

Inspection Results (IRR)

Generated on 2019.March.08 16:46

• 86235 (1852) (32)

Inspection Results Report (ALL Results) - Scp_PK 86235 (1852)

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub- Qst Group #	Question ID	References	Question Text
1.	86235 (1852)	Sat	(2) MO.RW	1. MO.RW.PATROL.P	192.705(a) (192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for patrolling the ROW and conditions reported?
2.	86235 (1852)	Sat	(2) MO.RW	2. MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
3.	86235 (1852)	Sat	(2) MO.RW	3. MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
4.	86235 (1852)	Sat	(2) MO.RW	4. MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
5.	86235 (1852)	Sat	(2) MO.RW	5. MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for placement of ROW markers?
6.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	1. PD.PA.ASSETS.P	192.616(b) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 Step 4)	Does the program clearly identify the specific pipeline systems and facilities to be included in the program, along with the unique attributes and characteristics of each?
7.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	2. PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.P	192.616(d) (192.616(e), 192.616(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 Section 3)	Does the program establish methods to identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents?
8.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	3. PD.PA.MGMTSUPPORT.P	192.616(a) (API RP 1162 Section 2.5, API RP 1162 Section 7.1)	Does the operator's program documentation demonstrate management support?
9.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	4. PD.PA.PROGRAM.P	192.616(a) (192.616(h))	Has the continuing public education (awareness) program been established as required?
10.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	5. PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.R	192.616(d) (192.616(e),	Do records identify the individual stakeholders

Inspection Results Report (ALL Results) - Scp_PK 86235 (1852)

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
						192.616(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 Section 3)	in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents to which it sends public awareness materials and messages?
11.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	6.	PD.PA.MESSAGES.P	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 3, API RP 1162 Section 4, API RP 1162 Section 5)	Does the program define the combination of messages, delivery methods, and delivery frequencies to comprehensively reach all affected stakeholder audiences in all areas where gas is transported?
12.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	7.	PD.PA.SUPPLEMENTAL.P	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 6.2)	Were relevant factors considered to determine the need for supplemental public awareness program enhancements for each stakeholder audience, as described in API RP 1162?
13.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	8.	PD.PA.EDUCATE.R	192.616(d) (192.616(f))	Did delivered messages specifically include provisions to educate the public, emergency officials, local public officials, and excavators on: (1) Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a gas pipeline facility; (3) Physical indications of a possible release; (4) Steps to be taken for public safety in the event of a gas pipeline release; and (5) Procedures to report such an event?
14.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	9.	PD.PA.LOCATIONMESSAGE.R	192.616(e) (192.616(f))	Were messages developed and delivered to advise affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents of pipeline facility locations?
15.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	10.	PD.PA.MESSAGEFREQUENCY.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Table 2-1, API RP 1162 Table 2-2, API RP	Did the delivery of materials and messages meet or exceed the baseline delivery frequencies specified in

Inspection Results Report (ALL Results) - Scp_PK 86235 (1852)

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text
						1162 Table 2-3)	API RP 1162, Table 2-1 through Table 2.3?
16.	86235 (1852)	NA	PD.PA	12.	PD.PA.LANGUAGE.P	192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	Does the program require that materials and messages be provided in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
17.	86235 (1852)	NA	PD.PA	13.	PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R	192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)	Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?
18.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	14.	PD.PA.EVALPLAN.P	192.616(i) (192.616(c), API RP 1162 Section 8, API RP 1162 Appendix E)	Does the program include a process that specifies how program implementation and effectiveness will be periodically evaluated?
19.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	15.	PD.PA.EVALIMPL.R	192.616(c) (192.616(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Has an audit or review of the operator's program implementation been performed annually since the program was developed?
20.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	16.	PD.PA.AUDITMETHODS.R	192.616(c) (192.616(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Was one or more of the three acceptable methods (i.e., internal assessment, 3rd-party contractor review, or regulatory inspections) used to complete the annual audit or review of program implementation?
21.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	17.	PD.PA.PROGRAMIMPROVE.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.3)	Were changes made to improve the program and/or the implementation process based on the results and findings of the annual audit(s)?
22.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	18.	PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4)	Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?
23.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	19.	PD.PA.MEASUREOUTREACH.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1)	In evaluating effectiveness, was actual program outreach for each stakeholder audience tracked?

Inspection Results Report (ALL Results) - Scp_PK 86235 (1852)

Row	Assets	Result (Note 1)	Sub-Group	Qst #	Question ID	References	Question Text	
24.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	20.	PD.PA.MEASUREUNDERSTANDABILITY.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2)	In evaluating program effectiveness, was the percentage of each stakeholder audience that understood and retained the key information from the messages determined?	
25.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	21.	PD.PA.MEASUREBEHAVIOR.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.3)	In evaluating program effectiveness, was evaluation made of whether appropriate preventive, response, and mitigative behaviors were understood and likely to be exhibited?	
26.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	22.	PD.PA.MEASUREBOTTOM.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4)	Were bottom-line results of the program measured by tracking third-party incidents and consequences including: (1) near misses, (2) excavation damages resulting in pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not result in pipeline failures?	
27.	86235 (1852)	Sat	PD.PA	23.	PD.PA.CHANGES.R	192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12), API RP 1162 Section 8.5)	Were needed changes and/or modifications to the program identified and documented based on the results and findings of the program effectiveness evaluations?	
28.	86235 (1852)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	1.	MO.RW.PATROL.P	192.705(a) (192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for patrolling the ROW and conditions reported?
29.	86235 (1852)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	2.	MO.RW.PATROL.R	192.709(c) (192.705(a), 192.705(b), 192.705(c))	Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required?
30.	86235 (1852)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	3.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Are line markers placed and maintained as required?
31.	86235 (1852)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	4.	MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O	192.705(a) (192.705(c))	Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?
32.	86235 (1852)	Sat	(2)	PD.RW	5.	MO.RW.ROWMARKER.P	192.707(a) (192.707(b), 192.707(c), 192.707(d))	Does the process adequately cover the requirements for placement of ROW markers?

1. Result is repeated (N) times in this report due to re-presentation of the question in multiple sub-groups.

Inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.