

PUBLIC AWARENESS PROGRAM EFFECTIVE INSPECTION SPECIFIC INFORMATION

Control Information

INSPECTION START DATE: 2/12/2013
 INSPECTION END DATE: 2/12/2013
 OPERATOR ID: 32517
 OPERATOR NAME: SWISSPORT FUELING INC
 STATE/OTHER ID: na
 ACTIVITY RECORD ID NUMBER: 2677
 COMPANY OFFICIAL: Deam Williams
 COMPANY OFFICIAL STREET: 2350 S 190th Street
 COMPANY OFFICIAL CITY: Seattle
 COMPANY OFFICIAL STATE: WA
 COMPANY OFFICIAL ZIP: 98188
 COMPANY_OFFICIAL_TITLE: General Manager
 PHONE NUMBER: (253) 670-0040
 FAX NUMBER: (206) 246-0409
 EMAIL ADDRESS: Dean.Williams@swissport.com
 WEB SITE: swissport.com
 TOTAL MILEAGE: 0
 TOTAL MILEAGE IN HCA: 0.085
 NUMBER OF SERVICES (DISTR): 0
 ALTERNATE MAOP (80% RULE): na
 NUMBER OF SPECIAL PERMITS: 0
 INITIAL DATE OF PAP: 3/17/2010
 TITLE OF CURRENT PAP: Public Awareness Program
 CURRENT PAP VERSION: 0
 CURRENT PAP DATE: 2/12/2013
 DATE SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL: 2/14/2013
 DIRECTOR APPROVAL:
 APPROVAL DATE:

OPERATORS COVERED UNDER PROGRAM:	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: left; width: 150px;">OPERATOR ID</td> <td style="text-align: left;">NAME</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">32517</td> <td style="text-align: left;">SWISSPORT FUELING INC</td> </tr> </table>	OPERATOR ID	NAME	32517	SWISSPORT FUELING INC
OPERATOR ID	NAME				
32517	SWISSPORT FUELING INC				

UNITS COVERED UNDER PROGRAM:	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: left; width: 150px;">UNIT ID</td> <td style="text-align: left;">NAME</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">32517</td> <td style="text-align: left;">Swissport Fueling</td> </tr> </table>	UNIT ID	NAME	32517	Swissport Fueling
UNIT ID	NAME				
32517	Swissport Fueling				

PERSON INTERVIEWED	TITLE/ORGANIZATION	PHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS
Nestor Soriano	Operations Manager	(206) 246-0407	nestor.soriano@swissport.com
Rachael Drummond	Operations Supervisor	(206) 419-9564	rachael.drummond@swissport.com

INSPECTOR REPRESENTATIVE(S)	PHMSA/STATE	REGION/STATE	EMAIL ADDRESS	LEAD
Patti Johnson	State	DC	pjohnson@utc.wa.gov	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Mileage Covered by Public Awareness Program (by Company and State)

Based on the most recently submitted annual report, list each company and subsidiary separately, broken down by state (using 2-letter designation). Also list any new lines in operation that are not included on the most recent annual report. If a company has intrastate and/or interstate mileage in several states, use one row per state. If there both gas and liquid lines, use the appropriate table for intrastate and/or interstate.

Jurisdictional to Part 195 (Hazardous Liquid) Mileage (Interstate)

NAME	PRODUCT TYPE	OPERATOR ID	TRANSMISSION		REMARKS
			INTERSTATE		
SWISSPORT FUELING INC	Jet Fuel	32517	0.085		

Jurisdictional to Part 195 (Hazardous Liquid) Mileage (Intrastate)

NAME	OPERATOR ID	PRODUCT TYPE	STATE	TRANSMISSION		REMARKS
				INTRASTATE		
SWISSPORT FUELING INC	32517	jet fuel	WA	0.085		

1. Supply company name and Operator ID, if not the master operator from the first page (i.e., for subsidiary companies).
2. Use OPS-assigned Operator ID. Where not applicable, leave blank or enter N/A
3. Use only 2-letter state codes in column #3, e.g., TX for Texas.
4. Enter number of applicable miles in all other columns. (Only positive values. No need to enter 0 or n/a.)
5. *Please do not include Service Line footage. This should only be MAINS.

Please provide a comment or explanation for inspection results for each question.

1. Administration and Development of Public Awareness Program

1.01 Written Public Education Program

Does the operator have a written continuing public education program or public awareness program (PAP) in accordance with the general program recommendations in the American Petroleum Institute's (API) Recommended Practice (RP) 1162 (incorporated by reference), by the required date, except for master meter or petroleum gas system operators?

- Verify the operator has a written public awareness program (PAP).
- Review any Clearinghouse deficiencies and verify the operator addressed previous Clearinghouse deficiencies, if any, addressed in the operator's PAP.
- Identify the location where the operator's PAP is administered and which company personnel is designated to administer and manage the written program.
- Verify the date the public awareness program was initially developed and published.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (h); § 195.440 (h)

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
- U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
- N/A - Not Applicable (explain)
- N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

Bullet 1: yes, has written plan. It is currently in their O&M and the O&M dates are correct. Nestor will add version # and Date.

Bullet 2: not jurisdiction when clearinghouse conducted

Bullet 3: administered at the site. Dean Williams oversee plan and Nestor Soriano is the administer and manager. Nestor will put name and title into plan.

Bullet 4: PAP published 10-1-2010

1.02 Management Support

Does the operator’s program include a statement of management support (i.e., is there evidence of a commitment of participation, resources, and allocation of funding)?

- Verify the PAP includes a written statement of management support.
- Determine how management participates in the PAP.
- Verify that an individual is named and identified to administer the program with roles and responsibilities.
- Verify resources provided to implement public awareness are in the PAP. Determine how many employees involved with the PAP and what their roles are.
- Determine if the operator uses external support resources for any implementation or evaluation efforts.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (a); § 195.440 (a), API RP 1162 Section 2.5 and 7.1

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
- U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
- N/A - Not Applicable (explain)
- N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

Bullet 1: management support Section 2.4. Suggested wording change made and accepted during inspection.

Bullet 2: Swissport is a small company and Dean Williams the General Manager is involved in developing and maintaining PAP

Bullet 3: added names to PAP during inspection

Bullet 4: resources Section 2.4. Swissport has constant edcation with airport fire dept and 3 in house Swissport personal provide that training and information. The General manager, Operations and Maintenance Manger and Operations Supervisor

Bullet 5: Outside vendor, Burns and McDonnel. Conducted PAP audit. Found in Section 7.

1.03 Unique Attributes and Characteristics

Does the operator’s program clearly define the specific pipeline assets or systems covered in the program and assess the unique attributes and characteristics of the pipeline and facilities?

- Verify the PAP includes all of the operator’s system types/assets covered by PAP (gas, liquid, HVL, storage fields, gathering lines etc).
- Identify where in the PAP the unique attributes and characteristics of the pipeline and facilities are included (i.e. gas, liquids, compressor stations, valves, breakout tanks, odorizers).

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (b); § 195.440 (b), API RP 1162 Section 2.7 and Section 4

<input checked="" type="radio"/> S - Satisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> U - Unsatisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/A - Not Applicable (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/C - Not Checked (explain)
--

COMMENTS:

Bullet 1: yes, has written plan. It is currently in their O&M and the O&M dates are correct. Nestor will add version # and Date.

Bullet 2: not jurisdiction when clearinghouse conducted

Bullet 3: administered at the site. Dean Williams oversee plan and Nestor Soriano is the administer and manager. Nestor will put name and title into plan.

Bullet 4: PAP published 10-1-2010

1.04 Stakeholder Audience Identification

Does the operator’s program establish methods to identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents?

- Identify how the operator determines stakeholder notification areas and distance on either side of the pipeline.
- Determine the process and/or data source used to identify each stakeholder audience.
- Select a location along the operator’s system and verify the operator has a documented list of stakeholders consistent with the requirements and references noted above.

- [] Affected public
- [] Emergency officials
- [] Public officials
- [] Excavators

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (d), (e), (f); § 195.440 (d), (e), (f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2 and Section 3

<input checked="" type="radio"/> S - Satisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> U - Unsatisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/A - Not Applicable (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/C - Not Checked (explain)
--

COMMENTS:

Bullet 1: Section 3, half mile radius surrounding the facility

Bullet 2: Swissport determined stakeholders by purchasing City of Sea Tac list of all 4 stakeholder groups in 5 mile radius. City did not have excavators so used, one call excavators who are airport contractors. 13 Public and Emergency officials and 4 excavators. Affected Public sent 10 letters from city list. Reviewed lists.

Bullet 3: Reviewed

1.05 Message Frequency and Message Delivery

Does the operator’s program define the combination of messages, delivery methods, and delivery frequencies to comprehensively reach all affected stakeholder audiences in all areas in which the operator transports gas, hazardous liquid, or carbon dioxide?

- Identify where in the operator’s PAP the combination of messages, delivery methods, and delivery frequencies are included for the following stakeholders: (1) affected public (2) emergency officials (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators.

- Affected public
- Emergency officials
- Public officials
- Excavators

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (f); § 195.440 (f), API RP 1162 Sections 3-5

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
- U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
- N/A - Not Applicable (explain)
- N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

Bullet 1: Section 3.0, Swissport meets communication requirements. Also will start including in plan all formal and informal education provided to fire and officials they have informally documented but not included in the PAP.

1.06 Written Evaluation Plan

Does the operator's program include a written evaluation process that specifies how the operator will periodically evaluate program implementation and effectiveness? If not, did the operator provide justification in its program or procedural manual?

- Verify the operator has a written evaluation plan that specifies how the operator will conduct and evaluate self-assessments (annual audits) and effectiveness evaluations.
- Verify the operator’s evaluation process specifies the correct frequency for annual audits (1 year) and effectiveness evaluations (no more than 4 years apart).
- Identify how the operator determined a statistical sample size and margin-of-error for stakeholder audiences surveys and feedback.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c),(i); § 195.440 (c),(i)

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
- U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
- N/A - Not Applicable (explain)
- N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

Bullet 1: Reviewed annual evaluation for 2011. This was first annual evaluation and found that affected public did not have adequate response.

Bullet 2 and 3: 4 year evaluation found in Section 7. May 2011 Burns and McDonnell were asked to conduct a 4 year evaluation for 2012 (even though it was the first year). After evaluation, Swissport made plan to include a survey for information as well as develop statistical sample size and margin of error for stakeholder audience’s surveys and feedback going forward.

Note: Originally Swissport used one mile on either side of facility. Second year this was changed to half mile on either side of the facility and line. This lower Affected Public to 42 and instead of determining statistical sample size and margin of error will contact 100% of them. This is noted in the updated PAP.

2. Program Implementation

2.01 English and other Languages

Did the operator develop and deliver materials and messages in English and in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?

- Determine if the operator delivers material in languages other than English and if so, what languages.
- Identify the process the operator used to determine the need for additional languages for each stakeholder audience.
- Identify the source of information the operator used to determine the need for additional languages and the date the information was collected.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (g); § 195.440 (g), API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
 - U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
 - N/A - Not applicable (explain)
 - N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

Bullet 1: in PAP Section 4.0, wording elaborated.

Bullet 2 and 3: Section 4. Use only English. In the 1/2 mile radius of facility there is only businesses, industrial and commercial establishments not residential.

2.02 Message Type and Content

Did the messages the operator delivered specifically include provisions to educate the public, emergency officials, local public officials, and excavators on the:

- Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities;
 - Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a gas, hazardous liquid, or carbon dioxide pipeline facility;
 - Physical indications of a possible release;
 - Steps to be taken for public safety in the event of a gas, hazardous liquid, or carbon dioxide pipeline release; and
 - Procedures to report such an event (to the operator)?
-
- Verify all required information was delivered to each of the primary stakeholder audiences.
 - Verify the phone number listed on message content is functional and clearly identifies the operator to the caller.

- Affected public
- Emergency officials
- Public officials
- Excavators

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (d), (f); § 195.440 (d), (f)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> S - Satisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> U - Unsatisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/A - Not applicable (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/C - Not Checked (explain)
--

COMMENTS:

Bullet 1: In current PAP added 811 phone number to all mailings and flyers, and in this PAP for all stakeholder groups

Bullet 2: Self-contained area, spill most likely hazard, their mailings say jet fuel is considered hazardous if not handled properly, added jet fuel risks for all stakeholder groups in this current PAP

Bullet 3: yes, for all stakeholder groups. To be expanded in next mailings

Bullet 4: yes phone numbers for general questions and emergency, for all stakeholder groups. However phone number changed from Port of Seattle general information number to Swissport control room.

Bullet 5: yes in all mailing and flyers, for all stakeholder groups. To be expanded in next mailings

Bullet 6: reviewed mailing lists

Bullet 7: control Room number answered

2.03 Messages on Pipeline Facility Locations

Did the operator develop and deliver messages to advise affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents of pipeline facility location?

- Verify that the operator developed and delivered messages advising municipalities, school districts, businesses, residents of pipeline facility locations.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (e)(f); § 195.440 (e)(f)

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
 - U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
 - N/A - Not applicable (explain)
 - N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

Bullet 1: Pipeline all on private property. No school dist, no residents on pipeline. Meet with City, SeaTac Fire dept, port of seattle annually. Do drills with Airport fire, in house drills. Swissport has participated in activities that have not been documented in the past. Going forward will document all contracts, training, drills etc for PAAP

2.04 Baseline Message Delivery Frequency

Did the operator's delivery for materials and messages meet or exceed the baseline frequencies specified in API RP 1162, Table 2-1 through Table 2.3? If not, did the operator provide justification in its program or procedural manual?

- Identify message delivery (using the operator's last five years of records) for the following stakeholder audiences:
 - Affected public
 - Emergency officials
 - Public officials
 - Excavators

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c); § 195.440 (c)

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
 - U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
 - N/A - Not applicable (explain)
 - N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

Bullet 1: Delivery frequencies met or exceeded API

2.05 Considerations for Supplemental Program Enhancements

Did the operator consider, along all of its pipeline systems, relevant factors to determine the need for supplemental program enhancements as described in API RP 1162 for each stakeholder audience?

- Affected public
- Emergency officials
- Public officials
- Excavators

Determine if the operator has considered and/or included other relevant factors for supplemental enhancements.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c); § 195.440 (c), API RP 1162 Section 6.2

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
 - U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
 - N/A - Not applicable (explain)
 - N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

1. Relevant factors are considered. However, Swissport is completely isolated physically from surrounding area.
2. Reviewed the first and second annual evaluation (and 3rd party audit of both) . No supplemental information was formally documented. Although, Swissport did participate in drills, trainings and made presentations as well as answered port of Seattle, fire dept. and local cities questions about their pipeline. In the future, these will be documented as supplemental activities in the annual report.

2.06 Maintaining Liaison with Emergency Response Officials

Did the operator establish and maintain liaison with appropriate fire, police, and other public officials to: learn the responsibility and resources of each government organization that may respond, acquaint the officials with the operator's ability in responding to a pipeline emergency, identify the types of pipeline emergencies of which the operator notifies the officials, and plan how the operator and other officials can engage in mutual assistance to minimize hazards to life or property?

- Examine the documentation to determine how the operator maintains a relationship with appropriate emergency officials.
- Verify the operator has made its emergency response plan available, as appropriate and necessary, to emergency response officials.
- Identify the operator's expectations for emergency responders and identify whether the expectations are the same for all locations or does it vary depending on locations.
- Identify how the operator determined the affected emergency response organizations have adequate and proper resources to respond.
- Identify how the operator ensures that information was communicated to emergency responders that did not attend training/information sessions by the operator.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c), § 195.440 (c), API RP 1162 Section 4.4

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
- U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
- N/A - Not applicable (explain)
- N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

Swissport has establish and maintained liaison with appropriate fire, police, and other public officials. Fire Dept is liaison for all Port of Seattle facilities

Swissport has learned the responsibility and resources of each government organization that may respond.

Swissport has acquainted the officials with the operator's ability in responding to a pipeline emergency. i.e. can control tank farm gas, would be part of any incident command in any airport incident

Swissport has identify the types of pipeline emergencies of which the operator notifies the officials. O&M states pipeline emergency protocols.

Swissport has plan how the operator and other officials can engage in mutual assistance to minimize hazards to life or property? Swissport uses its own ICS system. If any incident was out of control ICS would be agency with control etc.

Note: Swissport, Airport Fire Dept and Port of Seattle is called the community by Swissport. This is because of the daily interaction.

Bullet 1: Dean Williams is general manager and in contact with all other facility liaison monthly. Usullay at least every 2 weeks, have talked to other liasons. In addition, montly maintenance testing requires liaison and varisous personal to speak on a regular basis and know what eachother can do. Swissport has a printed list of all liasons for port of seattle, airport fire dept, ecology, NRC, EMS. For deployment and/or table top excercize documentation for public officials, emergency responders, ecology and national response

center will be kept going forward.

Bullet 2: Port of Seattle, Airport firedept etc have copies of emergency Plan. Reviewed list of 7 who have copies.

Bullet 3: Swissport expectations for emergency responders is fairly uniform for anyone who would respond and some expecatations are specific ie for fire dept.

Bullet 4: Resources are avalable, kept at Swissport's site, resp suits, booms, shovels, skimmers, all emergency equipment that might be needed. The NCRES is contracotr who would respond to any major incident for swissport. And is equipped and prepared to work with airport fire dept

Bullet 5: NA. All Swissport responders are also airport and tank farm responders. All emergency response personal are continually working together on daily basis and continue in emergecies. Emergencies procedures very similar. For example, one would control fire one would control spill etc

3. Program Evaluation & Continuous Improvement (Annual Implementation Audits)

3.01 Measuring Program Implementation

Has the operator performed an audit or review of its program implementation annually since it was developed? If not, did the operator provide justification in its program or procedural manual?

- Verify the operator performed an annual audit or review of the PAP for each implementation year.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c), (i); § 195.440 (c), (i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
- U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
- N/A - Not applicable (explain)
- N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

Bullet 1: Only two annual reviews completed. Swissport had a 3rd party audit both annual evaluations and is currently incorporating the recommendations made as a result of the audits. No 4 year eval required yet

3.02 Acceptable Methods for Program Implementation Audits

Did the operator use one or more of the three acceptable methods (i.e., internal assessment, 3rd-party contractor review, or regulatory inspections) to complete the annual audit or review of its program implementation? If not, did the operator provide valid justification for not using one of these methods?

- Determine how the operator conducts annual audits/reviews of its PAP.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c); § 195.440 (c), API RP 1162 Section 8.3

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
 U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
 N/A - Not applicable (explain)
 N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

Swissport conducted PAP annual evaluation and had 3rd party contractor audit the program.

3.03 Program Changes and Improvements

Did the operator make changes to improve the program and/or the implementation process based on the results and findings of the annual audit? If not, did the operator provide justification in its program or procedural manual?

- Determine if the operator assessed the results of its annual PAP audit/review then developed and implemented changes in its program, as a result.
- If not, determine if the operator documented the results of its assessment and provided justification as to why no changes were needed.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c); § 195.440 (c), API RP 1162 Section 8.3

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
 U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
 N/A - Not applicable (explain)
 N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

As a result of the annual review changes were made to the PAP.

4. Program Evaluation & Continuous Improvement (Effectiveness Evaluations)

4.01 Evaluating Program Effectiveness

Did the operator perform an effectiveness evaluation of its program (or no more than 4 years following the effective date of program implementation) to assess its program effectiveness in all areas along all systems covered by its program? If not, did the operator provide justification in its program or procedural manual?

- Verify the operator conducted an effectiveness evaluation of its program (or no more than 4 years following the effective date of program implementation).
- Document when the effectiveness evaluation was completed.
- Determine what method was used to perform the effectiveness evaluation (in-house, by 3rd party contractor, participation in and use the results of an industry group or trade association).
- Identify how the operator determined the sample sizes for audiences in performing its effectiveness evaluation.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c); § 195.440 (c), API RP1162 Section 8.4

<input type="radio"/> S - Satisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> U - Unsatisfactory (explain) <input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A - Not Applicable (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/C - Not Checked (explain)
--

COMMENTS:
4 year not required until 2014.

4.02 Measure Program Outreach

In evaluating effectiveness, did the operator track actual program outreach for each stakeholder audience within all areas along all assets and systems covered by its program? If not, did the operator provide justification in its program or procedural manual?

- ☑ Examine the process the operator used to track the number of individuals or entities reached within each intended stakeholder audience group.
- ☑ Determine the outreach method the operator used to perform the effectiveness evaluation (e.g., questionnaires, telephone surveys, etc).
- ☑ Determine how the operator determined the statistical sample size and margin-of-error for each of the four intended stakeholder audiences.

- [] Affected public
- [] Emergency officials
- [] Public officials
- [] Excavators

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c); § 195.440 (c), API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1

<input type="radio"/> S - Satisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> U - Unsatisfactory (explain) <input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A - Not Applicable (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/C - Not Checked (explain)
--

COMMENTS:
4 year not required until 2014.

4.03 Measure Percentage Stakeholders Reached

Did the operator determine the percentage of the individual or entities actually reached within the target audience within all areas along all systems covered by its program? If not, did the operator provide justification in its program or procedural manual?

- Document how the operator determined the statistical sample size and margin-of-error for each of the four intended stakeholder audiences.
- Document how the operator estimated the percentage of individuals or entities actually reached within each intended stakeholder audience group.

- Affected public
- Emergency officials
- Public officials
- Excavators

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c); § 195.440 (c), API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1

<input type="radio"/> S - Satisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> U - Unsatisfactory (explain) <input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A - Not Applicable (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/C - Not Checked (explain)
--

COMMENTS:
4 year not required until 2014.

4.04 Measure Understandability of Message Content

In evaluating effectiveness, did the operator assess the percentage of the intended stakeholder audiences that understood and retained the key information in the messages received, within all areas along all assets and systems covered by its program? If not, did the operator provide justification in its program or procedural manual? (Reference: § 192.616 (c); § 195.440 (c), API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2)

- Examine the operator’s evaluation results and data to assess the percentage of the intended stakeholder audience that understood and retained the key information in each PAP message.
- Verify the operator assessed the percentage of the intended stakeholder audience that (1) understood and (2) retained the key information in each PAP message.
- Determine if the operator pre-tests materials.

- Affected public
- Emergency officials
- Public officials
- Excavators

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c); § 195.440 (c), API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2

<input type="radio"/> S - Satisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> U - Unsatisfactory (explain) <input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A - Not Applicable (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/C - Not Checked (explain)
--

COMMENTS:
4 year not required until 2014.

4.05 Measure Desired Stakeholder Behavior

In evaluating its public awareness program effectiveness, did the operator attempt to determine whether appropriate preventive behaviors have been understood and are taking place when needed, and whether appropriate response and mitigative behaviors would occur and/or have occurred? If not, did the operator provide justification in its program or procedural manual?

- ☑Examine the operator’s evaluation results and data to determine if the stakeholders have demonstrated the intended learned behaviors.
- ☑Verify the operator determined whether appropriate prevention behaviors have been understood by the stakeholder audiences and if those behaviors are taking place or will take place when needed.

- [] Affected public
- [] Emergency officials
- [] Public officials
- [] Excavators

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c); § 195.440 (c), API RP 1162 Section 8.4.3

<input type="radio"/> S - Satisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> U - Unsatisfactory (explain) <input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A - Not Applicable (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/C - Not Checked (explain)
--

COMMENTS:
4 year not required until 2014.

4.06 Measure Bottom-Line Results

In evaluating its public awareness program effectiveness, did the operator attempt to measure bottom-line results of its program by tracking third-party incidents and consequences including: (1) near misses, (2) excavation damages resulting in pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not result in pipeline failures? Did the operator consider other bottom-line measures, such as the affected public's perception of the safety of the operator's pipelines? If not, did the operator provide justification in its program or procedural manual?

- ☑Examine the operator’s process for measuring bottom-line results of its program.
- ☑Verify the operator measured bottom-line results by tracking third-party incidents and consequences.
- ☑Determine if the operator considered and attempted to measure other bottom-line measures, such as the affected public’s perception of the safety of the operator’s pipelines. If not, determine if the operator has provided justification in its program or procedural manual for not doing so.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c); § 195.440 (c), API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4

<input type="radio"/> S - Satisfactory (explain) <input type="radio"/> U - Unsatisfactory (explain) <input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A - Not Applicable (explain) <input type="radio"/> N/C - Not Checked (explain)
--

COMMENTS:
4 year not required until 2014.

4.07 Program Changes

Did the operator identify and document needed changes and/or modifications to its public awareness program(s) based on the results and findings of its program effectiveness evaluation? If not, did the operator provide justification in its program or procedural manual?

- ☑Examine the operator's program effectiveness evaluation findings.
- ☑Identify if the operator has a plan or procedure that outlines what changes were made.
- ☑Verify the operator identified and/or implemented improvements based on assessments and findings.

CODE REFERENCE: § 192.616 (c), § 195.440 (c), API RP 1162 Section 2.7 Step 12 and 8.5

- S - Satisfactory (explain)
 - U - Unsatisfactory (explain)
 - N/A - Not Applicable (explain)
 - N/C - Not Checked (explain)

COMMENTS:

4 year not required until 2014

5. Inspection**SUMMARY:**

Swissport became jurisdictional in 2010. Their PAP was implemented in March 2010. They have completed annual evaluations but at this point a 4 year evaluation is not required. During the inspection, Swissport updated several items in the PAP. Swissport works with the Airport Fire Department and the Port of Seattle on a daily basis. Swissport calls this "their community". In addition, they work closely with other emergency responders and officials routinely. Swissport has provided education and training to various agencies on numerous occasions and in the future will formally document these in the PAP annual evaluation. Swissport had their 2011 and 2012 annual evaluation audited by a third party and have adopted the recommendations made as a result of those audits.

FINDINGS:

No probable violations or Areas of Concern