

SUPPLEMENTAL SCC QUESTIONNAIRE
GAS TRANSMISSION OR LIQUID PIPELINE

1. Pipeline Safety Advisory Bulletin - ADB-03-05 - October 8, 2003
 - Review Bulletin with operator, if operator is not familiar with.
 - Reference also Baker Stress Corrosion Cracking Study at:
http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/gasimp/docs/SCC_Report-Final_Report_with_Database.pdf

Comments: Reviewed SCC worksheet out of IM Manual (have copy)

2. Has the pipeline system ever experienced SCC (in service, out of service, leak, non-leak)?
 - Type of SCC?
 - Classical - high pH
 - Non-classical – low or near neutral pH
 - What are the known risk indicators that may have contributed to the SCC?

Comments: No SCC

3. Does the operator have a written program in place to evaluate the pipeline system for the presence of SCC? If no, have operator explain. If operator has not considered SCC as a possible safety risk, go to #10.

Comments: No, GP doesn't operate greater than 60% SMYS,
2. Operating temperature less than 100 degrees F,

4. Has/does the operator evaluate the pipeline system for the presence of SCC risk indicators?

Comments: Yes, done during establishment of IM plan. And in the process of doing it again

5. Has the operator identified pipeline segments that are susceptible to SCC?

Comments: No, only one segment. Working on it now

6. If conditions for SCC are present, are written inspection, examination and evaluation procedures in place?

SUPPLEMENTAL SCC QUESTIONNAIRE
GAS TRANSMISSION OR LIQUID PIPELINE

Comments: N/A – doesn't meet the 5 criteria of ADB 0305

7. Does the operator have written remediation measures in place for addressing SCC when discovered?

Comments: No, Does not have SCC

8. What preventive measures has the operator taken to prevent recurrence of SCC?
- Modeling?
 - Crack growth rate?
 - Comparing pipe/envIRON./cp data vs. established factors?
 - Other?
 - Hydrotest program?
 - Intelligent pigging program?
 - Pipe re-coating?
 - Operational changes?
 - Inspection program?
 - Other?

Comments: Has performed one, pigging.

9. Does the operator incorporate the risk assessment of SCC into a comprehensive risk management program?

Comments: Yes

Continue below for those operators who have not considered SCC as a possible safety risk.

10. Does the operator know of pipeline and right of way conditions that would match the risk indicators for either classical or non-classical SCC? See typical risk indicators below.

Comments: N/A – has already considered

High pH SCC Potential Risk Indicators

- Known SCC history (failure, non-failure, in service, and during testing)

SUPPLEMENTAL SCC QUESTIONNAIRE
GAS TRANSMISSION OR LIQUID PIPELINE

- Pipeline and Coating Characteristics
- Steel grades X-52, X-60, X-65, X-70, and possibly X-42
 - Age \geq 10 years
 - Operating stress > 60% SMYS
 - Pipe temperature >100 deg. F (typically < 20 miles d/s of compression)
 - Damaged pipe coating
- Soil Characteristics
 - Soil pH range: 8.5 to 11
 - Alkaline carbonate/bicarbonate solution in the soil
 - Elevated soil temperature contributing to elevated pipe temperature
- Polarized cathodic potential range: -600 to -750 mV, Cu/CuSO₄

Low or Near-Neutral pH SCC Potential Risk Indicators

- Known SCC history (failure, non-failure, in service, and during testing)
- Pipeline and Coating Characteristics
- Steel grades X-52, X-60, X-65, X-70, and possibly X-42
 - Age \geq 10 years
 - Frequently associated with metallurgical features, such as mechanical damage, longitudinal seams, etc.
 - Protective coatings that may be susceptible to disbondment
 - Any coating **other than** correctly applied fusion bonded epoxy, field applied epoxies, or coal tar urethane . . .
 - Coal tar
 - Asphalt enamels
 - Tapes
 - Others
- Soil Characteristics
 - Soil pH range: 4 to 8
 - Dissolved CO₂ and carbonate chemicals present in soil
 - Organic decay
 - Soil leaching (in rice fields, for example)
- “Normal” cathodic protection readings (disbonded coating shields the pipe from cp current)