A completed **Annual Review form and Cover Letter/Field Report** must be submitted to the Chief Engineer within **30 days** from completion of the inspection.

		Inspection Report		
Inspection ID/Docket N	umber	7855		
Inspector Name & Submit Date		Anthony Dorrough – 09/24/2019		
Chief Eng Name & Review/Date		Joe Subsits – 10/03/2019		
		Operator Information		
Name of Operator:	Seapo	ort Sound Terminal L.L.C. (formerly TARGA)	OP ID #:	39906
Records Location:	2628	Marine View Drive – Tacoma – WA - 98422	·	
Inspection Date:	08/27	7 – 08/28 - 2019		

Review Summary:
TARGA was purchased by Trans Montaigne 10/31/2018; Operations were transferred over in 2019.

HQ Address:		System/Unit Name & A	Address:
4130 E 11th St – Tacoma – WA - 98	8021	2628 Marine View Dr	ive – Tacoma – WA - 98422
Co. Official: Phone No.: Fax No.: Emergency Phone No.:		Phone No.: Fax No.: Emergency Phone No.	:
Persons Interviewed	T	itle	Phone No.
Pamela Cannon	Compliance Coordinator	r	(956) 518-3500
Luis De Leon	Compliance Coordinator	r	(956) 831-3531
Ted Lilyeblade	Terminal Manager		(253) 579-1954

SYSTEM OPERATIONS				
Number of reportable safety related conditions last ye	ear: 0	Number of deferred leaks in system: 0		
Number of non-reportable safety related conditions la	ast year 0	Number of excavation damage hits last year: 0		
Miles of transmission pipeline within unit (total miles Class 3 & 4 locations): 2.7 mi.	s and miles in	Miles of main within inspection unit(total miles and miles in Class 3 & 4 locations): 0		
Operating Pressure(s): 675/268 psig		MAOP: N/A		
Does the operator have any transmission pipelines? YES				
Compressor stations? Use Attachment 1. N/A				

Pipe Specifications:						
Year Installed (Range)	2012	Pipe Diameters (Range)	12-3/4, 10-3/4			
Material Type	Steel	Line Pipe Specification Used	ERW-API5L-X42-52			
Mileage	2.7 mi.	SMYS %	52,000 psi /Test Pressure 14.4			

	REVIEW QUESTIONS	S/Yes	U/No	N/A
1.	Was the Annual Report reviewed for accuracy and trends? If any trends discovered, please describe:	Yes		
2.	For transmission operators, has the operator submitted information to the NPMS database, along with changes made after the original submission?Staff observed receipt for 06/06/2019	Yes		
3.	Were there federally reportable incidents during the previous year?		No	
4.	Were Incident reports reviewed for accuracy and trends? If any trends discovered please describe:No incidents to report.			X
5.	Were there reportable or unreportable safety related conditions during the previous year? If yes please describe.		No	
6.	Were there any abnormal operating conditions (as described in 49 CFR 192.605 (c) or 49 CFR 195.402(d))? If yes please describe		No	
7	Were there changes to the O&M Manual during the previous year?Note: But as of approx. 9/25/2019 there is anticipated changes to the OM&M, which houses the CRM, with the recent accusation of the Seaport asset.		No	
8	Are the changes acceptable?No changes to report.			X
)	Is the O&M Manual up to date?Last updated 02/03/2019	Yes		
10	Were emergency plans changed during the previous year?A FRP (Facility Response Plan) was submitted to UTC on 9/19/2018 and to PHMSA on 9/20/2018. Unfortunately, the new OPID was not documented in the cover letter, so a response from PHMSA is still pending.	Yes		
11	Were the changes satisfactory?	Yes		

12	Were there changes to the Integrity management program (TIMP and DIMP for LDC's)?		No	
13	Is the integrity management program up to date? What are the results of the operators program review (effectiveness evaluation) (DIMP every 5 years)?Last updated 07/01/2019	Yes		
14	Are the changes acceptable? No changes to report.			X
15	Is appropriate assessment/ repair work conducted during the past year? (monitor progress of IMP activities)		No	
16	What assessment work is planned for the upcoming year?No assessment/repair work conducted.			X
17	Has appropriate DIMP remediation work occurred during the past year? (monitor progress of DIMP activities)No DIMP at this facility.			X
18	What DIMP remediation work is anticipated for upcoming year?No DIMP at this facility.			X
19	Were there changes to the Operator Qualification program? If yes, please describe.		No	
20	Is the Operator Qualification program up to date? Last updated – Due Dec 2019	Yes		
21	Are plan updates satisfactory?	Yes		
22	Are personnel performing covered tasks (including contractors) properly qualified and requalified at intervals determined in the operators plan?Staff reviewed selected records.	Yes		
23	Were there changes to the public awareness program?Seaport will continue to use Paradigm		No	
24	Is the public awareness program up to date?Last updated 10/14/2018	Yes		
24	Are changes to the public awareness program satisfactory?	Yes		

26	Is the following information on the operator's web page? (Not a regulatory question) Pipeline purpose and reliability Damage Prevention Pipe location information How to get additional information National Pipeline Mapping system One call requirements Potential Hazards Prevention measures Leak/ damage recognition ROW encroachment Pipeline location information Integrity management programs Emergency preparednessStaff noted that every single item was found to be covered on the	Yes		
27	webpage. Were there changes to the Control Room Management Program?		No	
28	Is the control room management program up to date?Last updated Feb 3, 2019	Yes	1,0	
29	Are the control room management program changes satisfactory?	Yes		
30	Are inspection units broken down appropriately? Do you recommend any changes to inspection units in terms of size?	Yes		
31	Were there any flow reversals, product changes, or conversions to service since the last review?		No	
32	If yes, is the operator taking appropriate actions in accordance with ADB-2014-04? There were no flow reversals, product changes or conversions.			X

PHMSA ADVISORY BULLETINS: LINK