate for the pipeline specific integrity threats?
9.	Intrastate Laterals	Sat		AR.IA	2.	AR.IA.METHOD.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(5);195.452(j)(5))	Are the assessment methods shown in the baseline and/or continual assessment plan appropriate for the pipeline specific integrity threats?
10	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IA	3.	AR.IA.ASSESSCHEDULE.P	195.452(f)(2) (195.452(c);195.452(d);195.452(e))	Does the continual assessment plan include a prioritized schedule in accordance with 195.452 (d) that is based on the risk factors required by 195.452(e) ?
11	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IA	4.	AR.IA.ASSESSCHEDULE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(b)(5);195.452(c);195.452(d);195.452(f)(2);195.452(f)(5);195.452(j)(5))	Does a review of records indicate that continual assessments are

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								implemented as specified in the plan?
12	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.IA	5.	AR.IA.ILIDELAY.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(j)(1))	Do records demonstrate that the performance of integrity assessments had been delayed such that a schedule or required timeframes was exceeded?
13	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	1.	AR.IL.ILIREVIEWQUAL.P	195.452(f)(8) (195.452(g))	Does the process specify qualification requirements for personnel who review and evaluate ILI assessment results and information analysis?
14	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	2.	AR.IL.ILIREVIEWQUAL.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(8);195.452(g))	Does the process demonstrate that personnel who review and evaluate ILI assessment results and information analysis are qualified?
15	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	3.	AR.IL.ILIIMPLPERQUAL.P	195.505(b)	Does the process identify the qualification requirements for personnel who perform ILI covered tasks?
16	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	4.	AR.IL.ILIIMPLPERQUAL.R	195.505(b)	Do records demonstrate that

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							personnel who perform ILI covered tasks are qualified?
17	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	5.	AR.IL.ILISPECS.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(h))	Do processes assure complete and adequate vendor ILI specifications?
18	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	6.	AR.IL.ILIVALIDATE.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(b)(6))	Does the process provide for validating ILI results?
19	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	7.	AR.IL.ILIVALIDATE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(b)(6))	Does a review of records for validating ILI assessment results demonstrate that the process was implemented appropriately?
20	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	8.	AR.IL.ILIINTEGRATION.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Is the process for integrating ILI results with other information adequate?
21	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	9.	AR.IL.ILIINTEGRATION.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(g))	Did the operator integrate other data/information when evaluating tool data/results in the records reviewed?
22	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	10.	AR.IL.ILIACCEPTCRITERIA.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(j)(5)(i))	Were survey acceptance criteria defined to ensure a successful ILI tool run?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
23	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	1 1.	AR.IL.ILIACCEPCRITER IA.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(c)(1)(i)(A))	Do records demonstrat e that the selected ILI tool run met the defined ILI tool run acceptance criteria?
24	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	1 2.	AR.IL.ILIANALYSIS.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(h)(1);195.452(g))	Do records demonstrat e that the ILI data and other information were adequate to identify anomalies requiring remediation ?
25	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	1 3.	AR.IL.ILISTANDARD.P	195.452(b)(6)	Does the process incorporate recognized industry practices, or an acceptable alternative method, in performing ILI integrity assessment s?
26	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.IL	1 4.	AR.IL.ILISTANDARD.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(b)(6))	Do records demonstrat e that recognized industry practices, or an acceptable alternative method, have been incorporate d in performing ILI integrity assessment s?
27	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.PTI	1.	AR.PTI.PRESSTESTAC CEP.P	195.402(a) (195.304;195.305;195.306;195.307;195.308)	Were pressure test acceptance criteria and process adequate?
28	Intrastate Laterals (and 1	Sat		AR.PTI	2.	AR.PTI.PRESSTESTCO RR.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g)(3))	Does the process require that

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							the effectiveness of the corrosion control program be evaluated when using pressure testing as an integrity assessment?
29	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.PTI	3.	AR.PTI.PRESSTESTCORR.R	195.589(c) (195.452(f)(3);195.452(g)(3))	From the review of corrosion control records, was the corrosion control program proven to be effective when pressure testing was the integrity assessment method used?
30	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.PTI	4.	AR.PTI.PRESSTESTRESULT.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(2);195.452(f)(5);195.452(c))	From the review of the results of pressure tests, do the test records validate the pressure test?
31	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.PTI	5.	AR.PTI.PRESSTESTRESULT.O	195.452(j)(5)(ii) (195.452(c)(1)(i)(b))	Was the pressure test conducted in accordance with procedures?
32	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	1.	AR.OT.OTPLAN.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(c)(1)(i)(D);195.452(j)(5)(iv);195.452(h)(4))	If "Other Technologies" are used, has a process been developed?
33	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	2.	AR.OT.OTPLAN.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(j)(5)(iv);195.452(f)(5))	From the review of selected integrity assessment results,

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								do records show the assessments were performed in accordance with procedures and vendor recommendations?
34	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	3.	AR.OT.OTPLAN.O	195.452(j)(5)(iv)	Has the process for the use of "Other Technology" been followed?
35	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	4.	AR.OT.OTDEFECTCAT.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(4);195.452(h)(2))	From the review of the results of selected integrity assessments, were defects identified and categorized within 180 days or other applicable timeframe?
36	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	5.	AR.OT.ASSESSMENTREVIEW.P	195.452(f)(8) (195.452(j)(5))	Does the process specify qualification requirements for personnel who review the results of an integrity assessment and information analysis using Other Technology?
37	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.OT	6.	AR.OT.ASSESSMENTREVIEW.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(8))	From the review of the results of selected integrity assessments, were personnel who review the results

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								of an integrity assessment and information analysis using Other Technology qualified?
38	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RC	1.	AR.RC.INTEGRATION.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Does the process require the evaluation of all available information about the integrity of the entire pipeline and the consequences of failure when evaluating the integrity of each pipeline segment that can affect an HCA?
39	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RC	2.	AR.RC.INTEGRATION.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(g))	From the review of the results of ILI and remediation projects, were all available information considered about the integrity of the entire pipeline and consequences of failure when evaluating the integrity of each pipeline segment that can affect an HCA?
40	Intrastate Laterals (and 1	Sat		AR.RCHCA	1.	AR.RCHCA.DISCOVERY.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(h)(2))	Does the integrity assessment process

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							properly define discovery and the required time frame for anomalies in a pipeline segment that can affect an HCA?
41	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	2.	AR.RCHCA.DISCOVER Y.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(h)(2))	From the review of the results of selected ILI and remediation projects, did discovery of all anomalies occur promptly, but no later than 180 days of completion of the assessment ?
42	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	3.	AR.RCHCA.IMPRC.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(h)(4))	Does process have criteria for remedial action to address integrity issues raised by the assessment methods and information analysis?
43	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	4.	AR.RCHCA.IMPRC.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(4))	Do records demonstrate that prompt action is taken to address all anomalous conditions discovered through the integrity assessment or

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								information analysis?
44	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC		AR.RCHCA	5.	AR.RCHCA.IMPRC.O	195.452(h)(4)	From an observation of a repair, was it remediated in accordance with its categorized repair schedule?
45	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	6.	AR.RCHCA.IMREPAIR.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(h)(1))	Does the process require that repairs be performed in a manner to ensure the integrity of the pipeline until the next scheduled assessment?
46	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	7.	AR.RCHCA.DEFECTCAT.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(h)(4))	From the review of the results of integrity assessments, were there any defects that were not properly categorized?
47	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	8.	AR.RCHCA.PRESSREDUCE.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.428;195.452(h)(1)(i);195.452(h)(1)(ii))	Does the process for pressure reduction meet the code requirements?
48	Intrastate Laterals	Sat		AR.RCHCA	9.	AR.RCHCA.PRESSREDUCE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.404(a);195.404(b);195.452(h)(1)(ii);195.452(h)(4)(i);195.55(a))	From the review of the results of ILI and remediation projects, was an acceptable pressure reduction promptly taken for each Immediate Repair condition or

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								when a repair schedule could not be met?
49	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	10.	AR.RCHCA.IMSCHEDULE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452.(h)(3))	Do records demonstrate that the operator has met the schedule for remediating a condition in accordance with 195.452(h)(3)?
50	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RCHCA	11.	AR.RCHCA.SCHEDULEI.MPL.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(h)(4))	From the review of the results of remediation projects, were defects in segments that could affect an HCA remediated or dispositioned within the applicable mandatory time limits of 195.452(h)(4)?
51	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	1.	AR.RMP.SAFETY.P	195.402(c)(14) (195.422(a))	Does the process ensure that repairs are made in a safe manner and are made so as to prevent damage to persons and property?
52	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC		AR.RMP	2.	AR.RMP.SAFETY.O	195.422(a) (195.402(c)(14))	Are repairs made in a safe manner and to prevent injury to persons

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
53	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	3.	AR.RMP.METHOD.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.452(h)(1);195.585)	and/or property damage? Does the process identify permissible repair methods for each type of defect?
54	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	4.	AR.RMP.METHOD.R	195.404(c)(1) (195.422(a);195.422(b);195.452(h)(1);195.401(b)(1);195.401(b)(2))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects, were all repairs performed in accordance with procedures and applicable sections of 49 CFR Part 195?
55	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	5.	AR.RMP.REPAIRQUAL.R	195.505(b) (195.507(a);195.505(c);195.452(h)(1))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects, were personnel performing repairs, other than welding, qualified for the task they performed?
56	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	6.	AR.RMP.PIPECONDITION.R	195.404(c)(1) (195.404(c)(2);195.452(l)(2))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects and/or field observation, do repair records document all

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								information needed to understand the conditions of the pipe and its environment and provide the information needed to support the Integrity Management risk model?
57	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.RMP	7.	AR.RMP.REPLACESTD.R	195.404(a)(1) (195.422(b);195.452(l)(2))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects and/or field observation, were components that were replaced constructed to the same or higher standards as the original component?
58	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.RMP	8.	AR.RMP.PIPEMOVE.R	195.424(a) (195.424(b);195.424(c))	From a review of selected records, were pipeline movements performed in accordance with 195.424?
59	Intrastate Laterals	Sat		AR.RMP	9.	AR.RMP.WELDERQUAL.R	195.214(a) (195.214(b);195.222(a);195.222(b);195.452(h)(1))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects, were repairs requiring welding

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								performed by qualified welders using qualified welding procedures ?
60	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		AR.RMP	10.	AR.RMP.WELDQUAL.R	195.226(a) (195.226(b);195.226(c);195.230(a);195.230(b);195.230(c);195.452(h)(1))	From the review of the results of integrity assessment and remediation projects, were defects on new welds repaired in accordance with 195.226 or 195.230?
61	Intrastate Laterals	Sat		AR.RMP	11.	AR.RMP.WELDINSPEC T.R	195.228(a) (195.228(b);195.234(a);195.234(b);195.234(c);195.234(d);195.234(e);195.452(h)(1))	From the review of the results of remediation projects, were new welds inspected and examined in accordance with 195.228 or 195.234?
62	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	12.	AR.RMP.CRACKREMED IATION.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	If cracks, SCC, or crack like features to be a threat on pipeline segments, have criteria been developed for remedial actions to address integrity issues raised by the assessment method?
63	Intrastate Laterals (and 1	NA		AR.RMP	13.	AR.RMP.CRACKREMED IATION.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(3);195.452(h)(4)(iii)(G))	If the IM risk assessment

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							and integrity assessments found cracks, SCC, or crack like features to be a threat on pipeline segments, have remedial actions been taken to address integrity issues when assessment criteria have been exceeded?
64	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	14.	AR.RMP.CRACKNDE.P	195.452(f)(4)	Does the process require that when a pipeline segment that meets the conditions for cracks, SCC, or crack like features is exposed an NDE method must be employed to evaluate for cracking?
65	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		AR.RMP	15.	AR.RMP.CRACKNDE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(4);195.404(c))	Do records indicate that when a pipeline segment that meets the conditions for cracks, SCC, or crack like features were exposed an NDE method was employed to evaluate for cracking?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
66	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC		AR.RMP	16.	AR.RMP.CRACKNDE.O	195.452(h)(1)	From an observation at an excavation site with suspected cracks, SCC, or crack like features, did the operator's personnel perform an NDE of the segment?
67	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	EP.EPO	1.	EP.EPO.OPASUBMITTA L.R	194.101(a) (194.101(b);194.119(e))	If the operator is required to have a Facility Response Plan, does the current plan submitted and approved by PHMSA cover all the required pipeline assets?
68	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC		EP.EPO	2.	EP.EPO.OPALOCATION .O	194.111(a) (194.111(b))	Is the response plan maintained at required locations?
69	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	3.	EP.EPO.OPATRaining. R	194.117(b)	Is training for all emergency response personnel documented?
70	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	EP.EPO	4.	EP.EPO.OPAREVIEW.R	194.121(a) (194.121(b);194.5)	Do records indicate the response plan has been adequately reviewed, updated, and submitted on the required frequency?
71	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1	Sat		EP.EPO	5.	EP.EPO.OPADRILL.R	194.107(c)(1)(ix) (National Preparedness for Response Exercise Program (PREP) Guidelines, Section 5 (August 2002))	Do records indicate the drill program

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							follows the National Preparedness for Response Exercise Program (PREP) guidelines?
72	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	6.	EP.EPO.OPAWRSTDIS CHRG.R	194.105(a) (194.105(b))	Do records demonstrate that the worst case discharge for each response zone was adequately determined?
73	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	7.	EP.EPO.OPAWRSTDIS CHRGRSP.R	194.107(a) (194.115(a);104.115(b);194.121(b);194.5)	Do records indicate adequate response capabilities are in place for the worst case discharge of each response zone?
74	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC		EP.EPO	8.	EP.EPO.OPALOCATE.O	194.111(a) (194.111(b))	Are relevant parts of the plan being maintained in the operator's headquarters and other locations from which response activities may be conducted?
75	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	9.	EP.EPO.OPATRIN.R	194.117(a) (194.117(b);194.117(c))	Do records indicate that the operator conducted the appropriate training?
76	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC		EP.EPO	10.	EP.EPO.OPAQUALINDI V.O	194.113(b)(4) (194.5)	Are the Qualified Individuals listed in Facility Response Plan current and

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								are their phone numbers accurate?
77	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	1	EP.EPO.OPAOILTYPE.R	194.113(b)(6) (194.121(b)(3))	Are the types of oil transported described in the plan accurate?
78	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.EPO	2	EP.EPO.OPAEQUIPTES.T.R	194.107(c)(viii)	Do records indicate response equipment is properly tested?
79	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	EP.ERL	9	EP.ERL.LIAISON.R	195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12);195.440(c);API RP 1162 Section 4.4)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
80	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		EP.ETR	2	EP.ETR.TRAINING.R	195.403(a)	Do records indicate the operator provided training to its emergency response personnel as required?
81	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		FS.TSAPIINSPECT	2	FS.TSAPIINSPECT.BOINSPECTION.R	195.404(c)(3) (195.432(a))	Do records document that breakout tanks that are not steel atmospheric or low pressure tanks or HVL steel tanks built according to API 2510 have been inspected at the proper interval and that deficiencies found

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								during inspections have been corrected?
82	Intrastate Laterals	Sat		FS.TSAPIINSPECT	10.	FS.TSAPIINSPECT.BOINTINSPI.R	195.404(c)(3) (195.432(b))	Do records document that steel atmospheric or low pressure breakout tanks have received formal internal inspections at the required intervals and that deficiencies found during inspections have been documented?
83	Intrastate Laterals	Sat		FS.TS	7.	FS.TS.OVERFILLBO.R	195.404(c)(3) (195.428(a);195.428(c);195.428(d))	Do records document the inspection and testing of overfill protection devices on aboveground breakout tanks at the required interval? [Note: This question applies to both non-HVL and HVL pressure breakout tanks.]
84	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	1.	IM.CA.PERIODICEVAL.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(e);195.452(j)(1);195.452(j)(2);195.452(g))	Does the process include adequate provisions for performing periodic evaluations of pipeline integrity?
85	Intrastate Laterals (and 1	Sat		IM.CA	2.	IM.CA.PERIODICEVAL.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(5);195.452(e);195.452(j)(1);195.452(j)(2);195.452(g))	Do records indicate that

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							periodic evaluations of pipeline integrity are performed on a technically justified frequency?
86	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	3.	IM.CA.ASSESSINTERV AL.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(e);195.452(g))	Did the operator's plan identify all of the risk factors that reflect the conditions on the pipeline segment to establish an assessment schedule?
87	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	4.	IM.CA.ASSESSINTERV AL.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(5);195.452(e);195.452(j)(1);195.452(j)(3))	Are assessment intervals consistent with the risks identified for the pipeline and the results of previous assessments?
88	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	5.	IM.CA.ASSESSMETHO D.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(j)(5);195.452(g))	Does process specify the assessment methods that are appropriate for the pipeline specific integrity threats?
89	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	6.	IM.CA.ASSESSMETHO D.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(5);195.452(j)(5))	Are assessment methods shown in the assessment plan appropriate for the pipeline specific integrity threats?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
90	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.CA	7.	IM.CA.ASSESSNOTIFY.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(j)(4);195.452(m))	Does the process include adequate methodology for submitting variance notifications to PHMSA for assessment intervals longer than the 5-year maximum assessment interval and unavailable technology?
91	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		IM.CA	8.	IM.CA.ASSESSNOTIFY.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(5);195.452(m);195.452(j)(4))	Have variance notifications been submitted to PHMSA for assessment intervals longer than the 5-year maximum assessment interval and unavailable technology?
92	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	1.	IM.HC.HCADEFINITION.P	195.450 (195.6)	Does the operator's plan include all of the definitions of an HCA?
93	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	2.	IM.HC.HCALOCATION.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a);195.452(d)(3))	Does the process that requires identification of HCA-affecting segments include steps to identify, document, and maintain up-to-date geographic locations and boundaries

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								of HCAs using the NPMS and other information sources as necessary?
94	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	3.	IM.HC.HCALOCATION.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(a);195.452(b)(2);195.452(d)(3))	Do records show that locations and boundaries of HCA-affecting segments are correctly identified and maintained up-to-date?
95	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	5.	IM.HC.HCAIDENT.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	Is the process adequate to determine all locations where pipeline systems "could affect" a high consequence area, including pipelines that are located in HCAs?
96	Intrastate . Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	6.	IM.HC.HCAIDENT.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.6(a);195.6(b);195.6(c);195.450;195.452(a))	Do records indicate that all locations where a pipeline system is located in an HCA are determined and, if any exceptions for segments that directly intersect an HCA are taken, an adequate and convincing technical justification

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
97	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	7.	IM.HC.HCARELEASE.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	is provided? Does the process include technically adequate methods to determine the locations/scenarios and volume of potential commodity releases?
98	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	8.	IM.HC.HCARELEASE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.6(a);195.6(b);195.6(c);195.450;195.452(a))	Do records indicate that identified release locations and spill volumes are appropriate, technically adequate, and determined consistent with the documented process?
99	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	9.	IM.HC.HCAOVERLAND.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	Does the process include a technically adequate analysis of overland flow of liquids to determine the extent of commodity spread and its effects on HCAs?
100	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	10.	IM.HC.HCAOVERLAND.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(a))	Do records indicate the overland spread analysis (if applicable) is technically adequate and consistent with program

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
10 1.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	1 1.	IM.HC.HCAH2OTRANS P.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	requirements? Does the process include a technically adequate analysis approach for water transport of liquids to determine the extent of commodity spread and its effects on HCAs?
10 2.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	1 2.	IM.HC.HCAH2OTRANS P.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(a))	Do records indicate that water transport analysis (if applicable) is technically adequate and consistent with its program requirements?
10 3.	Intrastate Laterals	NA		IM.HC	1 3.	IM.HC.HCAAIRDISP.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	Does the process include a technically adequate analysis of the dispersion of vapors from the release of highly volatile liquids and volatile liquids to determine effects on HCAs?
10 4.	Intrastate Laterals	NA		IM.HC	1 4.	IM.HC.HCAAIRDISP.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(a))	Do records indicate that the analysis of air dispersion of vapors (if applicable) is technically

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								adequate and consistent with its program requirements?
105.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.HC	15.	IM.HC.HCAINDIRECT.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(a))	Does the process identify all locations of segments that do not intersect, but could indirectly affect, an HCA (buffer zone)?
106.	Intrastate Laterals	Sat		IM.HC	16.	IM.HC.HCAINDIRECT.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(a))	Do the records indicate that endpoints of segments that could affect an HCA have been correctly identified where a buffer zone approach is utilized?
107.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		IM.HC	17.	IM.HC.HCABOUNDING.R	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(b)(4))	Does the HCA analysis bound historical releases/accidents?
108.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		IM.HC	18.	IM.HC.HCACAT3.P	195.452(f)(1) (195.452(b)(2))	Does the process require completion of segment identification for Category 3 pipelines prior to beginning of operation?
109.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		IM.HC	19.	IM.HC.HCACAT3.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(1);195.452(b)(2))	Do records indicate completion of segment identification for Category 3 pipelines

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								prior to beginning of operation?
110	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	1.	IM.PM.PMMPREVENTIVE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Is there documentation of preventive actions that have been considered and implemented?
111	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	2.	IM.PM.PMMMITIGATIVE.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Is there documentation of mitigative actions that have been considered and implemented?
112	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	4.	IM.PM.PMMRISK.P	195.452(f)(6) (195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Does the process evaluate the effects of potential actions on reducing the likelihood and consequences of pipeline releases?
113	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	5.	IM.PM.PMMRISK.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Do records demonstrate evaluation of the effects of potential actions on reducing the likelihood and consequences of pipeline releases?
114	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	6.	IM.PM.PMMBASIS.P	195.452(f)(6) (195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Does the process provide adequate basis for deciding which candidate preventive and

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								mitigative actions are implemented?
115.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	7.	IM.PM.PMMBASIS.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(1);195.452(i)(2))	Do records indicate that the P&MM decision making process has been applied as specified?
116.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	9.	IM.PM.IMLEAKDETEVAL.P	195.452(f)(6) (195.452(i)(3))	Does the process for evaluating leak detection capability consider all of the 195.452(i)(3) required factors and other relevant factors?
117.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	10.	IM.PM.IMLEAKDETEVAL.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(3))	Do records indicate that all required and other relevant leak detection evaluation factors have been adequately evaluated?
118.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	11.	IM.PM.IMLEAKDETHCAL.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(i)(3))	What leak detection measures are taken to prevent and mitigate the consequences of a pipeline failure on an HCA?
119.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	13.	IM.PM.PMMEFRD.P	195.452(f)(6) (195.452(i)(4))	Do processes for evaluating the need for additional EFRDs consider all of the

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								195.452(i)(4) required factors and other relevant factors?
120.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.PM	14.	IM.PM.PMMEFRD.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(6);195.452(i)(4))	Do records indicate that all required and other relevant EFRD evaluation factors were adequately evaluated and any actions taken as appropriate?
121.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC		IM.PM	15.	IM.PM.PMMEFRD.O	195.452(i)(4)	Have identified EFRD projects been implemented as planned?
122.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.QA	2.	IM.QA.IMPERFEFFECTIV E.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(7);195.452(k))	Do records indicate the methods to measure program effectiveness provide effective evaluation of program performance and result in program improvements where necessary?
123.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.QA	3.	IM.QA.IMPERFMETRIC.P	195.452(f)(7) (195.452(k))	Does the process to evaluate IM program effectiveness include an adequate set of performance metrics to provide meaningful insight into IM program performance?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
12 4.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.QA	4.	IM.QA.IMPERFMETRIC. R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(7);195.452(k))	Do records indicate that performance metrics are providing meaningful insight into integrity management program performance?
12 5.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.QA	5.	IM.QA.RECORDS.P	195.452(l) (195.404(c)(1);195.452(c)(2))	Is the process adequate to assure that the records required for integrity management program applications are maintained?
12 6.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.QA	6.	IM.QA.RECORDS.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(c)(2))	From a review of records, has the operator documented decisions, analysis, and actions taken to implement and evaluate each key integrity management program activity?
12 7.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	1.	IM.RA.RAMETHOD.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Do processes for evaluating risk to HCAs require consideration of all relevant threat categories and risk factors when evaluating pipeline segments?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
128.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	2.	IM.RA.RAINTEGRATE.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Do processes for evaluating risk to HCAs include the appropriate risk factors and other information?
129.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	3.	IM.RA.SCCRISK.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Does the IM risk assessment process give adequate criteria for evaluating and determining if stress corrosion cracking is a threat on the pipeline(s)?
130.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	4.	IM.RA.SCCRISK.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(3))	Has an IM risk assessment been performed addressing the threat of stress corrosion cracking on their pipeline segments that could affect an HCA?
131.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	5.	IM.RA.RADATA.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Are processes for data and information input into the risk analysis process adequate and appropriate?
132.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	6.	IM.RA.RADATA.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(3);195.452(g))	Are adequate data and information input into the risk

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								analysis process?
133.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	7.	IM.RA.RADATA.O	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Are conditions on the pipeline segments accurately reflected in the appropriate risk assessment data and information ?
134.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	8.	IM.RA.RASEGMENT.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Does the risk analysis process adequately represent and consider the variation in risk factors along the line such that segment-specific risk results and insights are obtained?
135.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	9.	IM.RA.RAREULTS.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(3);195.452(g))	Are results of the process to evaluate risk useful for drawing conclusions and insights for decision making?
136.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		IM.RA	10.	IM.RA.RAFACILITY.P	195.452(f)(3) (195.452(g))	Does the process include technically adequate approaches to identify and evaluate the risks of facilities that can affect HCAs?
137.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1	Sat		IM.RA	11.	IM.RA.RAFACILITY.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(f)(3);195.452(g))	Has the analysis of risk of facilities

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
	other asset)							been performed as required?
138.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.ABNORMAL	5.	MO.ABNORMAL.ABNORMAL.R	195.404(b) (195.402(d)(1))	Do records indicate operator's personnel responded to indications of abnormal operations as required by the written procedures?
139.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.LOMOP	1.	MO.LOMOP.MOPDETERMINE.P	195.402(c)(3) (195.302(c);195.406(a))	Does the process include procedures for establishing the maximum operating pressure allowed in accordance with 195.406(a)?
140.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.LOMOP	2.	MO.LOMOP.MOPDETERMINE.R	195.402(c)(3) (195.406(a);195.406(b);195.302(b);195.302(c))	Do records indicate the maximum operating pressure was established in accordance with 195.406?
141.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.LM	3.	MO.LM.VALVEMAINT.R	195.404(c) (195.420(a);195.420(b))	Do records indicate each mainline valve was inspected as required?
142.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC		MO.LM	5.	MO.LM.VALVEMAINT.O	195.420(a) (195.420(c))	Do the pipeline system valves appear to be in good working order and are they protected from

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
14	Intrastate 3. Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		MO.LMOPP	2.	MO.LMOPP.PRESSREG TEST.R	195.404(c) (195.428(a))	unauthorize d operation? Do records indicate inspection and testing of each overpressur e safety device on its non-HVL pipelines at intervals not to exceed 15 months, but at least once each calendar year?
14	Intrastate 4. Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC		MO.LMOPP	5.	MO.LMOPP.PRESSREG TEST.O	195.428(a)	Are inspections of overpressur e safety devices adequate (including HVL lines)?
14	Intrastate 5. Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	MO.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	195.412(a) (195.412(b))	Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected, and reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?
14	Intrastate 6. Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC	(2)	MO.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITI ON.O	195.412(a)	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
14	Intrastate 7. Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		PD.OC	9.	PD.OC.PROGRAM.R	195.442(a)	Do records indicate the damage prevention program is being carried out as written?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub- Group	Q st #	Question ID	References	Question Text
148.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.PA	11.	EP.ERL.LIAISON.R	195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12);195.440(c);API RP 1162 Section 4.4)	Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?
149.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	195.412(a) (195.412(b))	Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected, and reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?
150.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NC	(2)	PD.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWCONDIT ON.O	195.412(a)	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
151.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		RPT.NR	3.	RPT.NR.NOTIFYIMP SS.P	195.452(f)(4) (195.452(h)(1)(i);195.452(h)(1)(ii);195.452(h)(3))	Does the process require notifying PHMSA of information when the following occurs: If it cannot meet its schedule for evaluation and remediation and cannot provide safety through a temporary reduction in operating pressure

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Note 1)	Sub-Group	Question #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								and/or when a temporary reduction in operating pressure exceeds 365 days?
15 2.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		RPT.NR	4.	RPT.NR.NOTIFYIMVARIANCE.P	195.452(f)(5) (195.452(j)(4))	Are processes adequate for submitting variance notifications to PHMSA for assessment intervals longer than the maximum five-year interval and for unavailable technology?
15 3.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		RPT.NR	5.	RPT.NR.NOTIFICATION.S.R	195.452(l)(1)(ii) (195.452(m))	Has notification been made if Other Technology is used, technology is unavailable, the 5 year reassessment interval cannot be met, remediation schedule cannot be met and pressure cannot be reduced, or a pressure reduction exceeds 365 days?
15 4.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	RPT.NR	6.	EP.EPO.OPASUBMITTAL.R	194.101(a) (194.101(b);194.119(e))	If the operator is required to have a Facility Response Plan, does the current plan submitted and

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								approved by PHMSA cover all the required pipeline assets?
155.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat	(2)	RPT.NR	7.	EP.EPO.OPAREVIEW.R	194.121(a) (194.121(b);194.5)	Do records indicate the response plan has been adequately reviewed, updated, and submitted on the required frequency?
156.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		RPT.RR	2.	RPT.RR.ANNUALREPORTIMINSPECT.R	195.49	Is Annual Report Part F Data complete and accurate?
157.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		RPT.RR	3.	RPT.RR.ANNUALREPORTIMASSESS.R	195.49	Is Annual Report Part G data complete and accurate?
158.	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		TD.ATM	4.	TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.R	195.589(c) (195.583(a);195.583(b);195.583(c))	Do records document inspection of aboveground pipe exposed to atmospheric corrosion?
159.	Intrastate Laterals	Concern	(4)	TD.CPBO	8.	TD.CP.DEFICIENCY.R	195.589(c) (195.573(e))	Do records document adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?
160.	Intrastate Laterals	Concern	(4)	TD.CP	1 2.	TD.CP.DEFICIENCY.R	195.589(c) (195.573(e))	Do records document adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
16.1	Intrastate Laterals	Concern		TD.CPMONITOR	11	TD.CPMONITOR.TEST.R	195.589(c) (195.573(a)(1))	in corrosion control? Do records document required monitoring tests have occurred and that adequate cathodic protection levels exist?
16.2	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		TD.CPMONITOR	15	TD.CPMONITOR.CURRENTTEST.R	195.589(c) (195.573(c))	Do records document adequate electrical checks of rectifiers, interference bonds, diodes, and reverse current switches and at the required intervals?
16.3	Intrastate Laterals	NA		TD.CPMONITOR	18	TD.CPMONITOR.INTFRCURRENT.R	195.589(c) (195.577(a))	Do records document that the operator has minimized the detrimental effects of stray currents when found?
16.4	Intrastate Laterals	Concern	(4)	TD.CPMONITOR	23	TD.CP.DEFICIENCY.R	195.589(c) (195.573(e))	Do records document adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?
16.5	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		TD.COAT	9	TD.COAT.EXPOSEINSPECT.R	195.589(c) (195.569)	Do records document that exposed buried pipe coating was adequately inspected to determine if it is

Inspection Results Report (ALL Non-Empty Results) - Scp_PK Intrastate Laterals

Row	Assets	Result	(Not e 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
								deteriorating?
166	Intrastate Laterals	Concern	(4)	TD.CPEXP OSED	12.	TD.CP.DEFICIENCY.R	195.589(c) (195.573(e))	Do records document adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?
167	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.ICP	6.	TD.ICP.INHIBITOR.R	195.589(c) (195.579(b)(1);195.579(b)(2);195.579(b)(3))	Do records document that corrosion inhibitors have been used in sufficient quantity?
168	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	NA		TD.ICP	9.	TD.ICP.EXAMINE.R	195.589(c) (195.579(c);195.579(a))	Do records document examination of removed pipe for evidence of internal corrosion?
169	Intrastate Laterals (and 1 other asset)	Sat		TQ.OQ	15.	TQ.OQ.RECORDS.R	195.507(a) (195.507(b))	Do records document the evaluation and qualifications of individuals performing covered tasks, and can the qualification of individuals performing covered tasks be verified?

1. Result is repeated (N) times in this report due to re-presentation of the question in multiple sub-groups.

Report Parameters: All non-empty Results

Acceptable Use: Inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.